

1 B. James Fitzpatrick, Esq. (SBN: 129056)
 FITZPATRICK, SPINI & SWANSTON
 2 838 South Main Street, Suite E
 Salinas, California 93901
 3 Telephone: (831) 755-1311
 Facsimile: (831) 755-1319
 4

**** E-filed April 7, 2011 ****

5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Romero Arturo Colorado, Martin Estrada, Rafael Lara Prieto, Alberto
 Gonzalez Herrera, Aurelio Prieto, Gabriel Hernandez and Pino Ariola Acosta
 6
 7

8 **SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA**

9 **COUNTY OF MONTEREY**

10 ROMERO ARTURO COLORADO; MARTIN
 ESTRADA; RAFAEL LARA PRIETO;
 11 ALBERTO GONZALEZ HERRERA;
 AURELIO PRIETO; GABRIEL
 12 HERNANDEZ; and PINO ARIOLA ACOSTA

Case No. CV 10-03741-HRL

**STIPULATION RE: ORDER FOR
 DISMISSAL**

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 GIACALONE ELECTRICAL SERVICES,
 INC.; VINCE GIACALONE; LISA ANN
 16 BURNS; LISA GIACALONE; AND PAUL
 STEFAN GIACALONE and DOES 1 through
 17 25, Inclusive

18 Defendants.
 19

20
 21 Plaintiffs ROMERO ARTURO COLORADO, MARTIN ESTRADA, RAFAEL LARA
 PRIETO, ALBERTO GONZALEZ HERRERA, AURELIO PRIETO, GABRIEL HERNANDEZ,
 22 and PINO ARIOLA ACOSTA (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiffs"), on one hand, and
 23 Defendants GIACALONE ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC., VINCE GIACALONE, LISA ANN
 24 BURNS, LISA GIACALONE, and PAUL STEFAN GIACALONE (hereinafter referred to as
 25 "Defendants"), on the other hand, by and through their respective counsel, stipulate to the
 26 following:

- 27 1. The complaint in this action alleges claims which were originally filed by Plaintiffs
 28

1 in the Superior Court of California for the County of Monterey on March 8, 2010. On June 18,
2 2010, Plaintiff's filed a First Amended Complaint and added DOE Defendants. On August 27,
3 2010, Defendants removed this action to the United States District Court for the Northern District
4 of California. On August 30, 2010, Defendants filed a general denial to the complaint in the
5 United States District Court.

6 2. The Complaint alleges the following claims:

7 a. First Cause of Action - Failure to Provide Required Meal and Rest Periods
8 or Compensation in Lieu Thereof in Violation of IWC Order No.
9 16-2001; 8 CCR section 11160(10) and (11); and Labor Code sections
10 226.7 and 512

11 1. On Behalf of: All Plaintiffs.

12 2. Against: Defendant, GIACALONE ELECTRICAL SERVICES,
13 INC.

14 b. Second Cause of Action – Failure to Pay Hourly and Overtime Wages in
15 Violation of IWC Order No. 16-2001; 8 CCR section 11160(3)(A);
16 and Labor Code sections 510, 1194 and 1198

17 1. On Behalf of: All Plaintiffs.

18 2. Against: Defendant, GIACALONE ELECTRICAL SERVICES,
19 INC.

20 c. Third Cause of Action – Failure to Provide Wage Statements in Violation of
21 IWC Order No. 16-2001; 8 CCR section 11160(6) ; and Labor Code
22 section 226(a)

23 1. On Behalf of: All Plaintiffs.

24 2. Against: Defendant, GIACALONE ELECTRICAL SERVICES,
25 INC.

26 d. Fourth Cause of Action – Violation of Labor Code section 201 and 203

27 1. On Behalf of: Plaintiffs, ROMERO ARTURO COLORADO,
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

MARTIN ESTRADA, RAFAEL LARA PRIETO, and ALBERTO GONZALEZ HERRERA

2. Against: Defendant, GIACALONE ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.

e. Fifth Cause of Action- Failure to Pay Prevailing Wages

- 1. On Behalf of: All Plaintiffs.
- 2. Against: Defendant, GIACALONE ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.

f. Sixth Cause of Action – Failure to Pay Minimum Wage

- 1. On Behalf of: All Plaintiffs.
- 2. Against: Defendant, GIACALONE ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.

g. Seventh Cause of Action – Fair Labor Standards Act Overtime Violation

- 1. On Behalf of: All Plaintiffs.
- 2. Against: All Defendants.

h. Eighth Cause of Action – Unlawful Deductions and Kickbacks

- 1. On Behalf of: All Plaintiffs.
- 2. Against: Defendant, GIACALONE ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.

i. Ninth Cause of Action – California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004; Labor Code section 2698

- 1. On Behalf of: All Plaintiffs.
- 2. Against: Defendant, GIACALONE ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC.

j. Tenth Cause of Action – Unfair Business Practices

- 1. On Behalf of: All Plaintiffs.
- 2. Against: All Defendants.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

3. On February 9, 2011, the parties successfully concluded a settlement of the Plaintiff's individual claims on the following terms:

- a. All of the terms of the settlement are conditioned on the court dismissing all of the claims in this action with prejudice;
- b. Defendants shall pay the total sum of \$25,000.00 to Plaintiffs in full satisfaction of all of their claims;
- c. The parties shall jointly seek and obtain the dismissal of all claims in this action with prejudice.
- d. Plaintiffs shall execute a release in favor of Defendants.


Dated: March 2, 2011

FITZPATRICK, SPINI & SWANSTON

By: /s/ B. James Fitzpatrick
B. JAMES FITZPATRICK
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
ROMERO ARTURO COLORADO, et al.

Dated: March 11, 2011

THE SAQUI LAW GROUP

By: 
Michael C. Saqui
Attorney for Defendants
GIACALONE ELECTRICAL SERVICES, and
VINCE GIACALONE,

ORDER

Good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby orders a dismissal of claims alleged in the Complaint as follows:


- 1. Plaintiffs ROMERO ARTURO COLORADO, MARTIN ESTRADA, RAFAEL LARA PRIETO, ALBERTO GONZALEZ HERRERA, AURELIO PRIETO, GABRIEL

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

HERNANDEZ, and PINO ARIOLA ACOSTA's claims with prejudice.

All claims in the Complaint having been dismissed, the court hereby dismisses the
Complaint.

Dated: April 7, 2011



HOWARD R. LLOYD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE