24

25

26

27

28

1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 9 FINISAR CORPORATION, No. C 10-005617 WHA a Delaware corporation, 10 Plaintiff, 11 ORDER FINDING THAT CASES ARE v. 12 NOT RELATED OPLINK COMMUNICATIONS INC., a 13 Delaware corporation, and OPTICAL COMMUNICATION PRODUCTS, INC., a 14 Delaware corporation, 15 Defendants. 16 17 On December 27, 2010, plaintiff Finisar Corporation filed an administrative motion to 18 consider whether Finisar Corporation v. Oplink Communications Inc., C 10-05617 JCS, should be 19 related to Finisar Corporation v. Source Photonics, C 10-0032 WHA. Having reviewed the two 20 case files, the undersigned judge finds that these two actions are not related. The only issues the 21 undersigned judge addressed in the earlier action were related to misjoinder, and those issues are 22 not present in the new pleading. Because the undersigned judge did not reach issues related to the 23 merits of the underlying patents in the earlier action, no duplication of effort will result from

IT IS SO ORDERED.

leaving the assignment of the newer action undisturbed.

Dated: January 4, 2011.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE