1	ANN A. NGUYEN (SBN 178712)	
2	ROBINSON & WOOD, INC. 227 N. First Street	
3	San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: 408-298-7120	
4	Facsimile: 408-298-0477 Email: aan@robinsonwood.com	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff DANG	
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
9	CUC DANG,	Case No. 10-CV-02181 (RMW) (PSG)
10	Plaintiff,	AMENDED STIPULATION AND
11	VS.	[PROPOSED] ORDER FOR INDEPENDENT MENTAL
12		EXAMINATION OF PLAINTIFF
13	SUTTER'S PLACE, INC. dba BAY 101 or BAY 101 CASINO, et. al.,	[Fed. R. Civ. P. 35]
14	Defendants.	C 1: (Fil 1 M 20 2010
15		Complaint Filed: May 20, 2010
16		
17		
18	On December 12, 2011, the Court issued an Order based upon a December 9, 2011	
19	Stipulation submitted by Plaintiff and Defendan	t for an independent mental examination of
20	Plaintiff to take place on January 24, 2012. Plai	ntiff has just received notice that her appearance
21	at a Naturalization Oath Ceremony is required on January 24, 2012 to complete her	
22	naturalization process to become a US citizen. To permit Plaintiff to attend the Naturalization	
23	Oath Ceremony, the parties have agreed to continue Plaintiff's IME for three (3) days. In order	
24	to avoid prejudice to Defendant for this brief co	ntinuance, the parties have also agreed to
25	continue the trial deadlines pertaining to expert discovery.	
26	Accordingly, Plaintiff, Cuc Dang ("Dang"), and defendant, Sutter's Place, Inc. dba Bay	
27	101 ("Bay 101") (collectively "the parties"), by and through their respective attorneys of record,	
28	1	
	AMENDED STIP AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR IN CASE NO. 10-CV-02181 (RMW) (PSG)	DEPENDENT MENTAL EXAM OF PLAINTIFF;

7. The scope of Dr. Lipian's examination shall be limited as set forth in Paragraphs 7, 8 and 9 below. Dr. Lipian will conduct a forensic psychiatric evaluation of Dang so as to evaluate the nature and extent of Dang's mental and emotional distress if any, and the cause(s) thereof, together with whether Dang's past experiences or psychological conditions provide an explanation for Dang's perception of disputed fact allegations or an explanation for the mental and emotional distress she claims to have suffered. Dr. Lipian will conduct a standard forensic psychiatric examination which consists of a one-day interview with Dang where he will ask Dang general open-ended questions about her medical and mental history and the possible sources of any alleged emotional trauma.

8. Dr. Lipian's exam will include a review of Dang's medical and mental health history. Dr. Lipian must determine, for example, whether Dang suffered from prior traumas (in the form of injuries, diseases, or life events) that may bear on her current mental state. He must determine whether Dang is taking, or has taken, any medications that might have produced relevant side effects. He must determine how Dang has coped with any significant stressors in the past and whether and to what extent her current condition differs from past stressors; and he must determine whether Dang suffers from a psychotic and/or personality disorder, its extent and prognosis, that may bear upon Dang's mental state and capacity at the time of events at issue and its possible impact upon those events, as well as upon her present mental state and capacity. Dr. Lipian must also determine the interrelationship, if any, between psychiatric condition and physical complaints; and which, if either, preceded, caused, underlay, or resulted from the other in this case. From a psychiatric standpoint, all of these areas of inquiry relate to Dang's mental condition.

1	9. Dr. Lipian does not intend to administer any "paper and pencil" tests to Dang, but		
2	reserves the right to do so if indicated by initial results of the examination. He will not		
3	administer any physically painful tests to Dang or perform any invasive procedures. He plans to		
4	conduct an interview consisting of open-ended questions about the subjects listed above. If		
5	Dang inadvertently reveals any protected attorney-client communications during the course of		
6	the examination, such disclosures will not be deemed as a waiver of the attorney-client privilege.		
7	10. Dang hereby designates the audio recording of the IME and Dr. Lipian's Rule		
8	35(b) report as "Confidential" pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order. Bay 101 does not		
9	waive its right to challenge the "Confidential" designation as permitted by Section 6 of the		
10	Stipulated Protective Order.		
11	11. A copy of the audio recording shall be provided to Dang's counsel within fifteen		
12	(15) days of the examination. A copy of Dr. Lipian's Rule 35(b) report shall be provided to		
13	Dang's counsel within five (5) days of its receipt by Bay 101's counsel.		
14	12. Dr. Lipian has been provided with the Protective Order for this litigation and has		
15	signed the Acknowledgment and Agreement To Be Bound by said Protective Order.		
16			
17	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
18			
19	[In accordance with General Order 45.X.B., Ann Nguyen, counsel for Plaintiff, attests that		
20	Defendant's counsel, Matthew Schechter, has concurred in this filing.]		
21			
22	Dated: January 11, 2012 McMANIS FAULKNER		
23			
24	/s/ MATTHEW SCHECHTER		
25	Attorneys for Defendant		
26	SUTTER'S PLACE, INC. dba BAY 101		
27			
28			
	717412 4 AMENDED STIP AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR INDEPENDENT MENTAL EXAM OF PLAINTIFF; CASE NO. 10-CV-02181 (RMW) (PSG)		

1	Dated: January 11, 2012 ROBINSON & WOOD, INC.
2	
3	/s/ ANN A. NGUYEN Attorneys for Plaintiff
4 5	Attorneys for Plaintiff, CUC DANG
6	ORDER
7	Good cause appearing therefor, an independent mental exam of Cuc Dang may be
8	conducted by Dr. Mark Lipian, with the assistance of a certified Vietnamese interpreter, on
9	Friday, January 27, 2012, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at 50 California Street, Suite 1500, San
10	Francisco, California 94111, according to the manner, conditions and scope of examination as set
11	forth in the above stipulation.
12	
13	Dated:
1415	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	717412 5
	717412 5 AMENDED STIP AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR INDEPENDENT MENTAL EXAM OF PLAINTIFF; CASE NO. 10-CV-02181 (RMW) (PSG)