17

18

19

20

21

22

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		STATES DISTRICT COUDT
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	ANDREW SEVEY,) No. C 10-3677 LHK (PR)
12	Plaintiff,)) ORDER OF SERVICE;
13	v.) DIRECTING DEFENDANTS TO) FILE DISPOSITIVE MOTION
14	SOLIZ, et al.,) OR NOTICE REGARDING) SUCH MOTION
15	Defendants.)) (Docket No. 8)
16)

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, filed an amended civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging that employees of the Lake County Sheriff's Department, violated his constitutional rights. Plaintiff's notification and request to proceed on the amended complaint is GRANTED. For the reasons stated below, the Court orders service upon the named defendants.

DISCUSSION

23 A. <u>Standard of Review</u>

A federal court must conduct a preliminary screening in any case in which a prisoner
seeks redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. *See*28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In its review, the Court must identify any cognizable claims and dismiss
any claims that are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted or
seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. *See* 28 U.S.C.

Order of Service; Directing Defendant to File Dispositive Motion or Notice Regarding Such Motion P:\PRO-SE\SJ.LHK\CR.10\Sevey677srv2.wpd

\$ 1915A(b)(1), (2). *Pro se* pleadings must, however, be liberally construed. *See Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't.*, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1988).

To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. *See West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

B. <u>Plaintiff's Claims</u>

Plaintiff's amended complaint contains substantially the same factual allegations as his original complaint. However, Plaintiff substitutes his originally named defendant for nine others. Plaintiff alleges that on April 28, 2010, he was transported from Mule Creek State Prison to Lake County Jail. The defendants knew that he was a "sensitive needs" prisoner, and Plaintiff informed them that he would "probably" have problems if they housed him in general population. One of the female defendants said she would place Plaintiff in the general population anyway. Approximately one hour later, Plaintiff was released with fifteen other general population male inmates into the dayroom. Plaintiff was attacked and beaten by several of the inmates. Plaintiff managed to escape to his cell and eventually received treatment for his injuries. Liberally construed, Plaintiff has stated a cognizable claim against the named defendants for a failure to protect, in violation of the Eighth Amendment. The Court exercises its supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law tort claim as well.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the court hereby orders as follows:

Plaintiff's request to proceed on the amended complaint is GRANTED. The
 Clerk shall issue a summons, and the United States Marshal shall serve, without prepayment of
 fees, copies of the amended complaint in this matter (docket no. 9), all attachments thereto, and
 copies of this order on: Soliz, McCarthy, Comstock, Jimenez, Estep, Owens, Norton,
 Eagleton, and Santana of the Lake County Sheriff's Department in Lake, CA. The Clerk
 shall also serve a copy of this order on Plaintiff and mail a courtesy copy of the complaint to the
 California Attorney General's Office.

2. No later than **ninety (90) days** from the date of this order, Defendants shall file a
 motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion with respect to the cognizable claims
 in the complaint.

a. If Defendants elect to file a motion to dismiss on the grounds that Plaintiff
failed to exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a),
Defendants <u>shall</u> do so in an unenumerated Rule 12(b) motion pursuant to *Wyatt v. Terhune*, 315
F.3d 1108, 1119-20 (9th Cir. 2003).

8 b. Any motion for summary judgment shall be supported by adequate factual
9 documentation and shall conform in all respects to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil

10 Procedure. Defendants are advised that summary judgment cannot be granted, nor

11 **qualified immunity found, if material facts are in dispute. If Defendants are of the opinion**

12 **that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, they shall so inform the Court**

- 13 **prior to the date the summary judgment motion is due.**
- Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion shall be filed with the Court and
 served on Defendants no later than thirty (30) days from the date Defendants' motion is filed.
- 16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

a. In the event Defendants file an unenumerated motion to dismiss under

17 Rule 12(b), Plaintiff is hereby cautioned as follows:¹

The defendants have made a motion to dismiss pursuant to Rule 12(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on the ground you have not exhausted your administrative remedies. The motion will, if granted, result in the dismissal of your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust, and that motion is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony) and/or documents, you may not simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or documents, that contradict the facts shown in the defendant's declarations and documents and show that you have in fact exhausted your claims. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, the motion to dismiss, if appropriate, may be granted and the case dismissed.

b. In the event Defendants file a motion for summary judgment, the

25 Ninth Circuit has held that the following notice should be given to plaintiffs:

26

²⁷ ¹ The following notice is adapted from the summary judgment notice to be given to pro se
²⁸ prisoners as set forth in *Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). *See*²⁹ *Wyatt v. Terhune*, 315 F.3d at 1120 n.14.

1 2	The defendants have made a motion for summary judgment by which they seek to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.	
3		
3 4	Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material factthat is, if there is no real dispute about any fact	
5	that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case.	
6	When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply	
7	rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents,	
8	as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendants' declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact	
9	for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is	
10	granted in favor of defendants, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.	
11	See Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). Plaintiff is advised to read	
12	Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317	
13	(1986) (holding party opposing summary judgment must come forward with evidence showing	
14	triable issues of material fact on every essential element of his claim). Plaintiff is cautioned that	
15	failure to file an opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment may be deemed to be a	
16	consent by Plaintiff to the granting of the motion, and granting of judgment against Plaintiff	
17	without a trial. See Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53-54 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam); Brydges v.	
18	Lewis, 18 F.3d 651, 653 (9th Cir. 1994).	
19	4. Defendants <u>shall</u> file a reply brief no later than fifteen (15) days after Plaintiff's	
20	opposition is filed.	
21	5. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. No	
22	hearing will be held on the motion unless the court so orders at a later date.	
23	6. All communications by the Plaintiff with the court must be served on Defendants,	
24	or Defendants' counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the	
25	document to Defendants or Defendants' counsel.	
26	7. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.	
27	No further court order is required before the parties may conduct discovery.	
28	For Plaintiff's information, the proper manner of promulgating discovery is to send	
	Order of Service; Directing Defendant to File Dispositive Motion or Notice Regarding Such Motion P:\PRO-SE\SJ.LHK\CR.10\Sevey677srv2.wpd 4	

1 demands for documents or interrogatories (for example, questions asking for specific, factual 2 responses) directly to Defendants' counsel. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 33-34. The scope of discovery is 3 limited to matters "relevant to the claim or defense of any party" See Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1). Discovery may be further limited by court order if "(i) the discovery sought is unreasonably cumulative or duplicative, or is obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive; (ii) the party seeking discovery has had ample opportunity by discovery in the action to obtain the information sought; or (iii) the burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2). In order to comply with the requirements of Rule 26, before deciding to promulgate discovery, Plaintiff may find it to his benefit to wait until Defendants have filed a dispositive motion which could include some or all of the discovery Plaintiff might seek. In addition, no motion to compel will be considered by the Court unless the meet-and-confer requirement of Rule 37(a)(2)(B) and N.D. Cal. Local Rule 37-1 has been satisfied. Because Plaintiff is detained, he is not required to meet and confer with Defendants in person. Rather, if his discovery requests are denied, and he intends to seek a motion to compel, he must send a letter to Defendants to that effect, offering them one last opportunity to provide him with the sought-after information.

8. It is Plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the Court and all parties informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

This terminates docket number 8.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: <u>2/7/11</u>

ucy H. Koh

LUCY H. KOL United States District Judge