Facebook, Inc. v. Richter Doc. 14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Facebook, Inc., a Delaware corporation	
	CASE NO. 5:10-cv-04710-LHK
Plaintiff(s),	
v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
Steven Richter	ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	1
	-
Counsel report that they have refollowing stipulation pursuant to Civil	met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the	following ADR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation Mediation (ADR L.R. of	on (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
appreciably more likely to meet their	rly settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for cal Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process:	loutify purcease and provided
Private ADR (piease ta	lentify process and provider)
	sion by: ne (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order a ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadlin	ie e
Dated: January 19, 201	/s/ Bryan Hennessey
	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: January 19, 201	/s/ Stephen Hollman

I, Brian Hennessy, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this ADR Certification by Parties and Counsel. In compliance with N.D. Cal. General Order No. 45, I hereby attest that Stephen Hollman has concurred in this filing.

/s/ Brian Hennessy

Brian Hennessy (State Bar No. 226721)

BHennessy@perkinscoie.com

Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the caption Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation Private ADR	oned matter is hereby referred to:	
Deadline for ADR session		
90 days from the date of this order.		
other		
T 10 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00		
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Dated:		
Duica.		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT	IUDGE