

1 Michael L. Charlson (Bar No. 122125)  
 Maren J. Clouse (Bar No. 228726)  
 2 HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP  
 525 University Avenue, 4th Floor  
 3 Palo Alto, California 94301  
 Telephone: (650) 463-4000  
 4 Facsimile: (650) 463-4199  
 michael.charlson@hoganlovells.com  
 5 maren.clouse@hoganlovells.com

6 Attorneys for Defendant  
 QUATTRO WIRELESS, INC.

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 SAN JOSE DIVISION

In re iPhone Application Litigation

Case No. CV-10-5878 LHK (PSG)

**STIPULATION EXTENDING  
 DEFENDANT QUATTRO WIRELESS,  
 INC.'S TIME TO RESPOND TO  
 CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT**

The Honorable Lucy H. Koh

1           WHEREAS on April 7, 2011, the Court entered an Order providing that Plaintiffs shall  
2 file a Consolidated Complaint (“Complaint”) and Defendants shall answer, move, or otherwise  
3 respond within 30 days from the filing of the Complaint (Dkt. No. 66);

4           WHEREAS Defendant Quattro Wireless, Inc. (“Quattro”) was named as a defendant in  
5 the underlying action captioned *Rodimer, et al. v. Apple, Inc., et al.*, Case No. CV-11-0700 PSG;

6           WHEREAS the *Rodimer* action was consolidated with these actions pursuant to  
7 Stipulation and Order Consolidating Cases entered by the Court on March 15, 2011 (Dkt. No.  
8 36);

9           WHEREAS Quattro does not believe that it was properly served in the *Rodimer* action  
10 and had not appeared in that action or these consolidated actions until now and was therefore not  
11 subject to the April 7, 2011 Order;

12           WHEREAS Plaintiffs filed the Consolidated Complaint on April 21, 2011 (Dkt. No. 71);

13           WHEREAS Plaintiffs have agreed to give defendants newly named, or defendants  
14 previously named but that did not previously appear in the pre-consolidation actions, until June  
15 13, 2011 to respond to the Consolidated Complaint;

16           WHEREAS under Civil Local Rule 6-1(a), parties may stipulate in writing, without a  
17 Court order, to extend the time within which to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the  
18 Complaint; AND

19           WHEREAS allowing Quattro until June 13, 2011 to answer, move, or otherwise respond  
20 to the Consolidated Complaint will not alter the date of any event or deadline fixed by Court  
21 order;

22           ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that Quattro has until  
23 June 13, 2011 to respond to the Consolidated Complaint.

24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.

2

3 DATED: May 19, 2011

HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP

4

By /s/ Michael L. Charlson

5

Michael L. Charlson

6

Attorneys for Defendant  
QUATTRO WIRELESS, INC.

7

8 Dated: May 19, 2011

KAMBERLAW, LLP

9

By: /s/ Scott A. Kamber

10

Scott A. Kamber (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

11

SCOTT A. KAMBER (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
DAVID A. STAMPLEY (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)  
KAMBERLAW, LLC  
100 Wall Street, 23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10005  
Telephone: (212) 920-3072  
Facsimile: (212) 202-6364  
skamber@kamberedelson.com  
dstampley@kamberedelson.com

12

13

14

15

16

DEBORAH KRAVITZ  
KAMBERLAW, LLP  
141 North Street  
Healdsburg, California 95448  
Telephone: (707) 820-4247  
Facsimile: (212) 202-6364  
dkravitz@kamberlaw.com

17

18

19

Interim Class Counsel

20

**ATTESTATION**

21

22 Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X (B), I, Michael L. Charlson, attest that Scott  
23 Kamber has read and approved the Stipulation Extending Time for Defendant Quattro Wireless  
24 Inc.'s Response to Consolidated Complaint and consents to its filing in this action.

25 DATED: May 19, 2011

26

/s/ Michael L. Charlson

27

Michael L. Charlson

28