1

2

3

4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 SAN JOSE DIVISION 10 CONVERGENCE TECHNOLOGIES CASE NO. 5:10-cv-02051 EJD (USA), LLC, 11 ORDER DENYING AS MOOT PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO RESET 12 Plaintiff(s), HEARING v. 13 MICROLOOPS CORPORATION, et. al., 14 [Docket Item No. 141] Defendant(s). 15 16 17 Presently before the court is Plaintiff's Motion to Reset the hearing date on Defendant's 18 Motion to Stay this case pending the outcome of patent re-examinations. See Docket Item No. 141. 19 The court previously advanced the hearing date on the Motion to Stay from May 4, 2012, to April 6, 20 2012. See Docket Item No. 140. 21 Having reviewed the moving, responding and reply papers filed for the Motion to Stay, the

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 2, 2012

EDWARD J. DAVIIA United States District Judge

28

22

23

24

26

27

1

court finds it appropriate for determination without oral argument pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-

1(b). Accordingly, the hearing scheduled for April 6, 2012, is VACATED. A written decision on

the Motion to Stay shall issue in short order. Plaintiff's Motion to Reset is DENIED AS MOOT.

CASE NO. 5:10-cv-02051 EJD ORDER DENYING AS MOOT PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO RESET