Chandler v. Cate et al Doc. 18

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Case No. C 10-02452 LHK JANINE S. CHANDLER, 12 Petitioner, ORDER DENYING THIRD MOTION 13 FOR EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH TO FILE ANSWER TO v. 14 PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS **CORPUS** 15 MATTHEW CATE, Secretary, California **Department of Corrections and** 16 Rehabilitation, and VELDA DOBSON-DAVIS, Warden, 17 Respondent. 18 19 20 On March 14, 2011, the Court issued an Order Denying Respondent's Motion to Dismiss 21 for Failure to Exhaust. See Dkt. #12. The Court ordered Respondent to file and serve an Answer 22 within 60 days of the March 14, 2011 Order. Since then, the Court has granted Respondent two 23 extensions of time to file an Answer, one extension for 60 days and one extension for 62 days. 24 Respondent's Answer is currently due on September 12, 2011, some six months after the Court's 25 March 14, 2011 Order. On August 30, 2011, Respondent filed a third motion for extension of 26 time to file an Answer, requesting another 60 days. See Dkt. #17. Respondent's motion is 27 DENIED. 28 1 Order Denying Third Motion for Extension of Time (C 10-02452 LHK)

In its most recent Order granting Respondents an extension, the Court put Respondent on notice that "[f]urther requests for continuances on the part of respondent, absent exigent circumstances, are disfavored." See June 30, 2011 Order Granting Motion for Extension of Time to File Answer. Respondent has identified no exigent circumstances warranting a third extension of time. Accordingly, the September 12, 2011 deadline for Respondent's Answer remains as set. IT IS SO ORDERED. ncy H. Koh Dated: August 30, 2011 United States District Judge