

1 ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN  
 & DOWD LLP  
 2 MICHAEL J. DOWD (135628),  
 miked@rgrdlaw.com  
 3 JOHN K. GRANT (169813),  
 johng@rgrdlaw.com  
 4 SHAWN A. WILLIAMS (213113),  
 shawnw@rgrdlaw.com  
 5 Post Montgomery Center  
 One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800  
 6 San Francisco, California 94104  
 Telephone: 415-288-4545  
 7 Facsimile: 415-288-4534

8 AND

9 JOHN C. HERMAN (*pro hac vice*),  
 jherman@rgrdlaw.com  
 10 RYAN K. WALSH (*pro hac vice*),  
 rwalsh@rgrdlaw.com  
 11 PETER M. JONES (*pro hac vice*),  
 pjones@rgrdlaw.com  
 12 DAVID L. GANN (*pro hac vice*),  
 dgann@rgrdlaw.com  
 13 3424 Peachtree Road, N.E.  
 Monarch Centre, Suite 1650  
 14 Atlanta, Georgia 30326  
 Telephone: 404-504-6500  
 15 Facsimile: 404-504-6501

16 Attorneys for Plaintiff,  
 U.S. Ethernet Innovations, LLC

John W. Thornburgh (154627)  
 thornburgh@fr.com  
 Seth M. Sproul (217711)  
 sproul@fr.com  
 Fish & Richardson P.C.  
 12390 El Camino Real  
 San Diego, CA 92130  
 Phone: 858-678-5070 / Fax: 858-678-5099

Garland T. Stephens, stephens@fr.com  
 David J. Healey, pro hac vice, healey@fr.com  
 Benjamin C. Elacqua, pro hac vice,  
 elacqua@fr.com  
 John P. Brinkmann, pro hac vice  
 brinkmann@fr.com  
 Fish & Richardson P.C.  
 1221 McKinney Street, Suite 2800  
 Houston, TX 77010  
 Phone: 713-654-5300 / Fax: 713-652-0109

Thad C. Kodish, pro hac vice, tkodish@fr.com  
 Aamir A. Kazi, pro hac vice, kazi@fr.com  
 Fish & Richardson P.C.  
 1180 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 2100  
 Atlanta, GA 30309  
 Phone: 404-892-5005 / Fax: 404-892-5002

Attorneys for Intervenor Intel Corporation

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

20 U.S. Ethernet Innovations, LLC,  
 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 Acer, Inc., et al.,

23 Defendants.

24 

---

AT&T, Inc, et al.,

25 Defendants.

26 

---

Zions Bancorporation,

27 Declaratory Judgment Plaintiff.

) Case No. 5:10-cv-03724 JW  
 ) Case No. 5:10-cv-05254 JW  
 ) Case No. 5:10-cv-03481 JW

) STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
 ) ORDER RELATING TO  
 ) ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO FILE  
 ) CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF  
 ) PURSUANT TO FIRST CLAIM  
 ) CONSTRUCTION ORDER

) Judge: Hon. James Ware  
 ) Courtroom: 9, 19th Floor

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties, through  
2 their respective counsel, and subject to the approval of the Court, as follows:

3 Pursuant to the Court's Order of March 5, 2012 [Dk. No. 594] (the "Order"), the parties  
4 are to provide on March 14, 2012 simultaneous supplemental briefs relating to all phrases  
5 identified in the Court's January 31 Order. The parties hereby jointly request that the Court  
6 extend the deadline to file supplemental briefs by one day to March 15, 2012.

7 WHEREAS, the parties are working diligently to finish the supplemental briefing ordered  
8 by the Court in its March 5th Order.

9 WHEREAS, Plaintiff requires one additional day to prepare its submission.

10 WHEREAS, the proposed deadline of March 15 provides 25 days until the Case  
11 Management Conference, and will not affect any other date set by the Court.

12 Accordingly, the parties STIPULATE AND AGREE that the date to simultaneously file  
13 supplemental briefs relating to all of the phrases identified in the Court's January 31 Order be  
14 extended to Thursday, March 15, 2012 and the date to deliver two chambers copies of the  
15 supplemental briefs in three-ring binders to the Court remains on or before Friday, March 16,  
16 2012.

17 DATED: March 14, 2012 ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP

18  
19 By: /s/ Peter M. Jones  
Peter M. Jones, [pjones@rgrdlaw.com](mailto:pjones@rgrdlaw.com)

20 Counsel for Plaintiff,  
21 U.S. ETHERNET INNOVATIONS, LLC

22  
23 DATED: March 14, 2012 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.

24  
25 By: /s/ Seth M. Sproul  
Seth M. Sproul, [sproul@fr.com](mailto:sproul@fr.com)

26 Counsel for Intervenor INTEL CORPORATION

27 On behalf of Defendants

28

1 **DECLARATION OF CONSENT**

2 Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under  
3 penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the  
4 parties hereto.

5  
6 DATED: March 14, 2012

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP

7  
8 By: /s/ Peter M. Jones  
Peter M. Jones, [pjones@rgrdlaw.com](mailto:pjones@rgrdlaw.com)

9  
10 Counsel for Plaintiff,  
U.S. ETHERNET INNOVATIONS, LLP

11  
12  
13 **ORDER**

14  
15 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

16  
17 Dated: March 16, 2012

18   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. James Ware, Chief Judge  
United States District Court