

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

MARGOTH MENDOZA,
Plaintiff,
v.
CITIMORTGAGE, INC.,
Defendant.

Case No.: C 10-3550 PVT

**ORDER CONTINUING HEARING, AND
SETTING DEADLINE FOR PARTIES TO FILE
EITHER A “CONSENT TO PROCEED
BEFORE A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE
JUDGE,” OR ELSE A “DECLINATION TO
PROCEED BEFORE A UNITED STATES
MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND REQUEST FOR
REASSIGNMENT”**

On August 19, 2010, Defendant filed a Motion to Dismiss.¹ Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 73-1(a)(2), no later than one week after that motion was filed each party was required to file either a written consent to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge, or request reassignment to a District Judge.² Neither of the parties have yet done so. Therefore, based on the file herein,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the hearing on the motion to dismiss is CONTINUED to 10:00 a.m. on October 19, 2010.


IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, no later than October 5, 2010, all parties who have

¹ The holding of this court is limited to the facts and the particular circumstances underlying the present motion.

² Magistrate Judges have authority to hear dispositive motions, such as the motion to amend, only in cases where all parties have consented to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1).

1 appeared in this action and who have not been dismissed shall file either a “Consent to Proceed
2 Before a United States Magistrate Judge,” or else a “Declination to Proceed Before a United States
3 Magistrate Judge and Request for Reassignment to a United States District Judge.”³

4 Dated: 9/23/10

5 
6 PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL
7 United States Magistrate Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 ³ Both forms are available from the clerk of the court, or from the “Forms” section of the
court’s website (www.cand.uscourts.gov).