1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 NOT FOR CITATION 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 JOSEPH C. WILSON, No. C 10-02870 JF (PR) 13 Plaintiff. ORDER OF SERVICE: DIRECTING DEFENDANT TO FILE DISPOSITIVE 14 MOTION OR NOTICE REGARDING VS. SUCH MOTION; INSTRUCTIONS TO **CLERK** 15 DR. R. MACK, et al., 16 Defendants. 17 18 Plaintiff, a California inmate currently incarcerated at the Salinas Valley State 19 Prison ("SVSP") in Soledad, filed the instant civil rights action in pro se pursuant to 42 20 U.S.C. § 1983 against prison officials for unconstitutional acts. Plaintiff's motion for 21 leave to proceed in forma pauperis will be granted in a separate written order. 22 23 **DISCUSSION** 24 **Standard of Review** 25 **A.** 26 A federal court must conduct a preliminary screening in any case in which a 27 prisoner seeks redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In its review, the court must identify 28 Order of Service; Directing Ds to file Disp. Motion 1 P:\PRO-SE\SJ.JF\CR.10\Wilson02870_svc.wpd

Wilson v. Mack et al

Doc. 10

Dockets.Justia.com

1 | an 2 | cl 3 | ir 4 | li

Order of Service; Directing Ds to file Disp. Motion

P:\PRO-SE\SJ.JF\CR.10\Wilson02870_svc.wpd

any cognizable claims and dismiss any claims that are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. See id. § 1915A(b)(1),(2). Pro se pleadings must, however, be liberally construed. See Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1988).

To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. See West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

B. Plaintiff's Claims

Plaintiff alleges that on SVSP medical staff are not providing him with proper medical care. (Compl. at 3.) Plaintiff claims that he is suffering from herniated discs and arthritis in his back and neck and that he suffers from chronic pain. (Id.) Plaintiff alleges that defendants are aware of his condition but that they are not providing him with proper medication to treat the pain. (Id.) Liberally construed, this claim is cognizable as a claim of deliberate indifference to serious medical needs under the Eighth Amendment. See Estelle v. Gamble, 429 U.S. 97, 104 (1976).

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, the Court orders as follows:

1. The Clerk of the Court shall issue summons and the United States Marshal shall serve, without prepayment of fees, a copy of the complaint in this matter, all attachments thereto, and a copy of this order upon **Defendants Dr. R. Mack, Dr. F. Tuvera, Dr. D. Swingle, and J. Walker** at the **Salinas Valley State Prison**. The Clerk shall also <u>mail courtesy copies</u> of the Complaint and this order to the California Attorney General's Office.

Defendant Warden A. Hedgepeth is DISMISSED from this action as Plaintiff has made no specific allegations against this defendant, and under no circumstances is there

respondent superior liability under section 1983. <u>See Taylor v. List</u>, 880 F.2d 1040, 1045 (9th Cir. 1989). The Clerk shall terminate this defendant from this action.

- 2. No later than **sixty** (**60**) **days** from the date of this order, Defendants shall file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion with respect to the claims in the complaint found to be cognizable above, or, within such time, notify the Court that Defendants are of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by such a motion.
- a. If Defendants elect to file a motion to dismiss on the grounds that Plaintiff failed to exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), Defendants shall do so in an unenumerated Rule 12(b) motion pursuant to Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108, 1119-20 (9th Cir. 2003), cert. denied Alameida v. Terhune, 540 U.S. 810 (2003).
- b. Any motion for summary judgment shall be supported by adequate factual documentation and shall conform in all respects to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Defendants are advised that summary judgment cannot be granted, nor qualified immunity found, if material facts are in dispute. If Defendants are of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, they shall so inform the Court prior to the date the summary judgment motion is due.
- 3. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion shall be filed with the Court and served on Defendants no later than **thirty** (**30**) **days** from the date Defendants' motion is filed.
- a. In the event Defendants file an unenumerated motion to dismiss under Rule 12(b), Plaintiff is hereby cautioned as follows:¹

The Defendants have made a motion to dismiss pursuant to Rule 12(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on the ground you have not exhausted your administrative remedies. The motion will, if granted, result in the dismissal of your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust, and that motion is properly supported by

¹ The following notice is adapted from the summary judgment notice to be given to pro se prisoners as set forth in <u>Rand v. Rowland</u>, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). <u>See Wyatt v. Terhune</u>, 315 F.3d at 1120 n.14.

declarations (or other sworn testimony) and/or documents, you may not simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or documents, that contradict the facts shown in the Defendant's declarations and documents and show that you have in fact exhausted your claims. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, the motion to dismiss, if appropriate, may be granted and the case dismissed.

b. In the event Defendants file a motion for summary judgment, the Ninth Circuit has held that the following notice should be given to Plaintiff:

The defendants have made a motion for summary judgment by which they seek to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact--that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendants' declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted in favor of defendants, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

See Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc).

Plaintiff is advised to read Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317 (1986) (holding party opposing summary judgment must come forward with evidence showing triable issues of material fact on every essential element of his claim). Plaintiff is cautioned that failure to file an opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment may be deemed to be a consent by Plaintiff to the granting of the motion, and granting of judgment against plaintiff without a trial. See Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53-54 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam); Brydges v. Lewis, 18 F.3d 651, 653 (9th Cir. 1994).

4. Defendants <u>shall</u> file a reply brief no later than **fifteen** (**15**) **days** after Plaintiff's opposition is filed.

- 5. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the Court so orders at a later date.
- 6. All communications by the Plaintiff with the Court must be served on Defendants, or Defendants' counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the document to Defendants or Defendants' counsel.
- 7. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. No further Court order is required before the parties may conduct discovery.
- 8. It is Plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the Court informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

Dona C. 1

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:	11/18/10	8	N	
<i></i>		JEREMY FC GEL United States District Judge		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSEPH C. WILSON,	Case Number: CV10-02870 JF	
Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
v.		
DR. R. MACK, et al.,		
Defendants.		
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I a Court, Northern District of California.	am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District	
attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a p	, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) relope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into d in the Clerk's office.	
Joseph C. Wilson J-45144 Salinas Valley State Prison D2-132L PO Box 1020 Soledad, CA 93960		
Dated:		
	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk	