1	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP	
2	Robert D. Fram (rfram@cov.com) (CA Bar No. 126750) Thomas E. Garten (tgarten@cov.com) (CA Bar No. 247122)	
3	Jeffrey T. Pearlman (jpearlman@cov.com) (CA Bar No. 254759 One Front Street	
4	San Francisco, CA 94111-5356	
	Telephone: (415) 591-6000 Facsimile: (415) 591-6091	
5	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP Philip A. Irwin (pirwin@cov.com) (admitte	d pro hac vice)
6	The New York Times Building	
7	620 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10018-1405	
8	Telephone: (212) 841-1000 Facsimile: (212) 841-1010	
9	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP Gary M. Rubman (grubman@cov.com) (adn	nitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
10	R. Jason Fowler (jfowler@cov.com) (admitte William E. Zapf (wzapf@cov.com) (admitte	ted pro hac vice)
11	Brianne Bharkhda (bbharkhda@cov.com) (	admitted pro hac vice)
12	1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004-2401	
13	Telephone: (202) 662-6000 Facsimile: (202) 662-6291	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff Fujitsu Limited	
15	[COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS LISTED ON SIGNATURE PAGES]	
16	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
17	FOR THE NORTHERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18	SAN JUS	SE DIVISION
19	FUJITSU LIMITED,	Case No. 10-cv-03972-LHK (PSG)
20	Plaintiff,	
21		JOINT STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER RE: ADMISSIBILITY OF TRIAL
22	BELKIN INTERNATIONAL, INC., BELKIN, INC., D-LINK CORPORATION, D-LINK	EXHIBITS
23	SYSTEMS, INC., NETGEAR, INC., ZYXEL COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION, and	Trial: November 26, 2012 Time: 9:00 a.m.
	ZYXEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,	Location: Courtroom 8, 4th Floor
24	Defendants.	Before: The Honorable Lucy H. Koh
25	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS	
26		
27		
28		
1	STIPHI ATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REVADMISSIBILITY	

STIPULATION AND [<del>PROPOSED</del>] ORDER RE: ADMISSIBILITY OF TRIAL EXHIBITS, Case No. 10-cv-03972-LHK (PSG)

1	Pursuant to the Court's instructions at the November 1, 2012 Pretrial Conference,
2	Plaintiff Fujitsu Limited ("Fujitsu") and Defendants Belkin International, Inc., Belkin, Inc.,
3	D-Link Corporation, D-Link Systems, Inc., and NETGEAR, Inc. ("Defendants") have
4	conferred regarding the admissibility of certain trial exhibits, and
5	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between Fujitsu and Defendants, that the trial
6	exhibits listed in Attachment A to this Stipulation shall be treated as follows:
7	<u>Table 1</u> : The "PTX" exhibits listed in Table 1 may be admitted into evidence
8	without restriction. <sup>1</sup>
9	<u>Table 2</u> : The "DTX" exhibits listed in Table 2 may be admitted into evidence
10	without restriction.
11	<u>Table 3</u> : The "DTX" exhibits listed in Table 3 may be admitted into evidence
12	only to show the state of the art at the time of the invention, but not used for
13	purposes of proving invalidity. Pursuant to the Court's Pretrial Conference Order
14	(Dkt. No. 396) and the November 20, 2012 Transcript of Proceedings, a limiting
15	instruction is required for the exhibits listed in Table 3.
16	<u>Table 4</u> : The "DTX" exhibits listed in Table 4 may be admitted into evidence
17	for the limited purpose of lack of willfulness and active inducement, but shall not be
18	considered for purposes of proving invalidity. Pursuant to the Court's Pretrial
19	Conference Order (Dkt. No. 396) and the November 20, 2012 Transcript of
20	Proceedings, Dr. Mihran cannot opine on these exhibits and a limiting instruction is
21	required for the exhibits listed in Table 4.
22	<u>Table 5</u> : The "DTX" exhibits listed in Table 5 may be admitted into evidence
23	(i) to show the state of the art at the time of the invention; and (ii) for the limited
24	purpose of disproving willfulness and active inducement. These exhibits shall not
25	
26	<sup>1</sup> Defendants note that PTX8, PTX12, PTX16, PTX19, PTX21, PTX33, PTX39, PTX42, PTX48,
27	PTX54, PTX80, PTX85, PTX89, PTX93, and PTX100 are physical exhibits and related packaging that they have not yet had an opportunity to inspect. As such, Defendants reserve their rights to object to these samples if they are not what they purport to be or if they have been demaged or modified.
28	to these samples if they are not what they purport to be, or if they have been damaged or modified.

1

be used as a prior art reference for purposes of proving invalidity. Pursuant to the Court's Pretrial Conference Order (Dkt. No. 396) and the November 20, 2012 Transcript of Proceedings, a limiting instruction is required for the exhibits listed in Table 5.

<u>Table 6</u>: Fujitsu agrees that it will not dispute the authenticity of the "DTX" exhibits listed in Table 6. Fujitsu reserves any other objections as to these exhibits.

Table 7: Fujitsu contends that the "DTX" exhibits listed in Table 7 are barred from the case pursuant to the Court's Pretrial Conference Order (Dkt. No. 396) and the November 20, 2012 Transcript of Proceedings (Tr. at 49:5 – 54:25) because they were not disclosed in Defendants' invalidity contentions and/or not disclosed in Defendants' expert report regarding invalidity. Defendants contend that these documents may be admitted as "state of the art" under the Court's order with an appropriate limiting instruction. Fujitsu does not assert any other objections to the exhibits listed in Table 7.

15 Table 8: Fujitsu contends that the exhibits listed in Table 8 are barred by 16 the Court's order on Fujitsu's motion *in limine* concerning pre-suit correspondence 17 and licensing discussions. Defendants contend that they may be admitted as to 18 willfulness and inducement. Fujitsu does not assert any other objections to the 19 documents in Table 8. If the Court rules that these exhibits may be admitted as to 20 willfulness and inducement, it is Fujitsu's position that the Court should provide a 21 limiting instruction and the documents should be redacted such that only the 22 information relating to invalidity comes into evidence.

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Nothing in this Stipulation shall limit the rights of Fujitsu or Defendants to makeany arguments with respect to the merits of the claims and defenses in this action.

Fujitsu and Defendants agree that this Stipulation may not be used by either party
to introduce evidence that would be excluded by one of the Court's rulings on Motions *in Limine* and/or *Daubert* issues.

1	Fujitsu and Defendants fur	ther agree that this Stipulation embodies the entire	
2	agreement between them, and that the details of negotiations regarding the preparation of		
2	this Stipulation may not be used by any party in this action to vary the terms of this		
		y any party in this action to vary the terms of this	
4 5	agreement.		
6		Respectfully submitted,	
7	DATE: November 23, 2012	<b>COVINGTON &amp; BURLING LLP</b>	
8		By: <u>/s/ Robert D. Fram²</u>	
9		Robert D. Fram (rfram@cov.com) COVINGTON & BURLING LLP	
10		One Front Street San Francisco, CA 94111-5356	
11		Telephone: $(415) 591-6000$ Facsimile: $(415) 591-6091$	
12		Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim	
13		Defendant FUJITSU LIMITED	
14			
15	DATE: November 23, 2012	LAW OFFICE OF S.J. CHRISTINE YANG	
16		LAW OFFICE OF 5.J. CHRISTINE TANG	
17		By: <u>/s/ Duncan Palmatier</u>	
18		Duncan Palmatier (CA Bar No. 116692) E-mail: dpalm@dpalmlaw.com	
19		S.J. Christine Yang (CA Bar No. 102048) E-mail: cyang@sjclawpc.com	
20		Victoria Der-Lung Hao (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) E-mail: vhao@sjclawpc.com	
21		The Law Office of S.J. Christine Yang 17220 Newhope Street, Suites 101 & 102	
22		Fountain Valley, California 92708 Telephone: (714) 641-4022	
23		Facsimile: (714) 641-2082	
24		Attorneys for Defendants D-LINK CORPORATION and D-LINK SYSTEMS, INC.	
25			
26			
27		45.X.B, I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this	
28	document has been obtained from ea	ch of the other signatories hereto.	

STIPULATION AND [<del>PROPOSED</del>] ORDER RE: ADMISSIBILITY OF TRIAL EXHIBITS, Case No. 10-cv-03972-LHK (PSG)

3

1	DATE: November 23, 2012	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
2		By: <u>/s/ David Enzminger</u>
3 4 5		David Enzminger (CA Bar No. 137065) E-mail: denzminger@winston.com WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 333 S. Grand Avenue
6		Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 615-1780 Facsimile: (213) 615-1750
7 8		Attorneys for Defendants BELKIN INTERNATIONAL INC. and BELKIN, INC.
9		
10	DATE: November 23, 2012	REED SMITH LLP
11		By: <u>/s/ William R. Overend</u>
12		William R. Overend (CA Bar No. 180209) E-mail: woverend@reedsmith.com John P. Bovich (CA Bar No. 150688)
13 14		E-mail: jbovich@reedsmith.com REED SMITH LLP 101 Second Street, Suite 1800
15		San Francisco, CA 94105-3659 Telephone: (415) 543-8700 Facsimile: (415) 391-8269
16		Attorneys for Defendant NETGEAR, INC.
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT I	IS SO ORDERED.
22		
23	DATE: <u>November 25</u> , 2012	By: <b>Jucy H. Koh</b> The Honorable Lucy H. Koh
24		United States District Court Judge
25		
26		
27		
28		
	STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER RE: ADMISS OF TRIAL EXHIBITS, Case No. 10-cv-03972-LHK (PSG	

#### ATTACHMENT A

#### <u>Table 1</u>

#### Plaintiff's Exhibits Admitted Into Evidence Without Restriction

Plaintiff's Exhibits	
PTX1 – PTX24	
PTX26 – PTX67	
PTX70 – PTX105	
PTX107	
PTX109	
PTX110 – PTX160	
PTX163	
PTX175	
PTX176	
PTX179	
PTX182 – PTX204	
PTX206 – PTX210	
PTX212 – PTX215	
PTX218	
PTX222	
PTX228 – PTX274	
PTX279 – PTX288	
PTX290 – PTX300 <sup>1</sup>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the Court's ruling on Fujitsu's motion *in limine* #4, PTX297 – PTX300 are admitted for the limited purpose of establishing notice. Other portions of these exhibits will be redacted.

### <u>Table 2</u>

# Defendants' Exhibits Admitted Into Evidence Without Restriction

Defendants' Exhibits	
DTX1	
DTX2	
DTX3	
DTX12	
DTX22	
DTX27	
DTX40	
DTX41	
DTX49	
DTX50	
DTX51	
DTX53	
DTX55	
DTX59	
DTX71	
DTX73	
DTX75 – DTX85	
DTX87	
DTX89 – DTX91	
DTX126	
DTX129 – DTX135	
DTX138 – DTX148	
DTX160 – DTX167	
DTX169 – DTX173	
DTX175	
DTX177 – DTX182	
DTX188	
DTX202	
DTX205	
DTX207	
DTX208	
DTX215	
DTX216	
DTX217	
DTX239	
DTX250	
DTX267	
DTX268	

Defendants' Exhibits
DTX270 – DTX273
DTX293 – DTX295
DTX310
DTX318
DTX321 – DTX324
DTX327
DTX335 – DTX339
DTX341 – DTX346
DTX348 – DTX350
DTX357
DTX361
DTX362
DTX364 - DTX367
DTX369 - DTX377
DTX380 – DTX402
DTX409
DTX410
DTX416
DTX425
DTX427 – DTX429
DTX432
DTX433
DTX439
DTX457
DTX462
DTX475 – DTX478
DTX481
DTX488 – DTX490
DTX494 – DTX507
DTX509 – DTX525
DTX529
DTX531
DTX559
DTX564
DTX570
DTX575 – DTX580
DTX586 – DTX589
DTX595 – DTX597
DTX599
DTX600
DTX616
DTX618
DTX619

Defendants' Exhibits	
DTX632	
DTX641	
DTX663	
DTX710	
DTX713	
DTX721	
DTX722	
DTX729	
DTX733 – DTX735	
DTX766	
DTX779	
DTX780 – DTX785	
DTX789 – DTX791	
DTX801	
DTX819 – DTX829	
DTX831 – DTX833	
DTX837	
DTX838	
DTX840	
DTX841 – DTX847	
DTX851	
DTX855 – DTX861	

# <u>Table 3</u>

#### Defendants' Exhibits Admitted Into Evidence To Show State Of The Art (Limiting Instruction Required)

Defendants' Exhibits	
DTX104	
DTX112	
DTX120	
DTX184	
DTX185	
DTX187	
DTX189	
DTX201	
DTX213	
DTX214	
DTX218	
DTX220	
DTX221	
DTX278	
DTX532	
DTX560	
DTX582	
DTX590	
DTX591	
DTX592	
DTX593	
DTX630	
DTX715	

# <u>Table 4</u>

#### Defendants' Exhibits Admitted Into Evidence As To Willfulness Or Inducement (Limiting Instruction Required)

Defendants' Exhibits	
DTX32	(Belkin only; admit claim chart but not letter)
DTX184	(Netgear only)
DTX187	(Netgear only )
DTX189	(Netgear only)
DTX526	(Netgear and D-Link only)
DTX560	(Belkin only)
DTX592	(Netgear and D-Link only)
DTX630	(Netgear and D-Link only)
DTX715	(Netgear and D-Link only)

### <u>Table 5</u>

### Defendants' Exhibits Admitted Into Evidence To Show State Of The Art And As To Willfulness Or Inducement (Limiting Instruction Required)

Defendants' Exhibits	
DTX184	
DTX187	
DTX189	
DTX560	
DTX592	
DTX630	
DTX715	

# <u>Table 6</u>

# Defendants' Exhibits For Which There Is No Dispute As To Authenticity, But For Which Fujitsu May Assert Other Objections

Defendants' Exhibits	
DTX210	
DTX818	

### <u>Table 7</u>

# Defendants' Exhibits For Which There Is No Dispute As To Authenticity, But Which Are Subject To The Court's Ruling On November 20, 2012

Defendants' Exhibits
DTX58
DTX97
DTX103
DTX109
DTX110
DTX191
DTX192
DTX193
DTX199
DTX200
DTX203
DTX204
DTX206
DTX219
DTX581
DTX601
DTX602
DTX603
DTX604
DTX605
DTX730
DTX731
DTX732

### <u>Table 8</u>

#### Defendants' Exhibits For Which There Is No Dispute As To Authenticity, But For Which There Is A Dispute About Whether They Are Barred By Fujitsu's Motion *In Limine* Regarding Pre-Suit Communications

Defendants' Exhibits		
DTX30		
DTX31		
DTX33		
DTX34		
DTX420		
DTX421		
DTX422		
DTX424		
DTX463		
DTX487		
DTX543		
DTX572		
DTX657		
DTX677		
DTX793		