Extending Time To Respond To Complaint

CASE No. CV10-02745

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS on June 28, 2010, Plaintiffs served the Summons and Complaint on Defendant Morgan Hill Unified School District ("District") only;

WHEREAS on July 1, 2010, Plaintiffs filed a Declaration of Service evidencing that they had served the District with the Summons and Complaint [Docket #4];

WHEREAS by rule, the District would be required to file a response to Plaintiffs' Complaint on or before July 19, 2010;

WHEREAS counsel for Plaintiffs has agreed to extend the deadline by which the District must file its responsive pleading to Plaintiffs' Complaint by 45 days, through and including September 2, 2010.

NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to Rule 6-1(a) of the Local Civil Rules of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, it is hereby stipulated by and among Plaintiffs and the District, through their counsel, that the District shall have until September 2. 2010 to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint.

## IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: July 15, 2010

GORDON & REES LLP

By:

STEPHANIE BRADSHAW Attorneys for Defendant MORGAN HILL UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Dated: July 15, 2010

THE BECKER LAW FIRM

By:

WILLIAM J. BECKER Attorneys for Plaintiffs JOHN and DIANNA DARIANO, individually and on behalf of their son "M.D."; KURT and JULIE ANN FAGERSTROM, individually and on behalf of their son "D.M."; and KENDALL and JOY JONES. individually and on behalf of their son "D.G."

-2-