

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DANIEL TREGLIA,)	No. C 10-5502 LHK (PR)
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER OF SERVICE;
)	DIRECTING DEFENDANTS TO
v.)	FILE DISPOSITIVE MOTION
)	OR NOTICE REGARDING
M.C. SAYRE, et al.,)	SUCH MOTION
)	
Defendants.)	

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, filed a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 challenging the conditions of his confinement at Pelican Bay State Prison (“PBSP”). Plaintiff is granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis in a separate order. For the reasons stated below, the Court orders the complaint served upon named defendants.

DISCUSSION

A. Standard of Review

A federal court must conduct a preliminary screening in any case in which a prisoner seeks redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In its review, the court must identify any cognizable claims and dismiss any claims that are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1), (2). *Pro se* pleadings must, however, be liberally construed. *See Balistreri v.*

1 *Pacifica Police Dep't.*, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1988).

2 To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements:
3 (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that
4 the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. *See West v.*
5 *Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

6 B. Plaintiff's Claims

7 Plaintiff claims that Defendants Lewis, Cate, Adams, Nakamura, Penkian, and Williams
8 were deliberately indifferent to his serious medical needs. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant Sayre
9 was also deliberately indifferent by implementing a policy that prohibited Plaintiff and other
10 inmates in the Secured Housing Unit from receiving adequate medications. Liberally construed,
11 Plaintiff's assertions in his complaint are sufficient to state a cognizable federal civil rights
12 claim. The Court exercises its supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's allegations of state law
13 violations as well.

14 **CONCLUSION**

15 For the foregoing reasons, the court hereby orders as follows:

16 1. The Clerk shall issue a summons, and the United States Marshal shall serve,
17 without prepayment of fees, copies of the sixth amended complaint in this matter (docket no.
18 27), all attachments thereto, and copies of this order on **Matthew Cate** at the **California**
19 **Department of Rehabilitation and Corrections in Sacramento, CA; Chief Medical Officer**
20 **M. C. Sayre, Warden Lewis, Dr. Nancy Adams, RN Steve Nakamura, Dr. Claire Williams,**
21 **RN Stella Penkian, and Head Pharmacist James Sipola at Pelican Bay State Prison; and**
22 **Glenmark Generics, Inc. USA at 750 Corporate Drive, Mahwah, NJ 07430.** The Clerk shall
23 also serve a copy of this Order on Plaintiff and mail a courtesy copy of the complaint to the
24 California Attorney General's Office.

25 2. No later than **ninety (90) days** from the date of this order, Defendants shall file a
26 motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion with respect to the cognizable claims
27 in the complaint.

28 a. If Defendants elect to file a motion to dismiss on the grounds that Plaintiff

1 failed to exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a),
2 defendants shall do so in an unenumerated Rule 12(b) motion pursuant to *Wyatt v. Terhune*, 315
3 F.3d 1108, 1119-20 (9th Cir. 2003).

4 b. Any motion for summary judgment shall be supported by adequate factual
5 documentation and shall conform in all respects to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil
6 Procedure. **Defendants are advised that summary judgment cannot be granted, nor**
7 **qualified immunity found, if material facts are in dispute. If Defendants are of the opinion**
8 **that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment, they shall so inform the court**
9 **prior to the date the summary judgment motion is due.**

10 3. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion shall be filed with the court and
11 served on defendants no later than **thirty (30) days** from the date Defendants' motion is filed.

12 a. In the event Defendants file an unenumerated motion to dismiss under
13 Rule 12(b), Plaintiff is hereby cautioned as follows:¹

14 The defendants have made a motion to dismiss pursuant to Rule 12(b) of
15 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on the ground you have not exhausted your
16 administrative remedies. The motion will, if granted, result in the dismissal of
17 your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion to dismiss for failure to
18 exhaust, and that motion is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn
19 testimony) and/or documents, you may not simply rely on what your complaint
20 says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers
21 to interrogatories, or documents, that contradict the facts shown in the defendant's
22 declarations and documents and show that you have in fact exhausted your
23 claims. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, the motion to
24 dismiss, if appropriate, may be granted and the case dismissed.

25 b. In the event Defendants file a motion for summary judgment, the
26 Ninth Circuit has held that the following notice should be given to plaintiffs:

27 The defendants have made a motion for summary judgment by which
28 they seek to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under
Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for
summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is
no genuine issue of material fact--that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact
that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary

¹ The following notice is adapted from the summary judgment notice to be given to pro se
prisoners as set forth in *Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). See
Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d at 1120 n.14.

1 judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case.
2 When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is
3 properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply
4 rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in
5 declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents,
6 as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendants'
7 declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact
8 for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary
9 judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is
10 granted in favor of defendants, your case will be dismissed and there will be no
11 trial.

12 *See Rand v. Rowland*, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). Plaintiff is advised to read
13 Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and *Celotex Corp. v. Catrett*, 477 U.S. 317
14 (1986) (holding party opposing summary judgment must come forward with evidence showing
15 triable issues of material fact on every essential element of his claim). Plaintiff is cautioned that
16 failure to file an opposition to defendant's motion for summary judgment may be deemed to be a
17 consent by plaintiff to the granting of the motion, and granting of judgment against plaintiff
18 without a trial. *See Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53-54 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam); *Brydges v.*
19 *Lewis*, 18 F.3d 651, 653 (9th Cir. 1994).

20 4. Defendants shall file a reply brief no later than **fifteen (15) days** after Plaintiff's
21 opposition is filed.

22 5. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. No
23 hearing will be held on the motion unless the court so orders at a later date.

24 6. All communications by the Plaintiff with the court must be served on Defendants,
25 or Defendants' counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the
26 document to Defendants or Defendants' counsel.

27 7. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
28 No further court order is required before the parties may conduct discovery.

For Plaintiff's information, the proper manner of promulgating discovery is to send
demands for documents or interrogatories (questions asking for specific, factual responses)
directly to Defendants' counsel. *See Fed. R. Civ. P. 33-34*. The scope of discovery is limited to
matters "relevant to the claim or defense of any party . . ." *See Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1)*.


Discovery may be further limited by court order if "(i) the discovery sought is unreasonably

1 cumulative or duplicative, or is obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less
2 burdensome, or less expensive; (ii) the party seeking discovery has had ample opportunity by
3 discovery in the action to obtain the information sought; or (iii) the burden or expense of the
4 proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2). In order to comply
5 with the requirements of Rule 26, before deciding to promulgate discovery plaintiff may find it
6 to his benefit to wait until defendants have filed a dispositive motion which could include some
7 or all of the discovery plaintiff might seek. In addition, no motion to compel will be considered
8 by the Court unless the meet-and-confer requirement of Rule 37(a)(2)(B) and N.D. Cal. Local
9 Rule 37-1 has been satisfied. Because Plaintiff is detained, he is not required to meet and confer
10 with Defendants in person. Rather, if his discovery requests are denied, and he intends to seek a
11 motion to compel, he must send a letter to Defendants to that effect, offering them one last
12 opportunity to provide him with the sought-after information.

13 8. It is Plaintiff’s responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the Court
14 and all parties informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court’s orders in a
15 timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute
16 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 DATED: 1/31/11

19 
20 LUCY H. KOH
21 United States District Judge
22
23
24
25
26
27
28