1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DARRYL M. WOO (CSB NO. 100513) dwoo@fenwick.com RYAN J. MARTON (CSB NO. 223979) rmarton@fenwick.com LESLIE A. KRAMER (CSB NO. 253313) lkramer@fenwick.com FENWICK &WEST LLP 555 California Street, 12th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 875-2300 Facsimile:(415) 281-1350 Attorneys for Plaintiff Vendio Services, Inc. UNITED STATES D NORTHERN DISTRIC		
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
12	VENDIO SERVICES, INC., a Delaware	Case No. 5:10-cv-04455 JW	
13	corporation, Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPUSED] ORDER WITHDRAWING	
14	v.	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS	
15 16	P.S. PRODUCTS, INC., an Arkansas corporation, and BILLY PENNINGTON, an individual,		
17	Defendants.		
18	WHEREAS Plaintiff Vendio Services Inc	("Vendio") filed its Amended Declaratory	
19	WHEREAS, Plaintiff Vendio Services, Inc. ("Vendio") filed its Amended Declaratory Judgment Complaint on January 5, 2011 (the "Amended Complaint");		
20	WHEREAS, on February 2, 2011 Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the Amended		
21	Complaint and, in the alternative, to transfer to the Eastern District of Arkansas (the "Motion");		
22	WHEREAS, the Motion is currently scheduled for hearing on April 11, 2011;		
23	WHEREAS, the parties have been discussing settlement and expect to have the terms of		
24 25	that settlement finalized within the next two weeks;		
25 26	WHEREAS, in furtherance of that settlement, Defendants have agreed to withdraw the		
20 27	Motion without prejudice to re-filing should the parties not finalize a settlement agreement;		
28	WHEREAS, in the event the parties do not reach a settlement, Defendants will file their		

FENWICK & WEST LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

CASE NO. 5:10-CV-04455 JW

1	responsive pleading no later than April 4, 20	011;	
2	NOW THEREFORE, the parties jointly stipulate that:		
3	1. The Motion is hereby withdrawn without prejudice to being re-filed;		
4	2. Should the parties not reach a settlement agreement, Defendants will file their		
5	responsive pleading to the Amended Complaint no later than April 4, 2011.		
6	SO STIPULATED.		
7			
8	Dated: March 18, 2011	FENWICK & WEST LLP	
9		By: <u>/s/ Ryan J. Marton</u> Ryan J. Marton	
10		Attorneys for Plaintiff Vendio Services, Inc.	
11	Dated: March 18, 2011	STEWART LAW FIRM	
12	Dated. March 16, 2011		
13		By: <u>/s/ Chris H. Stewart</u> Chris H. Stewart	
14		Attorneys for Defendants	
15		P.S. Products, Inc. and Billy Pennington	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	2 CASE NO. 5:10-CV-04455 JW	

FENWICK & WEST LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco

1	<b>ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45</b>		
2	I, Ryan J. Marton, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained		
3	from any signatories indicated by a "conformed" signature (/s/) within this e-filed document.		
4	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the		
5	foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 18th day of March 2011, at San Francisco,		
6	California.		
7	FENWICK & WEST LLP		
8	By: /s/ Ryan J. Marton		
9	Ryan J. Marton		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff Vendio Services, Inc.		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
18	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
19			
20			
21	Dated: March 21, 2011 By: James Ware		
22	United States District Chief Judge		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 3 CASE No. 5:10-cv-04455 JW		

FENWICK & WEST LLP Attorneys At Law San Francisco