Leader Technologies, Inc., v. Facebook, Inc.

Doc. 13

- 2. Whereas, since the Court's Order was issued on February 14, 2007, the parties cannot meet the April 13, 2007 hearing and case management deadline mandated in the Court's Order as Local Civil Rule 7.2(a) requires that all motions be noticed at least thirty five days before the hearing date. Added to the fourteen days for Plaintiff to file their Second Amended Complaint and the twenty days for Defendants to file a response, the earliest hearing date would be April 27, 2007.
- 3. Whereas, Plaintiff has requested that Defendants grant a five day extension for Plaintiff to file its second amended complaint because Plaintiff's lead counsel, Izetta Jackson, has been called away from work to testify as a trial witness in the case of *Naidu v. California Public Utilities Commission*, San Francisco Superior Court Case No. 05-444782. Consequently, Ms. Jackson needs the additional five days in order to draft and prepare the amended complaint once she has concluded her testimony.
- 4. Therefore, the parties request a one week extension past the April 27, 2007 hearing date to May 4, 2007 and stipulate to the following revised schedule:
 - a. The Second Amended Complaint shall be filed on or before March 5, 2007.
 - b. Defendants' answer or Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Complaint shall be filed on or before March 26, 2007.
 - c. Plaintiff's opposition brief shall be filed on or before April 9, 2007.
 - d. Defendants' reply brief shall be filed on or before April 20, 2007.
 - e. The hearing on the Motion to Dismiss shall be held on May 4, 2007 at 1:30 p.m.
 - f. The initial case management conference shall also be held on May 11, 2007 at 1:30 p.m. consistent with the Court's Order that the hearing on any potential motion to dismiss be held on the same day and time as the initial case management conference.
- 5. This schedule, combined with the rescheduling of the initial case management conference, will not affect any other scheduled dates.

		* *
1	DATED: February <u>23</u> , 2007	Respectfully submitted,
2		COOPER, WHITE & COOPER LLP
		COOTER, WHITE & COOTER COI
		By: Januch
4		Jie-Ming Chou
		Attorneys for defendants COMCAST CORPORATION, and COMCAST OF CALIFORNIA/COLORADO, LLC
. 6		CALIFORNIA/COLORADO, LLC
7	DATED: February 23, 2007	OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY, CITY OF
8		OAKLAND
9		
10		By: Mark T. Morodomi
11		Supervising Trial Attorney Attorneys for Plaintiff CITY OF OAKLAND
12	* *	Anomeys for Fianting CTTT OF OAKLAND
13		ORDER
14	DUDGITANT TO THE CTIPLE AT	TION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
15		÷ .
	Dotad:	007
16		
17		
18		The Managella Claudia Willean
19		The Honorable Claudia Wilken United States District Judge
20		
21		* X
22		
23		
24	×	
25		. F :
26	*	
27		•
28		
& COOPER, WHITE & COOPER LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW 201 CALIFORNIA STREET	Stipulation and Order S string Dates fo	3 C065380 CW r Second Amended Complaint and Motion to Dismiss
BAN FRANCISCO, CA 84111	П	

CITY ATTURNEY OFFICE

02/23/2007 16:03

5102384900