

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

CHAIM KOWALSKY, on Behalf of Himself
and All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY and DOES
1 Through 100, inclusive,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 10-CV-02176 LHK

**[PROPOSED] ORDER SETTING TIME FOR
PLAINTIFF TO AMEND HIS COMPLAINT
AND FOR DEFENDANT HEWLETT-
PACKARD COMPANY TO ANSWER OR
OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE
OPERATIVE COMPLAINT**

Honorable Lucy H. Koh

///
///
///
///
///
///
///
///

1 PURSUANT TO THE TERMS OF THE STIPULATION submitted by the parties on
2 December 23, 2010, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff shall have until January 12, 2011 to
3 amend his complaint, and that Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company shall have until February 11,
4 2011 to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's operative complaint, whether or not Plaintiff files a
5 Second Amended Complaint.

6
7 DATED: December 29, 2010



Lucy H. Koh
United States District Judge