1 2 3 4 \*E-FILED - 1/27/11\* 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ARVELLO L. TUFONO, No. C 10-4691 RMW (PR) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER OF DISMISSAL 13 v. 14 B. RIDDLE, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 On October 18, 2010, plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights complaint pursuant 17 to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The same day, the court notified plaintiff that he had neither paid the filing 18 fee nor filed an in forma pauperis ("IFP") application. Along with the deficiency notice, plaintiff 19 was provided with an IFP application, instructions for completing it, and a stamped return 20 envelope. Plaintiff was cautioned that his failure to either file a complete IFP application, or pay 21 the filing fee within thirty days would result in the dismissal of this action. No response has 22 been received from plaintiff. Accordingly, the instant action is DISMISSED without prejudice 23 to plaintiff filing a new action in which he either pays the filing fee or files an IFP application. 24 The clerk shall enter judgment and close the file. 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. eld M. Whyte 26 1/25/11 DATED: 27 United States District Judge 28 Order of Dismissal P:\PRO-SE\SJ.Rmw\CR.10\Tufono691disifp.wpd

Tufono v. Riddle et al

Doc. 4