| Garrett v | . Turner | et al Doc. | 12 |
|--|----------|---|-----|
| | | | |
| United States District Court For the Northern District of California | | | |
| | 1 | | |
| | 2 | | |
| | 3 | | |
| | 4 | | |
| | 5 | | |
| | 6 | | |
| | 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| | 8 9 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| | 10 | SAN JOSE DIVISION | |
| | 11 | JEFFREY STEVEN GARRETT,) Case No.: 10-CV-05603-LHK | |
| | 12 | Plaintiff,) ORDER DISMISSING CASE WITH v.) PREJUDICE | |
| | 13 | v.) PREJUDICE) HARRY TURNER, ET AL.,) | |
| | 14 | Defendants. | |
| | 15 | | |
| | 16 | On March 21, 2011, the Court granted Defendants' motion to dismiss in part with | |
| | 17 | prejudice and in part with leave to amend. See Dkt. #10. Specifically, the Court granted the | |
| | 18 | motion to dismiss with respect to the four individual union officers named as Defendants in the | |
| | 19 | case, but allowed Plaintiff leave to amend to state a valid claim against a proper Defendant. The | |
| | 20 | Court noted that failure to timely amend the complaint risked dismissal of the case with prejudice. | |
| | 21 | The deadline for Plaintiff to file an amended complaint was April 15, 2011, but no amended | |
| | 22 | complaint has been filed. | |
| | 23 | Accordingly, the case is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. | |
| | 24 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| | 25 | A 11 Val | |
| | 26 | Dated: April 20, 2011 LUCY 6. KOH | |
| | 27 | United States District Judge | |
| | 28 | | |
| | | Case No.: 10-CV-05603-LHK | |
| | | ORDER DISMISSING CASE Dockets.Justia.c | ;pm |
| | | .1 | 1 |