

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CONNIE MOZE,
Plaintiff(s),

CASE NO. CV 10-03877 JF

v.

HARTFORD LIFE AND ACCIDENT
INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Defendant(s).
_____ /

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

- Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
- Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)


Private Process:

- Private ADR (please identify process and provider) _____

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

- the presumptive deadline *(The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)*
- other requested deadline _____

Dated: December 10, 2010


Richard Johnston
Attorney for Plaintiff Sherry Mosley

Dated: December __, 2010

Joseph C. Liburt
Attorneys for Defendants
PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and Samuel
P. Starr, Esq.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CONNIE MOZE,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

HARTFORD LIFE AND ACCIDENT
INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant(s).

CASE NO. CV 10-03877 JF

STIPULATION AND ~~{PROPOSED}~~ ORDER
SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

- Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
 Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
 Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:

- Private ADR (please identify process and provider) _____


The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

- the presumptive deadline (*The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.*)
 other requested deadline _____


Dated: December __, 2010

Dated: December 10, 2010

Richard Johnston
Attorney for Plaintiff Sherry Mosley


Joseph C. Liburt
Attorneys for Defendants
PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and Samuel
P. Starr, Esq.

Dated December 10 2010



Dennis G. Rokstad
Attorneys for Defendants
Hartford Life And Accident Insurance
Company and PricewaterhouseCoopers
LLP Health And Welfare Benefits Plan

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

- Non-binding Arbitration
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
- Mediation
- Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

- 90 days from the date of this order.
- other _____

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/16/10


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE