Scott Kamber (pro hac vice)
skamber@kamberlaw.com
David Stampley (pro hac vice)
dstampley@kamberlaw.com
KamberLaw, LLC
100 Wall Street, 23rd Floor
New York, NY 10005
Telephone: (212) 920-3072
Facsimile: (212) 920-3081

Deborah Kravitz (SBN 275661)
dkravitz@kamberlaw.com
KamberLaw, LLP
141 North Street
Healdsburg, CA 94558
Telephone: (707) 820-4247

Avi Kreitenberg, Esq. (SBN 266571)
akreitenberg@kamberlaw.com
KamberLaw, LLP
1180 South Beverly Drive, Suite 601
Los Angeles, California 90035
Telephone: (310) 400-1050
Facsimile: (310) 400-1056

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

In Re iPhone Application Litigation	Case No. 10-CV-05878 LHK (PSG) **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR PERMISSION TO FILE AN OVER-LENGTH BRIEF**

STIPULATION

Whereas, the Honorable Lucy H. Koh stated in Order Granting In Part Joint Stipulation To Extend Briefing Schedule (Dkt. 134) that the Court preferred unified briefing:

> The court appreciates, and supports, the parties' efforts at consolidating their briefing and avoiding repetitive arguments... If feasible, the Court's preference would for a single motion to dismiss, to which the Court would be amenable to a reasonable request for an extension of otherwise applicable page limitations.

Whereas, although Defendant Apple Inc. filed a brief in support of its motion to dismiss (Dkt. 142) and, separately, Defendants AdMarvel, Inc., Admob, Inc., Flurry, Inc., Millennial Media, Inc., MobClix Inc., Pinch Media, Inc., Quattro Wireless, Inc., and TrafficMarketplace, Inc. filed a brief in support of their motion to dismiss (Dkt. 145), in the interests of efficiency, particularly in light of some overlapping or incorporated arguments, Plaintiffs contemplate filing a single, omnibus, consolidated opposition to these pending motions;

Whereas, should Plaintiffs file a single brief in opposition to the two pending motions to dismiss, in light of the non-duplicative nature some of the arguments and the fact that a consolidated brief would be offered in the stead of two twenty five (25) page briefs, Plaintiffs request permission to file an over-length brief, not to exceed fifty (50) pages in length; and

Whereas, Plaintiffs counsel has contacted counsel for each of the Defendants, and no Defendant has objected to Plaintiffs' request to file an over-length brief, not to exceed fifty (50) pages; IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED, pursuant to Rule 7-12 of the Civil Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, by the parties, through their undersigned counsel agree, and subject to the approval of this Court, that Plaintiffs be granted leave to file an over-length brief, not to exceed fifty (50) pages, if they file a single, omnibus brief in Opposition to both pending motions to dismiss.

DATED: July 12, 2011

KamberLaw, LLP

By: */s/ Deborah Kravitz*
Deborah Kravitz
Attorney for Plaintiffs

DATED: July 12, 2011

Morrison & Foerster

By: */s/ Bryan Wilson*
Bryan Wilson
Attorney for Defendants Apple Inc. and
Quattro Wireless, Inc.

DATED: July 12, 2011

Gibson Dunn & Crutcher LLP

By: */s/ Gail E. Lees*
Gail E. Lees
Attorney for Defendants Flurry, Inc. & Pinch Media, Inc.

DATED: July 12, 2011

Cooley LLP

By: */s/ Matthew D. Brown*
Matthew D. Brown
Attorney for Defendants Millennial Media Inc. &
AdMarvel, Inc.

DATED: July 12, 2011

DLA Piper LLP (US)

By: */s/ Carter Ott*
Carter Ott
Attorney for Defendant MobClix Inc.

DATED: July 12, 2011

Durie Tangri

By: */s/ Michael H. Page*
Michael H. Page
Attorney for Defendant Admob, Inc.

DATED: July 12, 2011

K&L Gates LLP

By: */s/ Seth A. Gold*
Seth A. Gold
Attorney for Defendant Traffic Marketplace, Inc.

FILER'S ATTESTATION

Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X (B) regarding signatures, I, Deborah Kravitz, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained.

KamberLaw LLP
Interim Class Counsel

By: */s/ Deborah Kravitz*
Deborah Kravitz

PROOF OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that, on July 12, 2011, he caused this document to be electronically filed with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notifications of filing to counsel of record for each party

Avi Kreitenberg