Bennett et al v. Si	untrust Mortgage Inc. II	Ďo	
4			
		**E-Filed 8/24/2010**	
2		L-1 1164 0/24/2010	
3	·	•••	
4			
5			
6			
7	·		
. 8	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
. 9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA-SAN JOSE		
10	·		
11	Claude & Pamela Bennett,	Case No.: CV10-03375 JF	
12	Plaintiffs,	{PROPOSED}-ORDER GRANTING	
13	·	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-3	
		AND REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO "ORIGINAL PETITION"	
14	SunTrust Mortgage Inc.,		
15	Defendant.	LOCAL RULE 6-3 FRCP 6(B)(1)(A)	
16		·	
17	Having considered the administrative motion and supporting declaration submitted by		
18			
19	defendant SunTrust Mortgage Inc. pursuant to Local Rule 6-3 requesting an extension of time to		
20	and through September 13, 2010 to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs Claude and Pamela		
21	Bennett's Original Petition,		
22	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the deadline for SunTrust to file an answer or other		
23	wise respond to Plaintiffs' Original Petition is September 13, 2010.		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
	0/04/0040		
25	Dated:		
26			
27	Hon. Je et ly 6. Fogel United States District Judge  [Proposed-Order Granting Administrative Motion Pursuant to		
28			
	<u> </u>	Local Rule 6-3	

Doc. 12

Dockets.Justia.com