J.H. et al v. Baldo	vinos et al		Doc. 284
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
12	BELINDA K. and J.H., her minor son,) Case No.: 10-CV-02507-LHK	
13	Petitioners, v.) ORDER RE: ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS	
14	YOLANDA BALDOVINOS, et al.,		
15	Respondents.))	
16	respondents	,))	
17		2	
18	In an Administrative Motion filed on February 26, 2013, ECF No. 280, Respondents		
19	County of Alameda and Yolanda Baldovinos requested copies of endorsed-filed, unredacted		
20	versions of several documents that the parties had filed with the District Court.		
21	The Court previously granted Respondents' Motion as to the documents which had been		
22	filed on ECF: the Notice of Appeal (Docket #250), and the Summary Judgment Order (Docket		
23	#233). ECF No. 282.		
24	The Court now GRANTS Respondents' Motion as to the following requested declarations		
25	and the exhibits attached to those declarations which were originally filed in support of		
26	Respondents' Motion for Summary Judgment, and were resubmitted under seal with the parties'		
27			
28		1	
	Case No.: 10-CV-02507-LHK ORDER RE: ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS		
		Dockets.J	ustia.com

Case No.: 10-CV-02507-LHK

28

ORDER RE: ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

The Court notes that the parties failed to comply with this Court's Civil Standing Order Regarding Motions to File Under Seal, which requires that a party seeking to file documents under seal must also publicly e-file, as an exhibit to the administrative motion to file under seal, a proposed public redacted version of the documents that the party is seeking to seal. The parties did not e-file redacted copies of these documents.