

# EXHIBIT F

# Security Class Action Services

## The Top SCAS 50 for 2010

Securities Class Action Services is pleased to present the "SCAS 50" for the year 2010. The SCAS 50 lists the top 50 plaintiffs' law firms ranked by the total dollar amount of final securities class action settlements occurring in 2010 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel.

Rank	Law Firm	Settlement Total	# of Settlements	Average
1	Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann	\$ 999,975,838	16	\$ 62,498,490
2	Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd	\$ 740,796,000	31	\$ 23,896,645
3	Labaton Sucharow	\$ 576,359,800	13	\$ 44,335,369
4	Hargrove Pierson & Brown	\$ 549,250,000	1	\$ 549,250,000
5	Marcus & Auerbach	\$ 549,250,000	1	\$ 549,250,000
6	Grant & Eisenhofer	\$ 315,525,000	9	\$ 35,058,333
7	Chimicles & Tikellis	\$ 290,000,000	2	\$ 145,000,000
8	Chitwood Harley Harnes	\$ 252,000,000	2	\$ 126,000,000
9	Loweys Dannenberg Cohen & Hart	\$ 238,500,000	2	\$ 119,250,000
10	Pomerantz Haudek Grossman & Gross	\$ 225,000,000	1	\$ 225,000,000
11	The Nygaard Law Firm	\$ 200,000,000	1	\$ 200,000,000
12	Berman DeValerio	\$ 138,250,000	3	\$ 46,083,333
13	Milberg	\$ 137,506,000	9	\$ 15,278,444
14	Cunningham Bounds	\$ 133,500,000	2	\$ 66,750,000
15	Wolf Popper	\$ 119,012,500	3	\$ 39,670,833
16	Barroway Topaz Kessler Meltzer & Check	\$ 113,005,550	6	\$ 18,834,258
17	Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz	\$ 105,862,500	3	\$ 35,287,500
18	Hahn Loeser & Parks	\$ 97,500,000	1	\$ 97,500,000
19	Maurice Blackburn	\$ 97,031,000	1	\$ 97,031,000
20	Nix, Patterson & Roach	\$ 80,000,000	1	\$ 80,000,000
21	Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro	\$ 69,868,000	3	\$ 23,289,333
22	Stull Stull & Brody	\$ 65,730,000	3	\$ 21,910,000
23	Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll	\$ 64,000,000	5	\$ 12,800,000
24	Blitz Bardgett & Deutsch	\$ 60,000,000	1	\$ 60,000,000
25	Kirby McInerney	\$ 50,125,000	5	\$ 10,025,000
26	Lovell Stewart Halebian	\$ 50,000,000	1	\$ 50,000,000
27	Stamell & Schager	\$ 50,000,000	1	\$ 50,000,000
28	Ernst & Mattison	\$ 45,000,000	1	\$ 45,000,000

29	Gianelli & Morris	\$ 45,000,000	1	\$ 45,000,000
30	Law Offices of Ronald A. Marron	\$ 45,000,000	1	\$ 45,000,000
31	Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer	\$ 43,000,000	1	\$ 43,000,000
32	Siskinds	\$ 42,944,934	6	\$ 7,157,489
33	Motley Rice	\$ 40,000,000	1	\$ 40,000,000
34	Datsopoulos MacDonald & Lind	\$ 39,280,000	1	\$ 39,280,000
35	Knight, Dahood, Everett & Sievers	\$ 39,280,000	1	\$ 39,280,000
36	McGarvey, Heberling, Sullivan & McGarvey	\$ 39,280,000	1	\$ 39,280,000
37	Morrison & Frampton	\$ 39,280,000	1	\$ 39,280,000
38	Glancy Binkow & Goldberg	\$ 36,990,000	4	\$ 9,247,500
39	Maurice Blackburn Cashman	\$ 36,176,017	1	\$ 36,176,017
40	Gordon Thomas Honeywell Malanca Peterson & Daheim	\$ 33,368,000	1	\$ 33,368,000
41	Sutts Strosberg	\$ 33,301,760	2	\$ 16,650,880
42	Berger & Montague	\$ 32,466,000	3	\$ 10,822,000
43	Camp Fiorante Matthews	\$ 26,644,800	1	\$ 26,644,800
44	Kahn Swick & Foti	\$ 24,850,000	3	\$ 8,283,333
45	Entwistle & Cappucci	\$ 22,500,000	1	\$ 22,500,000
46	Carella, Byrne, Cecchi, Olstein, Brody & Agnello	\$ 19,500,000	1	\$ 19,500,000
47	Law Offices of Bernard M. Gross	\$ 18,000,000	1	\$ 18,000,000
48	Susman Godfrey	\$ 16,500,000	1	\$ 16,500,000
49	Robbins Umeda	\$ 16,000,000	1	\$ 16,000,000
50	Izard Nobel	\$ 15,500,000	1	\$ 15,500,000

## Firms by Settlements

Rank	SCAS 50 Rank	Law Firm	Settlement Total	# of Settlements	Average
1	2	Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd	\$ 874,296,000	33	\$ 26,493,818
2	1	Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann	\$ 999,975,838	16	\$ 62,498,490
3	3	Labaton Sucharow	\$ 576,359,800	13	\$ 44,335,369
4	6	Grant & Eisenhofer	\$ 315,525,000	9	\$ 35,058,333
5	13	Milberg	\$ 137,506,000	9	\$ 15,278,444



Securities Class Action Services is pleased to present the "SCAS 50" for the year 2009. The SCAS 50 lists the top 50 plaintiffs' law firms ranked by the total dollar amount of final securities class action settlements occurring in 2009 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel.

### The SCAS 50 for 2009

Rank	Law Firm	Settlement Total	# of Settlements	Average
1	Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins	\$1,580,599,000	34	\$46,488,206
2	Milberg	\$1,440,849,996	10	\$144,085,000
3	Bernstein Liebhard	\$1,018,499,996	4	\$254,624,999
4	Barroway Topaz Kessler Meltzer & Check	\$889,094,996	15	\$59,273,000
5	Barrack, Rodos & Bacine	\$887,250,000	6	\$147,875,000
6	Grant & Eisenhofer	\$863,500,000	6	\$143,916,667
7	Berman DeValerio	\$767,900,000	4	\$191,975,000
8	Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann	\$764,375,000	14	\$54,598,214
9	Johnson & Perkinson	\$750,000,000	1	\$750,000,000
10	Stull Stull & Brody	\$669,774,996	4	\$167,443,749
11	Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz	\$593,249,996	3	\$197,749,999
12	Howard B. Sirota, Esq.	\$585,999,996	1	\$585,999,996
13	Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer	\$547,544,000	7	\$78,220,571
14	Berger & Montague	\$508,050,000	3	\$169,350,000
15	Labaton Sucharow	\$447,750,000	6	\$74,625,000
16	Nix, Patterson, & Roach	\$285,198,500	3	\$95,066,167
17	Patton Roberts	\$195,098,500	2	\$97,549,250
18	Abraham, Fruchter & Twersky	\$80,225,000	3	\$26,741,667
19	Kohn Swift & Graf	\$78,000,000	2	\$39,000,000
20	Gold Bennett Cera & Sidener	\$61,275,000	1	\$61,275,000
21	Motley Rice	\$51,000,000	4	\$12,750,000
22	Lovell Stewart Halebian Jacobson	\$50,000,000	1	\$50,000,000
22	Sonn & Erez	\$50,000,000	1	\$50,000,000
22	Stamell & Schager	\$50,000,000	1	\$50,000,000
25	Zwerling Schachter & Zwerling	\$46,850,000	2	\$23,425,000
26	Chitwood Harley Harnes	\$45,400,000	2	\$22,700,000
27	Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll	\$45,000,000	4	\$11,250,000
28	Glancy Binkow & Goldberg	\$32,750,000	6	\$5,458,333
29	Reginald H. Howe	\$29,250,000	1	\$29,250,000
30	Brower Piven	\$29,000,000	2	\$14,500,000
31	Izard Nobel	\$28,000,000	4	\$7,000,000
32	Saxena White	\$21,249,000	2	\$10,624,500
33	Murray, Frank & Sailer	\$20,650,000	1	\$20,650,000
34	Pomerantz Haudek Block Grossman & Gross	\$20,000,000	1	\$20,000,000
35	Kozyak Tropin Throckmorton	\$18,500,000	2	\$9,250,000
36	Wolf Popper	\$15,250,000	2	\$7,625,000
37	Kahn Swick & Foti	\$12,356,827	2	\$6,178,414
38	Scott & Scott	\$12,050,000	4	\$3,012,500
39	Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro	\$12,000,000	2	\$6,000,000
40	Carney Williams Bates Bozeman & Pulliam	\$10,250,000	1	\$10,250,000
41	Richardson Patrick Westbrook & Brickman	\$9,600,000	1	\$9,600,000
41	Ruppel & Burns	\$9,600,000	1	\$9,600,000
43	Finkelstein Thompson	\$9,500,000	1	\$9,500,000
44	Pinkerton & Finn	\$8,500,000	1	\$8,500,000
45	Lowey Dannenberg Cohen	\$8,000,000	1	\$8,000,000
46	Rosen Law Firm	\$7,750,000	3	\$2,583,333
47	Dietrich Siben Thorpe	\$7,500,000	1	\$7,500,000
48	Entwistle & Cappucci	\$7,250,000	1	\$7,250,000
49	Weiss & Lurie	\$7,100,000	2	\$3,550,000
50	Krislov & Associates	\$7,000,000	1	\$7,000,000

## Firms by Settlements

Rank	SCAS 50 Rank	Law Firm	Settlement Total	# of Settlements	Average
1	1	Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins	\$1,580,599,000	34	\$46,488,206
2	4	Barroway Topaz Kessler Meltzer & Check	\$889,094,996	15	\$59,273,000
3	8	Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann	\$764,375,000	14	\$54,598,214
4	2	Millberg	\$1,440,849,996	10	\$144,085,000
5	13	Kaplan Fox & Kilsheimer	\$547,544,000	7	\$78,220,571

## Firms by Settlement Average (\*Firms had to have a minimum of 3 settlements)

Rank	SCAS 50 Rank	Law Firm	Settlement Total	# of Settlements	Average
1	3	Bernstein Liebhard	\$1,018,499,996	4	\$254,624,999
2	11	Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz	\$593,249,996	3	\$197,749,999
3	7	Berman DeValerio	\$767,900,000	4	\$191,975,000
4	14	Berger & Montague	\$508,050,000	3	\$169,350,000
5	10	Stull Stull & Brody	\$669,774,996	4	\$167,443,749

## Methodology

We created the SCAS 50 using data from the SCAS database, which tracks, among many other things, federal and state shareholder class actions. We also contacted each law firm to seek confirmation of the settlement data pertaining to that firm. The SCAS 50 does not include data on ERISA or derivative lawsuits.

The SCAS 50 reflects only those final settlements that resulted in the creation of a settlement fund on behalf of shareholders. Cases which resulted in no settlement fund being created, but instead had only non-monetary settlement terms (such as corporate governance changes, changes in the terms of a merger, etc.) are not included. Further information on such settlements can be found in the SCAS database.

The SCAS 50 credits law firms that served as lead or co-lead counsel in a case with the entire settlement fund, regardless of how many other firms served as lead or co-lead counsel in the case. Thus, for a settlement of \$1,000,000 dollars where there were two lead counsel, the SCAS 50 credits both law firms with a \$1,000,000 settlement rather than dividing the settlement fund in half. For purposes of this report, law firms are considered to be lead or co-lead counsel if they are identified as such in the notice of settlement distributed to shareholders.

## Terminology

SETTLEMENT TOTAL is the total dollar value of all final settlements occurring in 2009 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel, and where a settlement fund resulted.

# of SETTLEMENTS is the total number of final settlements occurring in 2009 in which the law firm served as lead or co-lead counsel, and where a settlement fund resulted.

AVERAGE is the SETTLEMENT TOTAL divided by the # of SETTLEMENTS.

*For further information, please contact Adam Savett,  
Director of Securities Class Action Services, at  
(301) 556-0176 or via email [adam.savett@riskmetrics.com](mailto:adam.savett@riskmetrics.com)*



# Securities Class Action Services

## The SCAS 100 for Q2 2010

The SCAS “Top 100 Settlements Quarterly Report” identifies the largest securities class action settlements filed after the passage of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, ranked by the total value of the settlement fund.

The Top 100 Settlements Quarterly Report provides a wealth of information, including the settlement date, filing court and settlement fund, as well as identifies the key players for each settlement.

The report, which is updated and circulated quarterly, is broken down into the following categories:

### Top 100 Settlements Quarterly Report

The Front Page provides the complete list of the Top 100 Securities Class Action Settlements, ranked according to the Total Settlement Amount, and provides information on the filing court, settlement year and settlement fund. The SCAS Top 100 does not include non-US cases and the SEC disgorgements. Cases with the same settlement amount are given the same ranking.

For cases with multiple partial settlements, the amount indicated in the Total Settlement Amount is put together by combining all partial settlements. The settlement year reflects the most recent settlement approved by the Court.

Cases in the Top 100 settlements are limited to those that have been filed on or after January 1, 1996. Only final settlements are included. Data on SEC settlements are not included, but rather compiled in a separate list—The Top 30 SEC Disgorgements.

### Top 100 Settlements from 1996-2010

The Top 100 Settlements from 1996-2010 section provides a chart of the cases in the Top 100 Settlements Quarterly Report, categorized by Settlement Year. The Settlement Year corresponds to the year in which the hearing to grant final approval to the settlement, or the most recent partial settlement, occurred.

### Institutional Lead Plaintiff Participation

The Inst. Lead Plaintiff section displays the number of cases in the Top 100 involving Institutional Lead Plaintiffs and also identifies the institutional investors serving as Institutional Lead Plaintiff.

### Lead Counsel Participation

The Lead Counsel Participation section lists the law firms that served as lead or co-lead counsel for each litigation in the Top 100 settlements and identifies the most frequent lead or co-lead counsel appearing in the Top 100.

### Claims Administration

The Claims Administration section lists the claims administrators who have handled the Top 100 settlements and identifies the most frequent claims administrators in the Top 100.


### Restatements

The Restatements section identifies the cases in the Top 100 involving accounting restatements, and shows the ratio of restatement cases to non-restatement cases in the Top 100.

### Top 30 SEC Disgorgements

The Top 30 SEC Disgorgements section provides a list of the largest SEC settlements, ranked according to the Total Settlement Amount. The Total Settlement Amount reflects the sum of disgorgement and civil penalties in settlements reached with the SEC. The Top 30 SEC Disgorgements includes only those where the distribution plan has received final approval. Cases with the same settlement amount are given the same ranking.

## Lead Counsel Participation



\* Includes 15 cases settled by Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach, 6 cases settled by Milberg Weiss Bershad & Schulman, 3 cases settled by Milberg Weiss & Bershad, 1 case settled by Milberg Weiss, and 3 cases settled by Milberg

\*\* Includes 1 case settled by Lerach Coughlin Stoia & Robbins, 8 cases settled by Lerach Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins, and 5 cases settled by Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins

The SCAS “Top 100 Settlements Quarterly Report” identifies the largest securities class action settlements filed after the passage of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, ranked by the total value of the settlement fund.

The Top 100 Settlements Quarterly Report provides a wealth of information, including the settlement date, filing court and settlement fund, as well as identifies the key players for each settlement.

The report, which is updated and circulated quarterly, is broken down into the following categories:

### Top 100 Settlements Quarterly Report

The Front Page provides the complete list of the Top 100 Securities Class Action Settlements, ranked according to the Total Settlement Amount, and provides information on the filing court, settlement year and settlement fund. The SCAS Top 100 does not include non-US cases and the SEC disgorgements. Cases with the same settlement amount are given the same ranking.

For cases with multiple partial settlements, the amount indicated in the Total Settlement Amount is put together by combining all partial settlements. The settlement year reflects the most recent settlement approved by the Court.

Cases in the Top 100 settlements are limited to those that have been filed on or after January 1, 1996. Only final settlements are included. Data on SEC settlements are not included, but rather compiled in a separate list—The Top 30 SEC Disgorgements.

### Top 100 Settlements from 1996-2010

The Top 100 Settlements from 1996-2010 section provides a chart of the cases in the Top 100 Settlements Quarterly Report, categorized by Settlement Year. The Settlement Year corresponds to the year in which the hearing to grant final approval to the settlement, or the most recent partial settlement, occurred.

### Institutional Lead Plaintiff Participation

The Inst. Lead Plaintiff section displays the number of cases in the Top 100 involving Institutional Lead Plaintiffs and also identifies the institutional investors serving as Institutional Lead Plaintiff.

### Lead Counsel Participation

The Lead Counsel Participation section lists the law firms that served as lead or co-lead counsel for each litigation in the Top 100 settlements and identifies the most frequent lead or co-lead counsel appearing in the Top 100.

### Claims Administration

The Claims Administration section lists the claims administrators who have handled the Top 100 settlements and identifies the most frequent claims administrators in the Top 100.

### Restatements


The Restatements section identifies the cases in the Top 100 involving accounting restatements, and shows the ratio of restatement cases to non-restatement cases in the Top 100.

### Top 30 SEC Disgorgements

The Top 30 SEC Disgorgements section provides a list of the largest SEC settlements, ranked according to the Total Settlement Amount. The Total Settlement Amount reflects the sum of disgorgement and civil penalties in settlements reached with the SEC. The Top 30 SEC Disgorgements includes only those where the distribution plan has received final approval. Cases with the same settlement amount are given the same ranking.



## Lead Counsel Participation



\* Includes 15 cases settled by Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach, 6 cases settled by Milberg Weiss Bershad & Schulman, 3 cases settled by Milberg Weiss & Bershad, 1 case settled by Milberg Weiss, and 3 cases settled by Milberg

\*\* Includes 1 case settled by Lerach Coughlin Stoia & Robbins, 8 cases settled by Lerach Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins, and 5 cases settled by Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins

The SCAS “Top 100 Settlements Quarterly Report” identifies the largest securities class action settlements filed after the passage of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, ranked by the total value of the settlement fund.

The Top 100 Settlements Quarterly Report provides a wealth of information, including the settlement date, filing court and settlement fund, as well as identifies the key players for each settlement.

The report, which is updated and circulated quarterly, is broken down into the following categories:

### Top 100 Settlements Quarterly Report

The Front Page provides the complete list of the Top 100 Securities Class Action Settlements, ranked according to the Total Settlement Amount, and provides information on the filing court, settlement year and settlement fund. The SCAS Top 100 does not include non-US cases and the SEC disgorgements. Cases with the same settlement amount are given the same ranking.

For cases with multiple partial settlements, the amount indicated in the Total Settlement Amount is put together by combining all partial settlements. The settlement year reflects the most recent settlement approved by the Court.

Cases in the Top 100 settlements are limited to those that have been filed on or after January 1, 1996. Only final settlements are included. Data on SEC settlements are not included, but rather compiled in a separate list—The Top 30 SEC Disgorgements.

### Top 100 1996-2009

The Top 100 1996-2009 section provides a chart of the cases in the Top 100 Settlements Quarterly Report, categorized by Settlement Year. The Settlement Year corresponds to the year in which the hearing to grant final approval to the settlement, or the most recent partial settlement, occurred.

### Institutional Lead Plaintiff Participation

The Inst. Lead Plaintiff section displays the number of cases in the Top 100 involving Institutional Lead Plaintiffs and also identifies the institutional investors serving as Institutional Lead Plaintiff.

### Lead Counsel Participation

The Lead Counsel Participation section lists the law firms that served as lead or co-lead counsel for each litigation in the Top 100 settlements and identifies the most frequent lead or co-lead counsel appearing in the Top 100.


### Claims Administrators

The Claims Administrators section lists the claims administrators who have handled the Top 100 settlements and identifies the most frequent claims administrators in the Top 100.

### Top 30 SEC Disgorgements

The Top 30 SEC Disgorgements section provides a list of the largest SEC settlements, ranked according to the Total Settlement Amount. The Total Settlement Amount reflects the sum of disgorgement and civil penalties in settlements reached with the SEC. The Top 30 SEC Disgorgements includes only those where the distribution plan has received final approval. Cases with the same settlement amount are given the same ranking.

## Lead Counsel Participation



\* Includes 16 cases settled by Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach, 6 cases settled by Milberg Weiss Bershad & Schulman, 3 cases settled by Milberg Weiss & Bershad, 1 case settled by Milberg Weiss, and 3 cases settled by Milberg

\*\* Includes 1 case settled by Lerach Coughlin Stoia & Robbins, 9 cases settled by Lerach Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins, and 4 cases settled by Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins