	1	GREGG A. THORNTON (SBN 146282) DANIELLE K. LEWIS (SBN 218274)	
	2	SELMAN BREITMAN LLP 33 New Montgomery, Sixth Floor	
	3	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 979-0400	
	4	Facsimile: (415) 979-2099	
	5	Attorneys for Defendants City of Morgan Hill; City of	
	6	Morgan Hill Police Department; Chief of Police Bruce Cumming;	
	7	Officer Brookman; Officer Max Cervantez; Officer Joseph	
	8	Burdick; Officer Jerry Neumayer; Officer Jeffrey Brandon; Det.	
	9	Brandon Richards	
	10	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
	11	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
	12	Maria Jesus Balasquez, Rudy Martinez and Rudy Balasquez	CASE NO. CV 10 05206 LHK
	13	Martinez, Jr.,	
	14	Plaintiffs,	ORDDER RE STIPULATION REGARDING
	15	ν.	CONFIDENTIALITY AND FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER
	16	City of Morgan Hill in its	AS MODIFIED BY THE COURT
	17	official and Municipal Capacity, City of Morgan Hill Police	
	18	Department in its corporate capacity, Chief of Police Bruce	
	19	Cumming in his official and individual capacity, Officers	
	20	Brookman in his official and individual capacity, Max	
	21	Cervantez in his official and individual capacity, Joseph	
	22	Burdick in his official and individual capacity, Jerry	
	23	Neumeyer in his official and individual capacity, Jeffrey	
	24	Brandon in his official and individual capacity, Det.	
	25	Brandon Richards, in his official and individual capacity	
	26	and Does 1-25, inclusive,	
	27	Defendants.	
	27		
	20		
555	5.30271		1 CONFIDENTIALITY AND FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER
		l de la constante de	CV 10 05206 LHK

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiffs Maria Jesus Balasquez, Rudy Martinez and Rudy Balasquez Martinez, Jr., and defendants City of Morgan Hill (erroneously sued herein as City of Morgan Hill Police Department), Chief Of Police Bruce Cumming, Officer Brookman, Officer Max Cervantez, Officer Joseph Burdick, Officer Jerry Neumayer, Jeffrey Brandon and Det. Brandon Richards, through their respective counsel of record, as follows:

Information and Matters Subject to this ORDER. 1. This stipulation and order (hereafter "ORDER") shall govern all "CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION" (as defined hereafter) and all information derived therefrom, including, but not limited to, all copies, excerpts or summaries thereof. All references herein to "PARTIES" shall include plaintiffs Maria Jesus Balasquez, Rudy Martinez and Rudy Balasquez Martinez, and defendants City of Morgan Hill (erroneously sued herein as City of Morgan Hill Police Department), Chief Of Police Bruce Cumming, Officer Brookman, Officer Max Cervantez, Officer Joseph Burdick, Officer Jerry Neumayer, Jeffrey Brandon and Det. Brandon Richards and their respective counsel of record in the above-entitled action. Definitions. The following definitions shall apply in 2. the construction and application of this ORDER.

a. The term "INFORMATION" means any materials, as defined
by Federal Rule of Evidence 1001, or materials produced by the
PARTIES or any person or entity subject to their employ or
control in conjunction with this proceeding, including, but not
limited to, (1) documents produced pursuant to requests under
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34, (2) documents produced
pursuant to subpoena, (3) documents produced voluntarily or in

Selman Breitman LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Selman Breitman LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1 response to informal request, and (4) answers to deposition 2 questions set forth in deposition transcripts.

3 The term "CONFIDENTIAL" means any INFORMATION designated b. as CONFIDENTIAL by the PARTIES. Only the following INFORMATION may be so designated: pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c).

6 (1)All **INFORMATION** regarding medical records, personnel 7 records, employment files, performance evaluations, physical/mental evaluations, disciplinary action, citizen 8 9 complaints, internal affairs investigations, and all other 10 employment-related writings regarding any employee of the City of 11 Morgan Hill produced in this action;

All **INFORMATION** regarding policies, procedures, (2)manuals, and other documents regarding personnel practices of the City of Morgan Hill produced in this action; and

Any other **INFORMATION** stipulated to by the **PARTIES**. (3)

The term "QUALIFIED PERSONS" means (1) the Presiding 16 С. 17 Judge and any of his or her staff, (2) any referee appointed by a judge in this matter to preside over any hearings in this matter 18 19 and any of his or her staff, (3) a jury empanelled for trial, (4) 20 stenographic reporters engaged in these proceedings as are 21 necessarily incident to the preparation for trial and/or trial of 22 this action, (5) counsel for the **PARTIES** in this litigation, (6) 23 paralegal, stenographic, clerical, and secretarial personnel 24 employed by counsel for the **PARTIES**, (7) the **PARTIES** to these proceedings, including their officers, directors, agents, and 25 26 employees, and (8) any person employed by counsel for the **PARTIES** in this proceeding to assist such counsel in this proceeding, 27 28 such as experts or other consultants.

230894.1 555.30271

4

5

12

13

14

d. The term "DISCLOSE" or any version thereof means to
 show, give, make available, or communicate in any fashion to any
 person any CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, information concerning the
 existence or content of any CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, or any
 copy, portion, version, or summary of any CONFIDENTIAL
 INFORMATION.

Use of CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION. 7 3. CONFIDENTIAL 8 **INFORMATION** shall be used solely in connection with, and only as 9 necessary to, this action and the preparation and trial of this 10 action, or any related proceeding, including, but not limited to, appeal or writ, and not for any other purpose, including, without 11 12 limitation, any civil, criminal, administrative, or personal purpose or function. CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION shall not be 13 14 DISCLOSED to anyone other than QUALIFIED PERSONS and shall not be 15 DISCLOSED except in accordance with this ORDER. Control and 16 distribution of all CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION shall be the 17 responsibility of the attorneys of record and the **PARTIES**. The provisions of this ORDER, insofar as they restrict the 18 19 communication and use of **CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION** produced 20 hereunder, copies of summaries thereof, or information obtained 21 therefrom, shall continue to be binding after the conclusion of this action. 22

4. <u>Designation of INFORMATION as CONFIDENTIAL</u>. In the
 preparation for any trial of this proceeding, and any appeal or
 writ taken herein, CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION shall be designated
 in the following manner:

a. INFORMATION shall be designated as CONFIDENTIAL only
after a bona fide and good faith determination by the producing

1party that the material contains CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATIONas2defined herein, the DISCLOSURE and use of which would beas3detrimental to or invade the privacy of the producing party.N.D. Cal. Mode

The producing party shall exercise restraint and care in designating information for protection in conformity with N.D. Cal. Model Protective Order Section 5.1.

b. Any information sought to be protected is properly subject to protection under FRCP Rule 26(c), and **PARTIES** and counsel shall not designate any discovery material **CONFIDENTIAL** without first making a good faith determination that protection is warranted.

9 c. Any party seeking protection under FRCP Rule 26(c) has 10 the burden of proof to show that such protection is warranted.

11 d. The designation of INFORMATION as CONFIDENTIAL shall be 12 made, whenever possible prior to production, by placing or affixing on each page of such material in a manner that will not 13 14 interfere with its legibility the words "CONFIDENTIAL," or by the 15 designation of categories of documents as "CONFIDENTIAL." Ιf 16 such designation is not possible prior to production, the 17 designation must be made by the producing party within twenty 18 (20) days after disclosure. The **INFORMATION** shall be treated as 19 CONFIDENTIAL until the twenty (20) days has elapsed. Within such 20 twenty (20)-day period, the disclosing party must notify all 21 PARTIES in writing of the precise INFORMATION sought to be 22 designated as CONFIDENTIAL. Absent such notice, the INFORMATION 23 cannot be treated as CONFIDENTIAL.

5. <u>DISCLOSURE of CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION</u>. Each counsel
whose law firm are actual signatories to this ORDER, to whom
CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION is furnished, shown, or DISCLOSED,
shall, prior to the time s/he receives access to such materials,
be provided by counsel furnishing her/him such material a copy of

Selman Breitman LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW 4

5

6

7

1 this **ORDER** and agree to be bound by its terms, and shall certify 2 that s/he has carefully read the **ORDER** and fully understands its terms. Such person must also consent to be subject to the 3 personal jurisdiction of the United States District Court, 4 5 Northern District of California, with respect to any proceeding 6 relating to enforcement of this **ORDER**, including any proceeding 7 relating to contempt of court. The certificate shall be in the 8 form attached hereto. Counsel making **DISCLOSURE** to any person as 9 described herein shall retain the original executed copy of such 10 certificate until final termination of this case.

11 DISCLOSURE During Depositions. In the event that a. 12 CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION is DISCLOSED, revealed, utilized, 13 examined or referred to during depositions, then only **QUALIFIED** 14 **PERSONS**, the deponent, and the court reporter shall be present. 15 If CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION is made exhibits to, or if 16 CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION is the subject of examination during, a 17 deposition, then arrangements will be made with the court 18 reporter to separately bind those exhibits and those portions of 19 the transcript containing CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, and each page 20 on which such CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION appears shall be stamped 21 with the word "CONFIDENTIAL." Those exhibits and transcript 22 portions shall be placed in a sealed envelope or other 23 appropriate sealed container on which shall be endorsed 24 "Balasquez, et. al. v. City of Morgan Hill, et al., Confidential 25 Pursuant to Order in Case No. CV 10 05206 LHK," and a statement 26 substantially in the following form: "THIS ENVELOPE IS SEALED PURSUANT TO STIPULATED PROTECTIVE 27 28 ORDER OF COURT, IN "Balasquez, et. al. v. City of Morgan Hill, et

<u>al.</u>, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
 CALIFORNIA, CASE NO. CV 10 05206 LHK, AND CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL
 DOCUMENTS PRODUCED OR GENERATED BY PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING. IT
 IS NOT TO BE OPENED, OR THE CONTENTS THEREOF TO BE DISCLOSED,
 EXCEPT TO QUALIFIED PERSONS AS DEFINED BY SAID ORDER."

Each court reporter participating in any deposition involving **CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION** shall be informed of and provided with a copy of this **ORDER** and exhibit hereto and shall be requested to sign a copy of said exhibit. Furthermore, each court reporter participating in any deposition involving **CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION** shall show a copy of this **ORDER** to any other person participating in the preparation of any deposition transcript and shall have such person sign a copy of said exhibit.

b. <u>Papers Filed in Court</u>. Counsel for plaintiffs and counsel for defendants are directed to place any documents to be filed under seal with the clerk of the court, pursuant to this **ORDER**, in an envelope containing the title of the document, marked "SEALED" and labeled:

**THIS ENVELOPE IS SEALED PURSUANT TO STIPULATED PROTECTIVE
ORDER OF COURT, IN "<u>Balasquez, et. al. v. City of Morgan Hill, et</u>
<u>al.</u>, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA, CASE NO. CV 10 05206 LHK, AND CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL
DOCUMENTS. IT IS NOT TO BE OPENED, OR THE CONTENTS THEREOF TO BE
DISCLOSED, ABSENT FURTHER ORDER OF THE COURT."

Documents to be filed under seal are to be filed directly with the supervisor of the filing window. Upon failure of the filing party to so file a document under seal, the producing

Selman Breitman LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

party may do so.

2 DISCLOSURE to Experts. In case of any DISCLOSURE to an с. 3 expert, consultant, or other **QUALIFIED PERSON** under paragraph 4 2(c) above, counsel for the disclosing party shall make 5 reasonable efforts to ensure that the CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION 6 **DISCLOSED** is not disseminated in any form to anyone by such 7 expert, consultant, or other **QUALIFIED PERSON** and that said 8 CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION and any copies or summaries thereof are 9 returned in their entirety to the disclosing party after they are no longer needed in this action. 10

11 6. DISCLOSURE of CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION to Other Than 12 QUALIFIED PERSONS. In the event that any party desires 13 CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION to be DISCLOSED, discussed, or made 14 available to any person other than a **QUALIFIED PERSON**, such party 15 shall submit to the opposing **PARTY** a written statement 16 specifically identifying the CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION to be 17 **DISCLOSED** and the name, title, and business relationship of the 18 persons with whom they wish to communicate. The opposing PARTY 19 shall have fifteen (15) calendar days from the date notice was 20 served to object to the **DISCLOSURE** to any person identified in 21 the notice. If the **PARTIES** are unable to agree on the terms and 22 conditions of the requested **DISCLOSURE**, it may be made only on 23 such terms as the Court may provide. The **PARTY** seeking 24 disclosure may file with the Court and personally serve on 25 opposing **PARTY** a written motion for authority to make such 26 DISCLOSURE. Ten (10) days shall be allowed to oppose or otherwise answer any such motion. 27

a. Unless opposing **PARTY** responds timely in writing to the

Selman Breitman LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

28

STIPULATION REGARDING CONFIDENTIALITY AND FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER CV 10 05206 LHK 1 fifteen (15)-day notification provided for above, the persons 2 named in the notice shall, subject to the terms and conditions of 3 this ORDER, be entitled to receive only that CONFIDENTIAL 4 INFORMATION specified in this notice.

7. **DISCLOSURE** to Author or Addressee. Nothing in this ORDER shall preclude the **DISCLOSURE** of **CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION** to any person who authored, prepared, was an addressee of, received a copy of, or participated in the preparation of such **CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**. The parties shall attempt to resolve each challenge in good faith and must begin the process by conferring directly, in conformity with N.D. Cal. Model Protective Order Section 6.2.

Objections To Designation. Λ Should any party to whom 10 8 11 CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION is disclosed object to classification of 12 such materials, and should the **PARTIES** be unable to resolve the objection informally, then the objecting party ("the moving 13 14 party") shall have the burden of moving forward and may move, at 15 any time upon proper notice, for an order determining whether or not the materials are properly designated. Until a motion is 16 filed and resolved by the Court, all materials designated 17 CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION shall be treated as CONFIDENTIAL. 18 In 19 the event such a motion is made, the party opposing the motion 20 (the "opposing party") shall have the burden of providing and 21 establishing that the **INFORMATION** is protected as privileged 22 within the meaning of and under applicable federal laws and the 23 laws of the State of California. This burden, imposed on the 24 opposing party, shall include, but shall not be limited to, the 25 burden imposed on any party seeking a protective ORDER.

9. <u>Objections To Production</u>. Nothing in this **ORDER** shall
 be deemed to limit or waive any right of any party to object to
 discovery with respect to any **INFORMATION** which may be claimed to

STIPULATION REGARDING CONFIDENTIALITY AND FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Selman Breitman LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW 5

6

7

8

be outside the scope of discovery for any reason, privileged, or otherwise protected or protectable under applicable federal laws and the laws of the State of California

4 Further Protection. Nothing contained in this ORDER 10. 5 shall be deemed to preclude any party at any time (a) from 6 seeking and obtaining from the opposing party or the Court, on 7 appropriate showing, a further protective **ORDER** relating to 8 CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION or relating to any discovery in this 9 case, (b) to apply to the Court for an **ORDER** requiring the 10 removal of the CONFIDENTIAL designation from any document 11 pursuant to Paragraph 4 above; and (c) to apply to the Court for 12 any relief from a provision of this Stipulation and ORDER, upon 13 good cause shown. Nothing in this **ORDER** shall be construed as 14 preventing any party from making application to the Court for 15 revision of the terms of this ORDER.

11. <u>Contempt</u>. Any violation of the provisions set forth in this **ORDER** is may be punishable as contempt of this Court.

12. General Provisions.

a. This ORDER is the result of negotiations by attorneys
for the PARTIES and shall not be construed against any party or
signatory to this ORDER because that PARTY or their counsel may
have drafted this ORDER in whole or in part. This ORDER shall be
construed and interpreted fairly in accordance with its purpose
and plain meaning.

b. This ORDER shall continue to be binding after the
conclusion of this litigation, except that a party may seek
written permission from the opposing PARTIES or further ORDER of
the Court with respect to dissolution or modification of this

Selman Breitman LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

16

17

18

230894.1 555.30271

1 ORDER.

2 с. Within thirty (30) days after the conclusion of this case, any and all original, copy, portion, version, or summary of 3 any CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION materials in the possession, other than the Court 4 and court staff. control, and/or custody of any QUALIFIED PERSON/shall be 5 6 returned. Counsel of record for a **PARTY** returning **CONFIDENTIAL** 7 **INFORMATION** shall verify by declaration under oath that all such 8 **INFORMATION** has been returned and that it has not retained any 9 such **INFORMATION** or derivatives therefrom. Counsel may retain 10 copies of briefs filed with the Court and work product so long as 11 it is maintained in accordance with this ORDER.

d. Disclosure of **CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION** shall not constitute a waiver of the attorney-client privilege, workproduct doctrine, or any other applicable rights or privilege.

e. Notices pursuant to this **ORDER** shall be sent to the attorneys for the **PARTIES** listed on the signature page of this **ORDER** unless notified in writing of a change.

18 f. This **ORDER** shall not be admissible at the time of trial 19 and shall not constitute an admission by any of the **PARTIES** that 20 **INFORMATION** identified as **CONFIDENTIAL** is in fact confidential or 21 the subject of entitlement thereto. This **ORDER** is entered into 22 solely to expedite discovery and meet the respective concerns of 23 This ORDER shall not be made known to any trier of the **PARTIES**. 24 fact, nor shall it be considered an admission of validity to any claim of confidentiality hereunder except as is necessary to 25 26 enforce the terms of the ORDER as provided herein.

g. The Court's jurisdiction to enforce the terms of thisorder shall extend until six months after the final termination

230894.1 555.30271

11

Selman Breitman LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

12

13

14

15

16

1 of this action.

The above is stipulated to by counsel for plaintiffs Maria 2 Jesus Balasquez, Rudy Martinez and Rudy Balasquez Martinez, Jr., 3 4 and by counsel for defendants City of Morgan Hill (erroneously 5 sued herein as City of Morgan Hill Police Department); Chief Of Police Bruce Cumming; Officer Brookman; Officer Max Cervantez; 6 7 Officer Joseph Burdick; Officer Jerry Neumayer; Jeffrey Brandon; and Det. Brandon Richards as follows: 8 9 10 DATED: August 23, 2011 LAW OFFICE OF RONALD Z. BERKI 11 12 /s/ Ronald Z. Berki By: RONALD Z. BERKI 13 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 14 15 DATED: August 23, 2011 SELMAN BREITMAN LLP 16 /s/ Danielle K. Lewis By: 17 GREGG A. THORNTON DANIELLE K. LEWIS Attorneys for Defendants 18 City of Morgan Hill (erroneously 19 sued herein as City of Morgan Hill Police Department); Chief Of 20 Police Bruce Cumming; Officer Brookman; Officer Max Cervantez; 21 Officer Joseph Burdick; Officer Jerry Neumayer; Jeffrey Brandon; 22 Det. Brandon Richards 23 24 25 26 27 28 12 STIPULATION REGARDING CONFIDENTIALITY AND FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

	1	ORDER
	2	IT IS SO ORDERED.
	3	
	4	Dated: _9/28/2011 By: Pore S. Agene
	5	Hon. Paul S. Grewal United States Magistrate Judge
	6	Northern District of California
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
<u>ط</u>	11	
I LLJ	12	
Selman Breitman LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW	13	
reiti satu	14	
$1 \mathbf{B}$	15	
та атто	16	
Sel	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	
230894.1 55	5.30271	13
		STIPULATION REGARDING CONFIDENTIALITY AND FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER CV 10 05206 LHK