1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	PABLO P. PINA,) No. C 10-3784 RMW (PR)
12	Plaintiff,)) ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
13	V.) RECONSIDER; DENYING) REQUEST FOR TRANSFER; RE-
14	CORRECTIONAL OFFICER E. BONITI,	OPENING CASE; ORDER OF SERVICE
15	Defendant.)) (De elect Nov. 44, 45)
16		(Docket Nos. 44, 45)

17 On August 25, 2010, plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights 18 complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On two occasions, the court directed plaintiff to file an 19 amended complaint. The court did not receive any communication from plaintiff, and dismissed 20 this case twice. After each dismissal, plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration, stating that he 21 never received the orders directing him to file an amended complaint, and supporting his 22 argument with evidence. The court granted each motion and re-opened the case. On October 24, 23 2012, plaintiff filed a first amended complaint. The court dismissed the amended complaint with 24 leave to amend, and directed plaintiff to file a second amended complaint within thirty days. 25 Two months later, having received nothing from plaintiff, the court again dismissed this action. 26 On April 17, 2013, plaintiff filed a motion to reconsider, arguing that he indeed sent a second 27 amended complaint in for filing. Having reviewed plaintiff's motion and attached proposed 28 second amended complaint, the court GRANTS plaintiff's motion to reconsider, and directs the

Order Granting Motion to Reconsider; Denying Request for Transfer; Re-Opening Case; Order of Service G:\PRO-SE\SJ.Rmw\CR.10\Pina784reo3.wpd

clerk to RE-OPEN this action and FILE plaintiff's second amended complaint. Plaintiff's
 motion requesting that the case be transferred is DENIED. For the reasons below, the court
 orders service upon defendant.

DISCUSSION

A. <u>Standard of Review</u>

A federal court must conduct a preliminary screening in any case in which a prisoner
seeks redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. *See*28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In its review, the court must identify any cognizable claims and dismiss
any claims that are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted or
seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. See 28 U.S.C.
§ 1915A(b)(1), (2). Pro se pleadings must, however, be liberally construed. See Balistreri v.
Pacifica Police Dep't., 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1988).

13 B. Legal Claim

Plaintiff alleges that, around February 28, 2010, defendant Correctional Officer E. Boniti
opened plaintiff's cell door when another inmate – presumably Inmate Sosa – was out on the tier.
It appears that an altercation occurred between plaintiff and Sosa. Plaintiff claims that defendant
Boniti then shot plaintiff for no reason after the altercation concluded. Liberally construed,
plaintiff has stated a cognizable claim of excessive force. The court exercises its supplemental
jurisdiction over plaintiff's alleged state law claims as well.

20

21

4

5

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Court orders as follows:

Plaintiff's motion to reconsider is GRANTED. The clerk shall RE-OPEN this
 action and FILE plaintiff's second amended complaint. Plaintiff's motion to transfer is
 DENIED.

25 2. The Clerk of the Court shall mail a Notice of Lawsuit and Request for Waiver of
 26 Service of Summons, two copies of the Waiver of Service of Summons, a copy of the second
 27 amended complaint and all attachments thereto (docket no. 45), and a copy of this Order to
 28 Correctional Officer E. Boniti at Pelican Bay State Prison. The Clerk of the Court shall also

mail a courtesy copy of the complaint and a copy of this Order to the California Attorney
 General's Office. Additionally, the Clerk shall mail a copy of this Order to plaintiff.

3. Defendant is cautioned that Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires them to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. Pursuant to Rule 4, if defendant, after being notified of this action and asked by the court, on behalf of plaintiff, to waive service of the summons, fails to do so, he will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause is shown for his failure to sign and return the waiver form. If service is waived, this action will proceed as if defendant had been served on the date that the waiver is filed, except that pursuant to Rule 12(a)(1)(B), defendant will not be required to serve and file an answer before sixty (60) days from the date on which the request for waiver was sent. (This allows a longer time to respond than would be required if formal service of summons is necessary.) Defendant is asked to read the statement set forth at the bottom of the waiver form that more completely describes the duties of the parties with regard to waiver of service of the summons. If service is waived after the date provided in the Notice but before defendant has been personally served, the Answer shall be due sixty (60) days from the date on which the request for waiver was sent or twenty (20) days from the date the waiver form is filed, whichever is later.

6. No later than **ninety (90) days** from the date of this order, defendant shall file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion with respect to the cognizable claim in the complaint.

a. If defendant elects to file a motion to dismiss on the grounds that plaintiff
failed to exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a),
defendant <u>shall</u> do so in an unenumerated Rule 12(b) motion pursuant to <u>Wyatt v. Terhune</u>, 315
F.3d 1108, 1119-20 (9th Cir. 2003).

b. Any motion for summary judgment shall be supported by adequate factual
documentation and shall conform in all respects to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure. <u>Defendant is advised that summary judgment cannot be granted, nor qualified</u> immunity found, if material facts are in dispute. If defendant is of the opinion that this

Order Granting Motion to Reconsider; Denying Request for Transfer; Re-Opening Case; Order of Service G:\PRO-SE\SJ.Rmw\CR.10\Pina784reo3.wpd 3

1 case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, he shall so inform the court prior to the 2 date the summary judgment motion is due.

3 7. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion shall be filed with the court and served on defendant no later than twenty-eight (28) days from the date defendant's motion is 4 filed. Plaintiff is advised to read Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Celotex 6 Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317 (1986).

7 8. Defendant shall file a reply brief no later than **fourteen (14) days** after plaintiff's 8 opposition is filed.

9 9. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. No 10 hearing will be held on the motion unless the court so orders at a later date.

11 10. All communications by the plaintiff with the court must be served on defendant or defendant's counsel, by mailing a true copy of the document to defendant or defendant's 12 13 counsel.

14 11. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 15 No further court order is required before the parties may conduct discovery.

12. 16 It is plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the court 17 and all parties informed of any change of address and must comply with the court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute 18 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). 19

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

5

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

nald M. Whyte

RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PABLO PINA,

Plaintiff,

Case Number: CV10-03784 RMW

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

v.

LEWIS et al,

Defendant.

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District

Court, Northern District of California.

That on May 13, 2013, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Pablo P. Pina D-28079 Pelican Bay State Prison P. O. Box 7500 D-2; #122 SHU Crescent City, CA 95531

Dated: May 13, 2013

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Jackie Lynn Garcia, Deputy Clerk