that complies with Civil L.R. 7-2, allows the non-moving party a shorter time-period and fewer pages in which to oppose the motion and provides for no reply or oral argument.

A motion for leave to amend pleadings cannot be styled as an administrative motion under Civil L.R. 7-11 because this matter is governed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 15. In this instance, Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2) requires Defendants to seek leave of court because more than twenty-one days have passed since Defendants served their Answer and Defendants do not have Plaintiff's written consent to amend. Defendants are aware of this requirement and of the governing federal rule, and they cite Rule 15(a) in their motion. Thus, this motion must comply with Civil L.R. 7-2¹ and is procedurally defective in its current form. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' motion is DENIED without prejudice to their bringing a motion that complies with the requirements of Civil L.R. 7-2. Dated:

Earl July

Case No.: 5:10-4475 EJD (HRL)

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED ANSWER AND

COUNTERCLAIMS