

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

MICROCHIP TECHNOLOGY, INC. and  
SILICON STORAGE TECHNOLOGY, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED MODULE CORP., and KERANOS,  
LLC,

Defendants.

FREESCALE SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,  
NATIONAL SEMICONDUCTOR CORP., and  
ANALOG DEVICES, INC.

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED MODULE CORP., and KERANOS,  
LLC,

Defendants.

Related Cases:

CASE NO.: CV-10-04241-LHK

CASE NO.: CV-10-05196-LHK

CASE NO.: CV-10-05290-LHK

CASE NO.: CV-11-00430-LHK

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND  
DENYING IN PART DEFENDANT'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE REQUEST TO  
FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

Date: March 3, 2011

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Courtroom: Courtroom 4, 5th floor

Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh

1 TAIWAN SEMICONDUCTOR  
2 MANUFACTURING CO., LTD., TSMC NORTH  
3 AMERICA, and UBICOM, INC.,

4 Plaintiffs,

5 v.

6 UNITED MODULE CORP., and KERANOS,  
7 LLC,

8 Defendants.

9 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., and  
10 SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 UNITED MODULE CORP., and KERANOS,  
14 LLC,

15 Defendants.

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Now before the Court is Defendant Keranos, LLC's Administrative Request to File  
2 Documents Under Seal. Upon consideration of the motion, and of the supporting declaration of  
3 Theresa E. Norton filed therewith, the Court finds there to be good cause for granting  
4 Defendant's request to file the following documents under seal: (1) Exhibit 20 to the Declaration  
5 of Theresa Norton in Support of Defendant Keranos, LLC's Reply in Support of Motions to  
6 Dismiss ("Norton Decl."); (2) Exhibit 21 to Norton Decl.; (3) Exhibit 22 to Norton Decl.; (4)  
7 Exhibit 23 to Norton Decl.; (5) Exhibit 24 to Norton Decl.; (6) Exhibit 30 to Norton Decl.; (7)  
8 Exhibit 65 to Norton Decl.; (8) Exhibit 66 to Norton Decl.; and (9) Exhibit 72 to Norton Decl.  
9 These documents were filed under seal in the Texas Case, and are appropriately filed under seal  
10 in this action as well.

11 Defendant Keranos, LLC also seeks to file under seal the entirety of its Reply in this  
12 action. This request is too broad, as a motion to seal documents must be "narrowly tailored to  
13 seek sealing of only sealable material." Civ. Local Rule 79-5(a). Defendant Keranos, LLC's  
14 Reply contains much information that is neither sealable nor confidential. Accordingly, by  
15 Wednesday, February 23, 2011, Defendant is directed to submit: (1) a redacted version of its  
16 Reply that can be filed in the public record pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(c); and (2) a  
17 second motion to file under seal with respect to the confidential version of the Reply. If the  
18 redacted version is narrowly tailored to omit only sealable material, the Court will permit the  
19 confidential version to be filed under seal.

20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21  
22 DATED: February 18, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
The Honorable Lucy H. Koh  
United States District Court Judge

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28