

1 KERSHAW, CUTTER & RATINOFF, LLP  
 William A. Kershaw (State Bar No. 057486)  
 2 wkershaw@kcrlegal.com  
 C. Brooks Cutter (State Bar No. 121407)  
 3 bcutter@kcrlegal.com  
 Stuart C. Talley (State Bar No. 180374)  
 4 stalley@kcrlegal.com  
 John R. Parker, Jr. (State Bar No. 257761)  
 5 jparker@kcrlegal.com  
 401 Watt Avenue  
 6 Sacramento, California 95864  
 Tel: (916) 448-9800  
 7 Fax: (916) 669-4499

8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
 Michael James Goodglick, Karen Young,  
 9 Joshua Gibson, Brandon Ellison Reininger,  
 Trevor Antunez, Jessica Lares, Jaywell Sands,  
 10 Bryan Clover, Jaclyn Badolato, Nicole  
 Stankovitz, and Vinny Curbelo  
 11

12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 13 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION**

14 MICHAEL JAMES GOODGLICK,  
 15 KAREN YOUNG, JOSHUA GILSON,  
 BRANDON ELLISON REININGER,  
 16 TREVOR ANTUNEZ, JESSICA LARES,  
 17 JAYWILL SANDS, BRYAN COLVER,  
 JACLYN BADOLATO, NICOLE  
 18 STANKOVITZ, and VINNY CURBELO,  
 on behalf of themselves and all others who  
 19 are similarly situation,

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 APPLE INC., AT&T Corp.,

23 Defendants.  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28

Case No. 5:10-cv-02862-RMW

**STIPULATION AND ORDER  
 TO FILE SECOND AMENDED  
 COMPLAINT TO SUBSTITUTE  
 DEFENDANT AT&T MOBILITY LLC FOR  
 AT&T CORP.**

The Hon. Ronald M. Whyte

FAC filed: June 30, 2010

1 WHEREAS, on June 29, 2010, Plaintiff Michael James Goodglick filed a complaint in the  
2 Northern District of California, naming Apple Inc. as a defendant.

3 WHEREAS, on June 30, 2010, Plaintiffs Michael James Goodglick Karen Young, Joshua  
4 Gilson, Brandon Ellison Reininger, Trevor Antunez, Jessica Lares, Jaywill Sands, Bryan Colver,  
5 Jaclyn Badolato, Nicole Stankovitz and Vinny Curbelo filed a First Amended Complaint which,  
6 among other changes, added AT&T Corp. as a defendant.

7 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs on the one hand, and AT&T Mobility LLC and AT&T Corp. on  
8 the other, have stipulated and agree that AT&T Mobility LLC should be substituted as a named  
9 defendant in the place of AT&T Corp.

10 WHEREAS, Defendant Apple Inc. does not object to the substitution of AT&T Mobility  
11 LLC in the place of AT&T Corp. as a defendant.

12 THEREFORE, in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2), all parties, by  
13 and through their attorneys, stipulate to the filing of a Second Amended Complaint, attached as  
14 Exhibit A to this Stipulation, for the purpose of substituting AT&T Mobility LLC for defendant  
15 AT&T Corp.

16 Dated: July 28, 2010

KERSHAW, CUTTER & RATINOFF, LLP

17  
18 By: /s/ Stuart C. Talley  
19 Stuart C. Talley  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

20 Dated: July 28, 2010

CROWELL & MORING, LLP

21  
22 By: /s/ Kathleen Sooy  
23 Kathleen Sooy  
Attorneys for Defendants  
AT&T Mobility LLC and AT&T Corp.

24 Dated: July 28, 2010

MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP

25  
26 By: /s/ Penelope A. Preovolos  
27 Penelope A. Preovolos  
Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.

28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ORDER**

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 5, 2010

By: *Ronald M. Whyte*  
The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte  
United States District Court Judge