2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	ΝΟΤ ΕΟ	R CITATION
9		
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	FOR THE NORTHERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11		
12	CLAUD M. THOMAS, JR.,) No. C 10-04166 JF (PR)
13	Plaintiff,) ORDER OF DISMISSAL
14	VS.	
15	PRISON HEALTH SERVICES, et al.,	
16		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
17	Defendants.)) (Docket No. 12)

Plaintiff, an inmate currently incarcerated at the Santa Rita Jail in Dublin,
California, filed the instant civil rights action in <u>pro se</u> pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983
against jail officials for constitutionally deficient medical care. Finding the complaint,
liberally construed, stated cognizable claims, the Court ordered service upon Defendants
on February 23, 2011. (Docket No. 4.)

On February 28, 2011, the copy of the order of service mailed to Plaintiff was
returned by mail to the Clerk of the Court as undeliverable because Plaintiff was no
longer in custody. (Docket No. 5.) As of the date of this order, Plaintiff has not updated
his address with the Court or submitted any further pleadings in this case. Defendants
have filed a motion to dismiss for failure to prosecute under Federal Rule of Civil

Procedure 41(b). (Docket No. 12.) Defendants argue that the Court had advised Plaintiff
 of his responsibility to notify the Court of a change of address in its Order of Service or
 be subject to dismissal under Rule 41(b). (Docket No. 12.) The Court will deny the
 motion as Plaintiff never received a copy of the Order of Service, which was returned as
 undeliverable. See supra at 1.

However, the complaint is subject to dismissal under Northern District Local Rule
3-11, which requires a party proceeding pro se to promptly file a notice of change of
address while an action is pending. See L.R. 3-11(a). The Court may, without prejudice,
dismiss a complaint when: (1) mail directed to the pro se party by the Court has been
returned to the Court as not deliverable, and (2) the Court fails to receive within sixty
days of this return a written communication from the pro se party indicating a current
address. See L.R. 3-11(b).

More than sixty days have passed since the Court's order addressed to Plaintiff was returned as undeliverable. The Court has not received a notice from Plaintiff of a new address. Accordingly, the instant civil rights action is DISMISSED without prejudice pursuant to Rule 3-11 of the Northern District Local Rules.

This order terminates Docket No. 12.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: ________

JEREMY FO

United States District Judge

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLAUD M. THOMAS, JR,

Case Number: CV10-04166 JF

Plaintiff,

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

v.

PRISON HEALTH SERVICES, et al.,

Defendants.

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on $\frac{5/10/11}{10}$, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Claud M. Thomas AQI-083 Santa Rita Jail 5325 Broder Blvd Dublin, CA 94568

Dated: _____5/10/11

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk