1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CESAR ALFARO, No. C 10-04416 EJD (PR) 12 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 13 VS. 14 15 D. HIGGERSON, et al., 16 Defendants. 17 18 The Ninth Circuit recently held that "Rand and Wyatt notices must be served 19 concurrently with motions to dismiss and motions for summary judgment so that pro se 20 prisoners will have fair, timely and adequate notice of what is required of them in order to oppose those motions." Woods v. Carey, Nos. 09-15548 & 09-16113, slip op. 7871, 7874 21 (9th Cir. July 6, 2012). Because it appears that a Rand notice was not served concurrently 22 23 with the pending motion for summary judgment in this case, the Court will provide said notice now: 24 25 Plaintiff is advised that a motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of 26 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case. Rule 27 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary 28 judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no N:\Pro - Se & Death Penalty Orders\July 2012\Alfaro04416_notice.wpd 1

Alfaro v. Hawkes et al

Doc. 73

genuine issue of material fact – that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradicts the facts shown in the defendant's declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial. Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 962-63 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc) (App A).

Plaintiff's opposition is currently due **no later than July 29, 2012**. Defendant shall file a reply to within 15 days thereafter.

DATED:	7/10/2012	

EDWARD J. DAVILA United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 FOR THE 3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 CESAR ALFARO, Case Number: CV10-04416 EJD 6 Plaintiff, **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 7 v. 8 JAMES TILTON et al, 9 Defendant. 10 11 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California. 12 That on July 13, 2012, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said 13 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery 14 receptacle located in the Clerk's office. 15 16 Cesar Alfaro E-68257 17 Pelican Bay State Prison P.O. Box 7500 18 Crescent City, CA 95531 19 Dated: July 13, 2012 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk 20 /s/ By: Elizabeth Garcia, Deputy Clerk 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 N:\Pro - Se & Death Penalty Orders\July 2012\Alfaro04416_notice.wpd