1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
11	NORTHERN DIST	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
12	SAN JO	SAN JOSE DIVISION				
13	AVAGO TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,) Case No.: 5:10-cv-02863-EJD				
14	Plaintiffs,) ORDER RE: MOTION TO SEAL				
15 16	V.)) (Re: Docket No. 563)				
10	IPTRONICS INC., et al.,))				
18	Defendants.)				
19	Defendants seek to file eighteen docum	nents under seal. ¹ "Historically, courts have				
20		public records and documents, including judicial				
21						
22	records and documents." ² Accordingly, when considering a sealing request, "a 'strong					
23	presumption in favor of access' is the starting	point." ³ Parties seeking to seal judicial records				
24						
25	$\frac{1}{1}$ See Docket Nos. 563.					
26	² Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting Nixon v					
27	Warner Commc'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 597 &	n. 7 (1978)).				
28	³ Id. (quoting Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. In	ns. Co., 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2003)).				
		1				

Case No.: 5:10-cv-02863-EJD ORDER RE: MOTION TO SEAL 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

relating to dispositive motions bear the burden of overcoming the presumption with "compelling reasons" that outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure.⁴

However, "while protecting the public's interest in access to the courts, we must remain mindful of the parties' right to access those same courts upon terms which will not unduly harm their competitive interest."⁵ Records attached to nondispositive motions therefore are not subject to the strong presumption of access.⁶ Because the documents attached to nondispositive motions "are often unrelated, or only tangentially related, to the underlying cause of action," parties moving to seal must meet the lower "good cause" standard of Rule 26(c).⁷ As with dispositive motions, the standard applicable to nondispositive motions requires a "particularized showing"⁸ that "specific prejudice or harm will result" if the information is disclosed.⁹ "Broad allegations of harm, unsubstantiated by specific examples of articulated reasoning" will not suffice.¹⁰ A protective order sealing the documents during discovery may reflect the court's previous determination that good cause exists to keep the documents sealed,¹¹ but a blanket protective order that allows the parties to designate confidential documents does not provide sufficient judicial scrutiny to determine whether each particular document should remain sealed.¹²

⁴ *Id.* at 1178-79.

⁵ Apple Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., 727 F.3d 1214, 1228-29 (Fed. Cir. 2013).

⁶ See id. at 1180.

⁷ *Id.* at 1179 (internal quotations and citations omitted).

⁸ Id.

⁹ *Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206, 1210-11 (9th Cir. 2002); *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c).

¹⁰ Beckman Indus., Inc. v. Int'l Ins. Co., 966 F.2d 470, 476 (9th Cir. 1992).

¹¹ See Kamakana, 447 F.3d at 1179-80.

²⁷ ¹² See Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(A) ("Reference to a stipulation or protective order that allows a party to designate certain documents as confidential is not sufficient to establish that a document, or portions thereof, are sealable.").

Case No.: 5:10-cv-02863-EJD ORDER RE: MOTION TO SEAL 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

24

25

27

28

In addition to making particularized showings of good cause, parties moving to seal documents must comply with the procedures established by Civ. L.R. 79-5. Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 79-5(b), a sealing order is appropriate only upon a request that establishes the document is "sealable," or "privileged or protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law." "The request must be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material, and must conform with Civil L.R. 79-5(d).¹³ "Within 4 days of the filing of the Administrative" Motion to File Under Seal, the Designating Party must file a declaration as required by subsection 79-5(d)(1)(A) establishing that all of the designated material is sealable."¹⁴

With these standards in mind, the courts rules on the instant motion as follows:

<u>Motion</u>	Document to be Sealed	Result	Reason/Explanation
Docket No.	Defendants' Motion to	16:10-12 SEALED.	Only sealed portions narrowly
563-21	Strike	Remainder	tailored to confidential technical
		UNSEALED.	information and supported by a
			declaration.
Docket No.	Exhibit 1 to the	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to
563-22	Brandwajn Declaration		confidential technical or
	_		business information.
Docket	Exhibit 2 to the	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to
Nos. 563-	Brandwajn Declaration		confidential technical or
23, 563-24			business information.
Docket No.	Exhibit 3 to the	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to
563-25	Brandwajn Declaration		confidential technical or
			business information.
Docket No.	Exhibit 4 to the	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to
563-26	Brandwajn Declaration		confidential technical or
			business information.
Docket No.	Exhibit 5 to the	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to
563-27	Brandwajn Declaration		confidential technical or
			business information.
Docket No.	Exhibit 6 to the	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to
563-28	Brandwajn Declaration		confidential technical or
			business information.
Docket No.	Exhibit 7 to the	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to

¹³ Civ. L.R. 79-5(b). In part, Civ. L.R. 79-5(d) requires the submitting party to attach a "proposed order that is narrowly tailored to seal only the sealable material" which "lists in table format each document or portion thereof that is sought to be sealed," Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(b), and an "unreadacted version of the document" that indicates "by highlighting or other clear method, the 26 portions of the document that have been omitted from the redacted version." Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)(1)(d).

¹⁴ Civ. L.R. 79-5(e)(1).

Case No.: 5:10-cv-02863-EJD ORDER RE: MOTION TO SEAL

563-29	Brandwajn Declaration		confidential technical or
			business information.
Docket No.	Exhibit 8 to the	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to
563-30	Brandwajn Declaration		confidential technical or
De alast Ma	Exhibit 9 to the		business information.
Docket No. 563-31		UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential technical or
303-31	Brandwajn Declaration		
Docket No.	Exhibit 10 to the	UNSEALED.	business information.
563-32		UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to
505-52	Brandwajn Declaration		confidential technical or
			business information.
Docket No.	Exhibit 11 to the	UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to
563-33	Brandwajn Declaration		confidential technical or
De alas AN	Exhibit 12 to the		business information.
Docket No.		UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to
563-34	Brandwajn Declaration		confidential technical or
De alas AN	Exhibit 13 to the		business information.
Docket No.		Highlighted portions SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly
563-35	Brandwajn Declaration	SEALED.	tailored to confidential technical
			information and supported by a
Docket No.	Exhibit 14 to the		declaration.
		Highlighted portions SEALED.	Sealed portions narrowly tailored to confidential technical
563-36	Brandwajn Declaration	SEALED.	
			information and supported by a
Docket No.	Exhibit 16 to the	UNSEALED.	declaration.
563-37		UNSEALED.	Not narrowly tailored to confidential technical
303-37	Brandwajn Declaration		
			information and not supported
Docket	Exhibit 18 to the	SEALED.	by a declaration.
Nos. 563-		SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confidential technical information and
	Brandwajn Declaration		
38; 563-39	Exhibit 10 to the		supported by a declaration.
		SEALED.	
	brandwajn Declaration		
Docket Nos. 563- 40, 563-41	Exhibit 19 to the Brandwajn Declaration	SEALED.	Narrowly tailored to confider technical information and supported by a declaration.

Within seven days, the designating party may file a more narrowly tailored motion to file
under seal. Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 79-5, a proposed order must contain a chart specifically showing
what the party seeks to seal. An unredacted version must contain highlighting showing the court
what the designating party seeks to seal within that document.

SO ORDERED.

24

25

26

27

28

Dated: May 14, 2015

Paul S. GREWAL

United States Magistrate Judge

Case No.: 5:10-cv-02863-EJD ORDER RE: MOTION TO SEAL 4