1	Avi Melech Kreitenberg, Esq. (SBN 266 akreitenberg@kamberlaw.com	571)
2	I KAMBERLAW LIP	
3	1180 South Beverly Drive Suite 601 Los Angeles, CA 90035 Telephone: (310) 400-1050 Facsimile: (310) 400-1056	
4	Facsimile: (310) 400-1056	
5	Counsel for Plaintiff	
6		
7		S DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8		ON, LOS ANGELES
9		
10	DANIELLE REYAS on behalf of	2:10-cv-03886-JFW-AJW
11	herself and all others similarly situated,	STIPULATION TO TRANSFER
12	Plaintiff,	<u>VENUE</u>
13	V.	
14		
15	GOOGLE, INC.	
16	Defendant.	
17		
18	STIPULATION TO	TRANSFER VENUE
19	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404, the	Parties hereby file this Stipulation to
20	Transfer Venue to the United States Dist	rict Court for the Northern District of
21	California, San Jose Division, and in sup	port thereof, respectfully show:
22	This class action lawsuit was filed	on May 24, 2010, <sup>1</sup> and involves
23	allegations that Defendant Google, Inc.'s	"Street View" program unlawfully
24	intercepted and stored Plaintiff's and men	mbers of the class's private information, in
25	violation of Federal and State law. In ad-	dition to this lawsuit, nine other lawsuits
26	have been filed in various Federal courts	regarding the alleged causes of action
27		
28	<sup>1</sup> See Docket Entry 1.	
	STIPULATION TO TRANSFER VENU 2:10-cv-03886-JFW-AJW	E
	2.10-CV-03880-JF W-AJ W	Dockets.Justia

1	described in Plaintiff's Original Complaint. <sup>2</sup> Two such cases are currently pending
2	before the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San
3	Jose Division. <sup>3</sup> The two Northern District cases have been determined to be related
4	pursuant to the local rules of that court and have each been assigned to the
5	Honorable Judge Ware for consolidated proceedings. <sup>4</sup> In addition, on June 8, 2010,
6	Plaintiffs in one of the other cases filed a Motion with the Joint Panel on Multi-
7	District Litigation ("JPMDL") seeking consolidation of each of this and the nine
8	other above-described lawsuits in the United States District Court for the District of
9	Columbia <sup>5</sup> Defendant Google and plaintiffs in some other cases have responded to
10	that motion seeking consolidation in United States District Court for the Northern
11	District of California.
12	By this Stipulation, the Parties agree to the Transfer of this action to the
13	United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Jose
14	
15	
16	<sup>2</sup> See Van Valin v. Google, Inc., Civil Action No. 10-0557 (D. Or.)(Mosman,
17	J.); Berlage v. Google, Inc., Civil Action No. 10-2187 (N.D. Cal.)(Ware, J.);
18	Galaxy Internet Services, Inc. v. Google, Inc., Civil Action No. 10-10871 (D. Mass.)(Young, J.); Colman v. Google, Inc., Civil Action No. 10-0877
19	(D.D.C.)(Bates, J.); Stokes v. Google, Inc., Civil Action No. 10-2306 (N.D.
20	Cal.)(Ware, J.); <i>Keyes v. Google, Inc.</i> , Civil Action No. 10-0896 (D.D.C.)(Bates, J.); <i>Redstone v. Google, Inc.</i> , Civil Action No. 10-0400 (S.D. Ill.)(Gilbert, J.); and
21	Carter v. Google, Inc., Civil Action No. 10-2649 (E.D. Pa.)(Slomsky, J.);
22	Mulholland v. Google, Inc., Civil Action No. 10-2787 (E.D. Pa.)(Slomsky, J.).
23	<sup>3</sup> Berlage v. Google, Inc., Civil Action No. 10-2187 (N.D. Cal.)(Ware, J.) and Stokes v. Google, Inc., Civil Action No. 10-2306 (N.D. Cal.)(Ware, J.).
24	<sup>4</sup> See Berlage and Stokes, Supra Note 3 (docket entries 28 and 19
25	respectively).

26

27

28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Motion of Plaintiffs Patrick Keyes, Deepa Isac, and Edward Fenn to Transfer and Consolidate Related Cases in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia (filed in each of the above-referenced cases listed in footnote 2 (attached hereto for the Court's convenience as Exhibit 1).

1	Division. Transfer of this matter is controlled by 28 U.S.C. § 1404, which
2	provides:
3	For the convenience of parties and witnesses, in the
4	For the convenience of parties and witnesses, in the interest of justice, a district court may transfer any civil action to any other district or division where it might have been brought.
5	been brought.
6	In its Memorandum to the JPMDL, Defendant supports transfer of all the lawsuits
7	to the Northern District of California primarily because Defendant's principal place
8	of business and headquarters are located in Mountain View, California, which is in
9	the Northern District of California, and because Google believes that most of the
10	likely witnesses and relevant documents are located in the Northern District of
11	California.
12	Because Defendant's principal place of business is located within the
13	Northern District, this action could have been brought there initially, making
14	transfer to the Northern District appropriate under 28 U.S.C. § 1404. Furthermore,
15	based on Defendant's representations regarding the location of relevant witnesses
16	and documents, Plaintiff agrees that the convenience of the parties and witnesses to
17	this action warrants transfer and therefore supports transfer of this action to the
18	Northern District of California, San Jose Division.
19	NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing, plaintiffs and
20	Google Inc. agree and hereby stipulate to:
21	Transfer this action to the United States District Court for the Northern
22	District of California, San Jose Division and request that this action be transferred
23	accordingly;
24	All pretrial deadlines shall be stayed pending the JPML's resolution of
25	whether the Google Wi-Fi cases, and all subsequently-filed related actions, should
26	
27	
28	<sup>6</sup> 28 U.S.C.A. 8 1404 (West) (emphasis added)

1	be transferred to a single judicial district for coordinated or consolidated pretrial
2	proceedings;
3	In the event that the JPML denies transfer of the Google Wi-Fi cases, and all
4	subsequently-filed related actions, to a single judicial district for coordinated or
5	consolidated pretrial proceedings, Google shall have thirty days from the date of the
6	order denying transfer to plead, answer, move, or otherwise respond to plaintiffs'
7	class action complaint; and
8	In the event that the JPML orders the transfer of the Google Wi-Fi cases, and
9	all subsequently-filed related actions, to a single judicial district for coordinated or
10	consolidated pretrial proceedings, Google shall plead, answer, move, or otherwise
11	respond to plaintiffs' class action complaint within thirty days from (a) the date that
12	plaintiffs file a master consolidated complaint in the transferee district or (b) the
13	date that it is resolved by the transferee court and/or counsel for plaintiffs and
14	Google that no master consolidated complaint will be filed.
15	
16	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 20th day of July, 2010.
17	KAMBERLAW LLP
18	/s/ Avi Melech Kreitenberg
19	Avi Melech Kreitenberg (SBN 266571) akreitenberg@kamberlaw.com 1180 South Beverly Drive Suite 601
20	1180 South Beverly Drive Suite 601
21	Los Angeles, CA 90035 Telephone: (310) 400-1050 Facsimile: (310) 400-1056
22	Ilana Doust (SBN 250270)
23	idoust@sbcglobal.net <b>DOUST LAW</b>
24	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS
25	ATTORNETS FOR TLAIMITES
26	
27 28	

1	PERKINS COIE LLP
2	/s/ Gigi C. Hoang
3	Gigi C. Hoang, (SBN 241182) GHoang@perkinscoie.com
4	/s/ Gigi C. Hoang Gigi C. Hoang, (SBN 241182) GHoang@perkinscoie.com 1888 Century Park East, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721 Telephone: 310.788.9900
5	Telephone: 310.788.9900 Facsimile: 310.843.1245
6	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

STIPULATION TO TRANSFER VENUE 2:10-cv-03886-JFW-AJW

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I certify that on July 20, 2010, I electronically filed the above Motion with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this day on all counsel of record identified on the attached Service List in the manner specified, either via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or by U. S. mail for those counsel or parties who are not authorized to receive electronically Notices of Electronic Filing. /s/ Avi Melech Kreitenberg

- 7 -