

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PABLO P. PINA,	)	No. C 10-3784 RMW (PR)
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
	)	WITH LEAVE TO AMEND
v.	)	
	)	(Docket Nos. 34, 35)
WARDEN LEWIS, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed an amended civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The court dismissed with leave to amend plaintiff’s original complaint because it contained misjoined claims and defendants, it failed to provide a short and plain statement of his claims, and it did not link all of the defendants to each claim. For the reasons stated below, the court DISMISSES plaintiff’s amended complaint with leave to amend.<sup>1</sup>

**DISCUSSION**

In his amended complaint, plaintiff alleges that: (1) defendants Parker, Depew, Carrier, and Scavetta violated his First Amendment right to receiving mail; (2) defendants Barlow, Akin, Brandon, Wilber, Jacquez, and Lewis retaliated against him; (3) defendants Jacquez and Lewis implemented a policy depriving plaintiff of the adequate legal assistance and access to courts; and (4) defendant Boniti used excessive force against him. Plaintiff also raises state law claims.

---

<sup>1</sup> Because the court granted plaintiff’s motion to reconsider, and re-opened this action, plaintiff’s motions requesting a ruling on his previous motions are DENIED as moot. (Dkt. Nos. 34, 35.)