

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PIERRE L. HOFFMAN,	)	No. C 10-2541 LHK (PR)
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	ORDER OF SERVICE;
	)	DIRECTING DEFENDANTS TO
v.	)	FILE DISPOSITIVE MOTION
	)	OR NOTICE REGARDING
CHARLES D. LEE, JOHN D. KASAWA,	)	SUCH MOTION
and RN DAN JEANS,	)	
	)	(Docket Nos. 9, 10)
Defendants.	)	
	)	

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, filed a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On September 7, 2010, the Court screened the complaint, dismissed it with leave to amend, and directed Plaintiff to provide accurate location information for Defendants so that they could be served. On September 22, 2010, Plaintiff filed his amended complaint. On October 14, 2010, and November 9, 2010, Plaintiff filed motions to submit information regarding the location of Defendants. Plaintiff’s motions are GRANTED. For the reasons stated below, the Court orders service upon the named Defendants.

**DISCUSSION**

A. Standard of Review

A federal court must conduct a preliminary screening in any case in which a prisoner seeks redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In its review, the court must identify any cognizable claims and dismiss any claims that are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted or

1 seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. *See* 28 U.S.C.  
2 § 1915A(b)(1), (2). *Pro se* pleadings must, however, be liberally construed. *See Balistreri v.*  
3 *Pacifica Police Dep't.*, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1988).

4 To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements:  
5 (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that  
6 the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. *See West v.*  
7 *Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

8 B. Plaintiff's Claims

9 Plaintiff alleges that on September 17, 2007, Defendants conspired to ransack his cell and  
10 confiscate his necessary medical supplies. Defendant Jeans told Plaintiff that Defendants Drs.  
11 Lee and Kasawa ordered him to take Plaintiff's diapers and urinary bags so that Plaintiff was  
12 only allowed one diaper per day and two urinary bags per week. Plaintiff suffers from  
13 Neurogenic Bladder Dysfunction and a chronic infection disease whereby his urinary bags get  
14 contaminated. Plaintiff claims that this contamination makes him more susceptible to bacterial  
15 infections. Plaintiff also asserts that Defendants retaliated against him by, *inter alia*, keeping his  
16 medical supplies from him from September 17, 2007 through mid-January 2008. Liberally  
17 construed, Plaintiff states cognizable claims of violations of the Eighth and First Amendment.

18 **CONCLUSION**

19 For the foregoing reasons, the Court hereby orders as follows:

20 1. Plaintiff's motions to amend information regarding Defendants' addresses are  
21 GRANTED.

22 2. The Clerk shall issue a summons and the United States Marshal shall serve,  
23 without prepayment of fees, copies of the amended complaint in this matter (docket no. 7), all  
24 attachments thereto, and copies of this order on **Dr. Charles D. Lee** at 111 Elm Street, Suite  
25 205, San Diego, CA 92101; **Dr. John Kasawa** at 8090 Parkway Dr., La Mesa, CA 91942; and  
26 **Nurse Dan Jeans** at Salinas Valley Memorial Healthcare System, 450 E. Romie Lane, Salinas,  
27 CA 93901. The Clerk shall also serve a copy of this order on Plaintiff and mail a courtesy copy  
28 of the amended complaint to the California Attorney General's Office.

1           3.       No later than **ninety (90) days** from the date of this order, Defendants shall file a  
2 motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion with respect to the cognizable claims  
3 in the complaint.

4           a.       If Defendants elect to file a motion to dismiss on the grounds that Plaintiff  
5 failed to exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a),  
6 defendants shall do so in an unenumerated Rule 12(b) motion pursuant to *Wyatt v. Terhune*, 315  
7 F.3d 1108, 1119-20 (9th Cir. 2003).

8           b.       Any motion for summary judgment shall be supported by adequate factual  
9 documentation and shall conform in all respects to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil  
10 Procedure. **Defendants are advised that summary judgment cannot be granted, nor**  
11 **qualified immunity found, if material facts are in dispute. If Defendants are of the opinion**  
12 **that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, they shall so inform the Court**  
13 **prior to the date the summary judgment motion is due.**

14           4.       Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion shall be filed with the Court and  
15 served on Defendants no later than **thirty (30) days** from the date Defendants' motion is filed.

16           a.       In the event Defendants file an unenumerated motion to dismiss under  
17 Rule 12(b), Plaintiff is hereby cautioned as follows:<sup>1</sup>

18                   The defendants have made a motion to dismiss pursuant to Rule 12(b) of  
19 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on the ground you have not exhausted your  
20 administrative remedies. The motion will, if granted, result in the dismissal of  
21 your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion to dismiss for failure to  
22 exhaust, and that motion is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn  
23 testimony) and/or documents, you may not simply rely on what your complaint  
24 says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers  
25 to interrogatories, or documents, that contradict the facts shown in the defendant's  
26 declarations and documents and show that you have in fact exhausted your  
27 claims. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, the motion to  
28 dismiss, if appropriate, may be granted and the case dismissed.

29           b.       In the event Defendants file a motion for summary judgment, the  
30 Ninth Circuit has held that the following notice should be given to plaintiffs:

---

31                   <sup>1</sup> The following notice is adapted from the summary judgment notice to be given to pro se  
32 prisoners as set forth in *Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). See  
33 *Wyatt*, 315 F.3d at 1120 n.14.

1           The defendants have made a motion for summary judgment by which  
2 they seek to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under  
Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

3           Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for  
4 summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is  
5 no genuine issue of material fact--that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact  
6 that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary  
7 judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case.  
8 When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is  
9 properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply  
10 rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in  
declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents,  
as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendants'  
declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact  
for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary  
judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is  
granted in favor of defendants, your case will be dismissed and there will be no  
trial.

11 *See Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). Plaintiff is advised to read  
12 Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and *Celotex Corp. v. Catrett*, 477 U.S. 317  
13 (1986) (holding party opposing summary judgment must come forward with evidence showing  
14 triable issues of material fact on every essential element of his claim). Plaintiff is cautioned that  
15 failure to file an opposition to defendant's motion for summary judgment may be deemed to be a  
16 consent by plaintiff to the granting of the motion, and granting of judgment against plaintiff  
17 without a trial. *See Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53-54 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam); *Brydges v.*  
18 *Lewis*, 18 F.3d 651, 653 (9th Cir. 1994).

19           5. Defendants shall file a reply brief no later than **fifteen (15) days** after Plaintiff's  
20 opposition is filed.

21           6. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. No  
22 hearing will be held on the motion unless the court so orders at a later date.

23           7. All communications by the Plaintiff with the Court must be served on Defendants,  
24 or Defendants' counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the  
25 document to Defendant or Defendants' counsel.

26           8. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.  
27 No further court order is required before the parties may conduct discovery.

28           For Plaintiff's information, the proper manner of promulgating discovery is to send

1 demands for documents or interrogatories (questions asking for specific, factual responses)  
2 directly to Defendants' counsel. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 33-34. The scope of discovery is limited to  
3 matters "relevant to the claim or defense of any party . . ." *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1).  
4 Discovery may be further limited by court order if "(i) the discovery sought is unreasonably  
5 cumulative or duplicative, or is obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less  
6 burdensome, or less expensive; (ii) the party seeking discovery has had ample opportunity by  
7 discovery in the action to obtain the information sought; or (iii) the burden or expense of the  
8 proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2). In order to comply  
9 with the requirements of Rule 26, before deciding to promulgate discovery plaintiff may find it  
10 to his benefit to wait until defendants have filed a dispositive motion which could include some  
11 or all of the discovery plaintiff might seek. In addition, no motion to compel will be considered  
12 by the Court unless the meet-and-confer requirement of Rule 37(a)(2)(B) and N.D. Cal. Local  
13 Rule 37-1 has been satisfied. Because Plaintiff is detained, he is not required to meet and confer  
14 with Defendants in person. Rather, if his discovery requests are denied, and he intends to seek a  
15 motion to compel, he must send a letter to Defendants to that effect, offering them one last  
16 opportunity to provide him with the sought-after information.

17 9. It is Plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the Court  
18 and all parties informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court's orders in a  
19 timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute  
20 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 DATED: 11/24/2010

23   
\_\_\_\_\_  
LUCY H. KOH  
United States District Judge