\*\*E-Filed 11/9/10\*\* 1 2 3 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 SAN JOSE DIVISION 9 10 CLAUDE & PAMELA BENNETT, Case No. 5:10-CV-03375-JF (HRL) 11 Plaintiffs, ORDER<sup>1</sup> DENYING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME; GRANTING 12 MOTION TO DISMISS WITH LEAVE v. TO AMEND; VACATING HEARING 13 SUNTRUST MORTGAGE, INC., DATE: AND CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE 14 Defendant. [re: document nos. 13, 18, 20] 15 16 17 On August 2, 2010, Plaintiffs Claude and Pamela Bennett, proceeding pro se, filed their 18 original complaint against Defendant Suntrust Mortgage, Inc. Plaintiffs allege violations of the 19 Truth in Lending Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1601 et seq. and other related claims. On September 13, 20 2010, Defendant filed a motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' complaint. The Court concludes that this 21 motion is appropriate for determination without oral argument and will vacate the hearing date of 22 November 12, 2010. See Civ. L.R. 7-1(b). 23 Under this Court's Civil Local Rules, Plaintiffs' opposition was due at least twenty-one 24 days before the noticed hearing date of November 12, 2010, or by October 22, 2010. Plaintiffs 25 did not file any opposition papers. Instead they filed a motion for an extension of time to file 26 27 This disposition is not designated for publication in the official reports. 28 Case No. 5:10-CV-03375-JF (HRL) ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME; GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS WITH LEAVE TO AMEND; VACATING HEARING; AND CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

1	opposition or	n November 2, 2010, which will be denied as untimely. Because the motion to
2	dismiss appears well-taken and is unopposed, the motion to dismiss will be granted for the	
3	reasons state	d therein. Given Plaintiffs' pro se status and the fact that the operative complaint is
4	the original p	pleading, leave to amend will be granted. Any amended pleading shall be filed
5	within thirty	(30) days after the date of this order.
6		ORDER
7	For g	ood cause shown,
8	(1)	Plaintiffs' motion for extension of time is DENIED;
9	(2)	Defendant's motion to dismiss is GRANTED, WITH LEAVE TO AMEND;
10	(3)	any amended pleading shall be filed within thirty (30) days after the date of this
11		order;
12	(4)	the hearing date of November 12, 2010 is VACATED; and
13	(5)	the Case Management Conference set for November 12, 2010 is CONTINUED to
14		January 14, 2011 at 10:30 a.m.
15		
16	DATED: N	ovember 9, 2010
17		
18		JER AY FOGE
19		United States District Judge
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		2

1	A copy of this order has been served upon the following persons:
2	Claude & Pamela Bennett
3	Claude & Pamela Bennett 508 Merrmann Drive Monterey, CA 93940
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3
	Case No. 5:10-CV-03375-JF (HRL) ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME; GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS WITH LEAVE TO