

# **EXHIBIT 1**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

LEADER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., ) Civil Action  
a Delaware corporation, )  
Plaintiff and Counterclaim )  
Defendant, )  
v. )  
FACEBOOK, INC., )  
a Delaware Corporation, )  
Defendant and Counterclaim )  
Plaintiff. ) No. 08-862-JJF-LPS

Wilmington, Delaware  
Friday, October 23, 2009  
11:30 a.m.  
Telephone Conference

BEFORE: HONORABLE LEONARD P. STARK, U.S.M.J.

APPEARANCES:

PHILIP A. ROVNER, ESQ.  
Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP  
-and-  
PAUL J. ANDRE, ESQ., and  
JAMES R. HANNAH, ESQ.  
King & Spalding  
(Silicon Valley, CA)  
Counsel for Leader  
Technologies, Inc.

APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

STEVEN L. CAPONI, ESQ  
Blank Rome LLP  
-and-  
HEIDI L. KEEFE, ESQ., and  
MARK R. WEINSTEIN, ESQ.  
Cleary Godward & Kronish LLP  
(Palo Alto, CA)

Counsel for Facebook, Inc.

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. This is  
Judge Stark. Who is there, please?

MR. ROVNER: Good morning, Your Honor. This is  
Phil Rovner from Potter Anderson on behalf of the plaintiff.  
With me on the line is Paul Andre and James Hannah from King  
& Spalding.

MR. CAPONI: Good morning, Your Honor. Steve  
Caponi from Blank Rome. With me on the phone is also Heidi  
Keefe and Mark Weinstein from Cleary Godward.

THE COURT: Good morning to everyone.  
For the record, this is Leader Technologies,  
Inc. v. Facebook, Inc., Civil Action 08-862-JJF-LPS.

I want to start just by apologizing. We are a

11:34:22 1 little bit late starting this morning. As I am sure you all  
11:34:28 2 know, we are very busy over here, the judges, and the court  
11:34:32 3 reporters as well. I know that you are all busy, also. I  
11:34:34 4 do apologize for having to move the call back a bit to track  
11:34:38 5 down a court reporter.

11:34:40 6 The purpose of the call today is to talk about  
11:34:44 7 the latest discovery disputes that the parties have put in  
11:34:48 8 front of me. Both sides are complaining about certain  
11:34:52 9 aspects of the other side's discovery to date. I have, of  
11:34:56 10 course, reviewed the letters, and I do want to give you all  
11:35:00 11 a chance to add a little bit more, if you wish, to what you  
11:35:04 12 set out in the letters.

11:35:06 13 Let's start with Facebook's complaint and your  
11:35:14 14 request that there be more complete responses to your  
11:35:18 15 Interrogatories Nos. 4 and 11 regarding the allegation of  
11:35:22 16 willful infringement. And I want to hear first from  
11:35:26 17 Facebook on that, please.

11:35:26 18 MS. KEEFE: Thank you very much, Your Honor.  
11:35:28 19 Good morning.

11:35:28 20 Your Honor, it is interesting, having read the  
11:35:32 21 opponent's opposition brief, I might be able to  
11:35:34 22 short-circuit some of this.

11:35:36 23 This is another case where we had asked for more  
11:35:40 24 information and received more information in their  
11:35:42 25 opposition brief than we had in the original response. If

11:35:46 1 Your Honor would be willing to simply order that the facts  
11:35:50 2 contained in the opposition letter be their interrogatory  
11:35:56 3 response, I think we would be satisfied, since they have  
11:36:00 4 said in their opposition letter that these facts are all  
11:36:02 5 they have. Those are more than in their response. If they  
11:36:06 6 are all concatenated and turned into a response, I think we  
11:36:10 7 would be satisfied.

11:36:10 8 THE COURT: Let's stop you there and see what  
11:36:12 9 the plaintiff's position is, just on what you have said so  
11:36:16 10 far.

11:36:16 11 MR. ANDRE: Your Honor, I think most of what we  
11:36:20 12 put in our letter brief was a response. We have no problem  
11:36:28 13 supplementing a response to that level. The big issue with  
11:36:30 14 this is that willfulness is really an examination of the  
11:36:32 15 defendant's activity. We haven't had a chance to do that  
11:36:36 16 yet. We can supplement our interrogatory with what's in our  
11:36:38 17 letter brief and make this issue go away.

11:36:44 18 THE COURT: Ms. Keefe, if I order Leader to  
11:36:48 19 supplement its interrogatories, let's say, by next  
11:36:54 20 Wednesday, to incorporate the contents of its letter, that  
11:36:58 21 is the end of this dispute and you withdraw your request for  
11:37:02 22 a stay with respect to willful infringement discovery?

11:37:04 23 MS. KEEFE: Not quite, Your Honor. Close.  
11:37:06 24 What I heard Mr. Andre say was that he is  
11:37:10 25 willing to continue to put that in but everything is still

11:37:12 1 open. Tied in from his opposition letter was that these are  
 11:37:18 2 all the facts they have. And therefore I would assume that  
 11:37:20 3 in his supplementation he would remove the "on information  
 11:37:24 4 and belief," because these are the only facts that he has  
 11:37:26 5 right now and this is all there is. So it is not an  
 11:37:32 6 open-ended thing. This is what he has as of right now.  
 11:37:36 7 We know if something else comes up somewhere  
 11:37:38 8 down the line, he can always move to amend those responses.  
 11:37:42 9 But as of right now, the responses need to be clear and  
 11:37:46 10 complete and not simply information and belief, because that  
 11:37:48 11 is not appropriate. That's why if we could incorporate it  
 11:37:52 12 in the opposition, since they said this is all I have, that  
 11:37:56 13 was the fight.

11:37:56 14 THE COURT: Mr. Andre, I understood that is what  
 11:38:00 15 you would be offering to do. That is, that you have  
 11:38:02 16 provided the full basis of what you know today, prior to  
 11:38:10 17 getting discovery on willful infringement, you have provided  
 11:38:14 18 everything that you know about the basis for your willful  
 11:38:18 19 infringement claim, and you reserve the right to supplement  
 11:38:20 20 to the extent you find something else in discovery.

11:38:24 21 Did I get your position correct, Mr. Andre?

11:38:26 22 MR. ANDRE: You did, Your Honor.

11:38:26 23 THE COURT: Ms. Keefe, that's what you are  
 11:38:28 24 looking for?

11:38:30 25 MS. KEEFE: I think it is. I think the only

11:38:32 1 thing I would also note, Your Honor, is that I would like to  
 11:38:34 2 simply reserve the right to move for a commensurate stay if  
 11:38:38 3 I move for summary judgment of non-willfulness based on the  
 11:38:42 4 facts in the record. That can happen at a later time.

11:38:44 5 THE COURT: That is not a matter that is  
 11:38:46 6 actually in dispute today.

11:38:48 7 MS. KEEFE: Correct.

11:38:50 8 THE COURT: Any problem with the mechanism that  
 11:38:52 9 I give Mr. Andre till next Wednesday to formally supplement  
 11:38:58 10 his response with the contents of the letter?

11:39:00 11 MS. KEEFE: No, Your Honor.

11:39:00 12 THE COURT: Any problem with that from your end,  
 11:39:02 13 Mr. Andre?

11:39:04 14 MR. ANDRE: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

11:39:04 15 THE COURT: I believe that takes care in full of  
 11:39:08 16 Facebook's issue. Is that right, Ms. Keefe?

11:39:12 17 MS. KEEFE: I believe, Your Honor.

11:39:12 18 THE COURT: Fine. I appreciate you flagging  
 11:39:16 19 that way of dealing with it for me.

11:39:18 20 Let's move on, then, to Leader's various  
 11:39:24 21 complaints about Facebook's compliance with the Court's  
 11:39:28 22 September 4th order. Let me hear first from Leader on  
 11:39:36 23 this one.

11:39:38 24 MR. ANDRE: Your Honor, James Hannah will be  
 11:39:40 25 talking about the technical documents in this matter.

11:39:42 1 MR. HANNAH: Your Honor, James Hannah  
 11:39:44 2 representing Leader. Good morning.

11:39:46 3 Simply, we are just asking for Facebook to  
 11:39:50 4 provide a complete response and all the technical documents  
 11:39:56 5 that it has that we have identified the source code modules  
 11:40:00 6 for. As Your Honor remembers, we were asked to identify  
 11:40:04 7 source code modules for which we sought technical documents,  
 11:40:08 8 for which we found infringing technology. We identified  
 11:40:10 9 those documents -- we identified those source code modules  
 11:40:16 10 to Facebook, and Facebook, in turn, produced approximately  
 11:40:20 11 4,000 pages, most of those, 3900 of them were public  
 11:40:26 12 documents. Of that we have got about 400 pages which were a  
 11:40:30 13 screen shot of a wicking. We do not have any e-mails, which  
 11:40:38 14 Facebook has refused to produce. We do not have any design  
 11:40:42 15 documents. We don't have any development documents. All of  
 11:40:46 16 these documents are highly relevant to our case.

11:40:50 17 For instance, the e-mails and the design  
 11:40:52 18 developments documents, the development documents will  
 11:40:56 19 provide information as to when there were product changes,  
 11:40:58 20 and we can see when different functionality was implemented  
 11:41:00 21 by Facebook. It will provide info as to how employees  
 11:41:04 22 characterized the operation and functionality of the site.  
 11:41:08 23 It will provide information on how the system operates, so  
 11:41:10 24 we have an idea about how each application interacts with  
 11:41:14 25 the source code modules. We can look at the source code

11:41:16 1 itself.  
 11:41:16 2 But seeing the e-mails, the design documents,  
 11:41:20 3 the development documents, and how the source code modules  
 11:41:24 4 operate gives us a full picture of the Facebook website and  
 11:41:28 5 what's been accused of infringement.

11:41:32 6 The list goes on and on, Your Honor. It gives  
 11:41:34 7 you insight as to how the employees use the site and how  
 11:41:38 8 Facebook instructs the employees to use the site. It gives  
 11:41:42 9 us insight to see how Facebook instructs its users to use  
 11:41:44 10 the site, because we do not see any technical manuals in  
 11:41:48 11 there, we do not see any instructions, any troubleshooting  
 11:41:52 12 guides.

11:41:54 13 The e-mails will allow us to also narrow and  
 11:41:58 14 focus our discovery in depositions. If we don't have any  
 11:42:02 15 e-mail communications and if we don't have any design  
 11:42:06 16 documents, development documents, we are shooting in the  
 11:42:08 17 dark. We don't know exactly the engineers that we need to  
 11:42:14 18 depose to ask about the infringing technology, the  
 11:42:16 19 infringing source code modules. So it's putting Leader in a  
 11:42:20 20 very difficult situation, not having these technical  
 11:42:26 21 documents.

11:42:26 22 THE COURT: All right. Well, I appreciate that  
 11:42:30 23 you have come up with a list of the potential relevance of  
 11:42:36 24 the e-mails. But I got to tell you, none of that sounds  
 11:42:40 25 like it's particularly relevant where the issue is a

11:42:46 1 straightforward question of infringement and you now have  
 11:42:50 2 full access to the entire source code. I do agree with  
 11:42:58 3 Facebook that there has to be some balancing here in light  
 12 4 of the circuit that got us to where we are and to where we  
 .06 5 are in the case schedule. So you are welcome to take  
 11:43:12 6 another shot. But particularly address the representation  
 11:43:16 7 that there would be a significant burden of many months and  
 11:43:20 8 330 employees that would have to have their e-mails  
 11:43:24 9 reviewed. How could that balance possibly weigh in your  
 11:43:28 10 favor when you have been given free access to the full  
 11:43:32 11 source code, which is, after all, the alleged infringing  
 11:43:34 12 product?  
 11:43:36 13 MR. HANNAH: Your Honor, I mean, we believe that  
 11:43:38 14 Facebook should have already searched their e-mails for the  
 11:43:44 15 relevant e-mails. We believe that saying that they have to  
 11:43:50 16 search now for the e-mails is disingenuous of them. To  
 11:43:54 17 address the particular aspects about the infringing  
 11:43:58 18 technology, we need the e-mails to examine the development  
 11:44:02 19 and design documents to know exactly when the infringement  
 11:44:06 20 began.  
 11:44:08 21 The source code that we were able to look at was  
 11:44:10 22 a snapshot from about July 2009. So we have no idea how the  
 11:44:18 23 Facebook site operated when the patent issued, for instance,  
 11:44:22 24 in 2006. We have no idea what changes were made from 2006  
 11:44:28 25 to 2007 to the present day. And the only way we are going

11:44:32 1 to be able to have that information is if we have the  
 11:44:36 2 development documents, the design documents, the e-mails  
 11:44:42 3 from Facebook.  
 11:44:42 4 THE COURT: If I require Facebook to identify  
 11:44:46 5 the most relevant engineers for depositions, to the extent  
 11:44:50 6 they haven't done that already, why can't you just simply  
 11:44:54 7 ask those questions in deposition?  
 11:44:56 8 MR. HANNAH: Well, during deposition we would  
 11:45:00 9 like to have the e-mails and the technical documents so that  
 11:45:04 10 we can see what their role is. I mean, I have a feeling  
 11:45:08 11 that if you order that, Your Honor, and we do not have the  
 11:45:12 12 e-mails, we are still going to be shooting in the dark as to  
 11:45:16 13 what their role is.  
 11:45:18 14 Furthermore, we have a limited amount of  
 11:45:20 15 depositions in this case. So if they give us a list of 30  
 11:45:24 16 engineers working on a particular aspect or application,  
 11:45:28 17 then we are not going to be able to focus in on the people  
 11:45:34 18 that are going to be relevant and questions that we need to  
 11:45:38 19 ask.  
 11:45:38 20 THE COURT: That is a problem I can take care  
 11:45:44 21 of. If that's what they do and it turns out you need 40  
 11:45:44 22 engineering depositions and you can make that showing, I am  
 11:45:46 23 open to that possibility.  
 11:45:46 24 The definition of "technical document" that you  
 11:45:58 25 included did not specify, as I read it, e-mails, nor do I

11:46:04 1 recall any reference to e-mails when we were discussing  
 11:46:08 2 thoroughly the issue of what technical documents you are  
 11:46:12 3 looking for. In that context, why should I not accept the  
 11:46:18 4 representation of Facebook that the first time they had any  
 11:46:20 5 thought that you were looking for e-mails relating to  
 11:46:24 6 technical issues was quite recently?  
 11:46:28 7 MR. HANNAH: Your Honor, this comes back to our  
 11:46:30 8 requests for production, which were served on Facebook on  
 11:46:32 9 February 20th of this year, of 2009. Those RFPs all ask for  
 11:46:40 10 all documents and communications relating to the  
 11:46:42 11 functionality of the Facebook website. In particular, there  
 11:46:48 12 is an RFP 26 that asks for all schematics. It asks for all  
 11:46:54 13 flowcharts. It asks for all diagrams.  
 11:46:56 14 Furthermore, the definition of documentation  
 11:47:00 15 that we have in the RFPs says, and I am quoting, "The term  
 11:47:06 16 'document' shall mean all writings and recordings as those  
 11:47:10 17 terms are defined..." and it goes on to say including  
 11:47:12 18 e-mail.  
 11:47:12 19 So they have had notice from eight months ago  
 11:47:16 20 that we wanted e-mails, we wanted all technical documents.  
 11:47:20 21 And we just really haven't anything in eight months. Now we  
 11:47:24 22 have 30 days left in discovery, and they still haven't  
 11:47:28 23 fulfilled their obligations under the Federal Rules of Civil  
 11:47:32 24 Procedure.  
 11:47:32 25 THE COURT: What about their argument that you

11:47:32 1 go on to define technical documents as a subset of documents  
 11:47:38 2 and there you list a number of things, starting with source  
 11:47:40 3 code, and you don't mention e-mails?  
 11:47:46 4 MR. HANNAH: Your Honor, if you look at our  
 11:47:52 5 RFPs, it states that we asked for all documents and  
 11:47:54 6 communications. We have documents defined as including  
 11:47:58 7 e-mails. Communications is also defined as including  
 11:48:00 8 e-mail.  
 11:48:02 9 For instance, RFP 26, if you look at that one,  
 11:48:08 10 it never says that we want all technical documents relating  
 11:48:10 11 to the research, design, implementation, development,  
 11:48:14 12 engineering, programming, structure, performance or  
 11:48:18 13 operation of the Facebook website. Those are all documents,  
 11:48:22 14 communications, which is defined to include e-mails.  
 11:48:24 15 They have had notice of this for a long time  
 11:48:26 16 now. For them to say that they haven't searched any of  
 11:48:30 17 their e-mails -- I mean, they haven't even searched the  
 11:48:34 18 e-mails for the patent number, apparently, which is highly  
 11:48:36 19 relevant to this case and should have been produced months  
 11:48:40 20 ago. Even the words Leader Technologies wasn't searched for  
 11:48:44 21 in the e-mails, which is another highly relevant term that  
 11:48:48 22 should have been produced months ago.  
 11:48:50 23 MS. KEEFE: Your Honor, I am absolutely sorry to  
 11:48:52 24 interject.  
 11:48:52 25 That is absolutely untrue. We absolutely have

11:48:54 1 searched the e-mails for the term Leader. Leader  
 11:48:58 2 Technologies, McKibben, Lamb, white paper, anything that was  
 11:49:02 3 an early thing that had to do with the patent and the patent  
 76 4 numbers. We have absolutely done that, and in anticipation  
 .08 5 of this call actually had the search repeated again  
 11:49:10 6 yesterday. I am sorry to interject there. But that was an  
 11:49:16 7 absolute untruth that I wanted to clear up.

11:49:16 8 THE COURT: Mr. Hannah, what is your basis for  
 11:49:20 9 advising me that those circumstances have not happened?

11:49:22 10 MR. HANNAH: During the meet-and-confer, you can  
 11:49:26 11 look at the correspondence that was between the two, they  
 11:49:28 12 stated that they have not searched in their e-mail and that  
 11:49:32 13 they will not search through their e-mails. I am basing  
 11:49:34 14 this off the representation of Facebook.

11:49:36 15 THE COURT: Ms. Keefe, I will turn to you  
 11:49:38 16 momentarily.

11:49:40 17 One further thing, Mr. Hannah: Do you have a  
 11:49:44 18 basis to dispute the contention of Facebook at this point,  
 11:49:52 19 putting aside whether they should have looked more, whether  
 11:49:54 20 they have looked, that it would take them months to do what  
 11:49:58 21 you are asking them to do going forward, and if I have to  
 11:50:02 22 weigh that burden today versus what seems to me the  
 11:50:08 23 relatively low relevance, how could I find that that  
 11:50:12 24 weighing comes out in your favor?

11:50:14 25 MR. HANNAH: Well, Your Honor, the source code

11:50:16 1 modules that we have identified pursuant to your order was  
 11:50:22 2 only about ten percent of the source code modules. So I do  
 11:50:24 3 not believe that there is a huge burden, that they are going  
 11:50:28 4 to have to produce a lot of e-mail, because we have  
 11:50:32 5 identified a limited amount of the source code modules.  
 11:50:36 6 Furthermore, they have told us that the technical documents  
 11:50:38 7 was limited in nature.

11:50:40 8 So based on those representations from Facebook,  
 11:50:44 9 I do not see how it can take many months, as they have  
 11:50:48 10 stated. I believe we would be able to get a reasonable  
 11:50:50 11 production of the technical documents in a fairly short  
 11:50:54 12 amount of time.

11:50:54 13 THE COURT: All right. Let me turn it to Ms.  
 11:50:58 14 Keefe to talk about technical documents just on that issue.

11:51:00 15 MS. KEEFE: On the specific issue that Mr.  
 11:51:02 16 Hannah just discussed, the burden would be tremendous. The  
 11:51:06 17 200 files that they listed in their narrowed search, as they  
 11:51:10 18 claim it's narrowed, literally touches the entire site. I  
 11:51:16 19 would ask Mr. Hannah to let me know which portions of the  
 11:51:20 20 site are actually excluded from that identification. As we  
 21 have told Mr. Hannah, those 200 files, because of the ones  
 11:51:28 22 they chose, touch almost every single aspect of the entire  
 11:51:30 23 site. We have told him that that would involve at least 300  
 11:51:34 24 people. The 300 people that we identified in trying to  
 11:51:38 25 figure out how we would have to do this search we limited

11:51:42 1 down to people who actually affected those PHC files, not  
 11:51:46 2 people who may have talked about them or may have done  
 11:51:48 3 something else. This is essentially, Facebook is a one  
 11:51:52 4 large web single product.

11:51:52 5 So if we are talking about e-mails about  
 11:51:56 6 Facebook, that's all these people do all day every day. And  
 11:52:00 7 that search would be incredibly complicated and burdensome,  
 11:52:04 8 as Your Honor has noted.

11:52:04 9 The only other thing I would like to add is that  
 11:52:08 10 we absolutely have searched e-mails for narrowly tailored  
 11:52:12 11 things that we were able to identify, for example, the  
 11:52:16 12 patent number, the Leader Technologies, the names of the  
 11:52:20 13 inventors, the names of their products, anything of that  
 11:52:22 14 nature. What we said during the meet-and-confer was we had  
 11:52:24 15 not conducted technical searches or searches based on things  
 11:52:30 16 that we couldn't understand or figure out, because they  
 11:52:32 17 refused to narrow what they were asking us to search  
 11:52:34 18 throughout the e-mail database.

11:52:38 19 I think Your Honor understands the rest of it  
 11:52:40 20 well.

11:52:40 21 THE COURT: Let me just ask you, the concern  
 11:52:44 22 that Leader is not going to be able to efficiently identify  
 11:52:50 23 which engineering witnesses it should depose and is not  
 11:52:52 24 going to be able to efficiently depose them without some  
 11:52:58 25 further production of technical documents, including

11:53:02 1 e-mails, respond to that concern, please.

11:53:04 2 MS. KEEFE: I think there are three separate  
 11:53:06 3 answers to that, Your Honor. The first is that we  
 11:53:08 4 understand our obligation under Rule 26 to identify  
 11:53:10 5 witnesses that we are going to use to support our case.  
 11:53:14 6 That will be one way of helping them.

11:53:16 7 Secondly, they actually have already  
 11:53:18 8 identified a number of engineers that they specifically want  
 11:53:22 9 to have documents from. They were able to identify those  
 11:53:26 10 from the documents that were produced already. Those came  
 11:53:28 11 up in their requests for production on October 20th. There  
 11:53:32 12 were requests for production limited to those individuals.

11:53:34 13 And then finally, Your Honor, this is exactly  
 11:53:36 14 what a 30(b)(6) is used for. They can give us a 30(b)(6)  
 11:53:42 15 topic that asks us to identify those persons. We will put  
 11:53:46 16 up a witness who identifies those persons, and they can  
 11:53:48 17 notify us which of those persons they would like to depose.

11:53:52 18 If Your Honor needs to extended beyond the ten  
 11:53:54 19 number, that is obviously something that we will deal with.

11:53:58 20 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Hannah, any response?

11:54:00 21 MR. ANDRE: Your Honor, I would like to jump in  
 11:54:04 22 real quick.

11:54:06 23 My concern is -- and I have been listening to  
 11:54:08 24 this -- is that Facebook has effectively denied any type of  
 11:54:14 25 discovery to us. They produced 398 pages of technical

11:54:18 1 documents. I have been doing this a long time. I have seen  
 11:54:22 2 a lot of different patent cases involving this technology.  
 11:54:24 3 I have never seen such a small production of technical  
 78 4 documents.

11:54:30 5 My guess is, on the e-mail side, they have not  
 11:54:34 6 done anything to search for technical documents that would  
 11:54:38 7 normally happen in the course of this type of litigation.

11:54:40 8 When we start taking these depositions, my guess is that the  
 11:54:44 9 engineers are going to start identifying a lot of documents  
 11:54:46 10 that they have that they used that we do not have access to.

11:54:50 11 The only thing we have at this point other than  
 11:54:52 12 the 390 pages is the source code. We can't use the source  
 11:54:54 13 code in deposition. We are lawyers. We are not technical  
 11:54:58 14 experts.

11:55:00 15 So it is something that puts us at a huge  
 11:55:04 16 disadvantage by them just, you know, not fulfilling their  
 11:55:06 17 obligations under the Federal Rules.

11:55:08 18 E-mails, as Your Honor knows, are required to be  
 11:55:12 19 produced. They are relevant documents under the Federal  
 11:55:16 20 Rules of Civil Procedure. Just because they haven't done it  
 11:55:20 21 in the last eight months and it will be a burden on them to  
 11:55:22 22 do it now, it seems to be prejudicial to us. We produced  
 11:55:24 23 our e-mails. It was a burden. But that's what the rulings  
 11:55:28 24 call for.

11:55:28 25 I am more concerned with the technical documents

11:55:32 1 than the e-mails, given search terms for e-mails, to run a  
 11:55:36 2 search five or ten times. But the technical documents I  
 11:55:40 3 have a huge concern with, because we have to identify some  
 11:55:42 4 of the engineers based on 398 pages, but I don't know how  
 11:55:48 5 many more there are out there that we should be looking at.  
 11:55:50 6 That is my big issue.

11:55:50 7 THE COURT: But what is it that is in the record  
 11:55:54 8 other than your prior experience in other cases with other  
 11:55:56 9 types of companies that should cause me to doubt the  
 11:56:00 10 repeated representations from Facebook that they have  
 11:56:02 11 provided the responsive technical documents, send you off to  
 11:56:06 12 do your depositions? If you find there is more, that would  
 11:56:10 13 show that Facebook didn't comply with its discovery  
 11:56:14 14 obligations, they are going to have to produce them then,  
 11:56:18 15 and maybe you will be moving for sanctions. I don't know.

11:56:20 16 What do you have today that should cause me,  
 11:56:22 17 other than your past experience, to believe that they are  
 11:56:26 18 not being forthcoming when they insist that they are?

11:56:28 19 MR. ANDRE: The declarations provided by the  
 11:56:32 20 attorney, the search method they used, they really just  
 11:56:40 22 looked at the wicking. It is something ---these cases come  
 11:56:42 23 along, you generally talk to the engineers who work on this.

11:56:46 24 You then have e-mails to the company, saying do you have  
 11:56:48 25 relevant documents? What are your design documents?

They had in-house attorneys and outside counsel

11:56:52 1 do searches, that is about it. That declaration was not  
 11:56:54 2 what I would call going to the level needed to produce  
 11:56:58 3 documents in this type of case.

11:57:00 4 As far as e-mail goes, they didn't try to search  
 11:57:04 5 for technical documents. I mean, I wish e-mails were not  
 11:57:08 6 part of discovery, I really do, because they are the biggest  
 11:57:10 7 pain in discovery in these types of cases.

11:57:14 8 But that is not the rules at this point.  
 11:57:14 9 E-mails are part of the documents that you have to collect.  
 11:57:18 10 And there was no agreement between the parties that e-mails  
 11:57:20 11 would not be collected.

11:57:22 12 So at this point, we are being prejudiced  
 11:57:26 13 because we spent the hours, hundreds of attorney hours  
 11:57:30 14 reviewing e-mails, producing them, and they didn't try,  
 11:57:34 15 other than the fact they didn't even try to look at for  
 11:57:40 16 technical information in the e-mails, but then their  
 11:57:42 17 declaration was such that they have outside counsel do the  
 11:57:46 18 search.

11:57:46 19 Other than my own experience, that is what I  
 11:57:50 20 rely on.

11:57:50 21 THE COURT: I am prepared to rule on this. I am  
 11:57:56 22 denying Leader's request for production of further technical  
 11:58:04 23 documents. I believe, considering the fact that we have had  
 11:58:08 24 extensive discussions and analysis of what technical  
 11:58:14 25 documents and what access to source code Facebook was going

11:58:18 1 to have to provide, given how we got here, given that that  
 11:58:26 2 access to the entire source code has been provided and that  
 11:58:30 3 there can be no better discovery for plaintiff in trying to  
 11:58:38 4 prove infringement in a case like this than to have the  
 11:58:42 5 access to the source code, and mindful of the fact that  
 11:58:46 6 plaintiff's expert indicated in a declaration that he needed  
 11:58:50 7 full access to the source code, along with technical  
 11:58:54 8 documents in order to make a meaningful discovery of the  
 11:58:58 9 source code, and that I thereafter ordered that to happen,  
 11:59:02 10 and that that happened, I am satisfied at this point,  
 11:59:08 11 weighing what I find to be the likely very minimal relevance  
 11:59:14 12 of additional documents from Facebook, technical documents,  
 11:59:18 13 that is, and particularly the very minimal relevance of any  
 11:59:24 14 e-mails. Weighing that against the burden that I believe  
 11:59:28 15 would be imposed if Facebook were required to do anything  
 11:59:32 16 more than the searches that it has already indicated it has  
 11:59:36 17 done for e-mails, particularly by searching for keywords on  
 11:59:42 18 the most relevant keywords related to this case, that  
 11:59:46 19 balance favors, in my view quite strongly, Facebook at this  
 11:59:52 20 point in this case.

11:59:54 21 I am mindful that depositions will be starting I  
 11:59:58 22 think about three or four weeks from now that will include  
 12:00:02 23 30(b)(6) depositions. I agree with Facebook that Leader can  
 12:00:08 24 designate some of these topics on 30(b)(6). They can get  
 12:00:12 25 their answers and you can depose engineers and ask them if

12:00:16 1 there are other documents. If it turns out that Facebook  
 12:00:22 2 has not actually been sufficiently forthcoming with its  
 12:00:26 3 production of technical documents, then we will deal with  
 30 4 that when you are able to show that to me. But at this  
 34 5 point I accept the representations, and I find that Facebook  
 12:00:36 6 has done enough with respect to production of technical  
 12:00:40 7 documents. And I am not going to order them to do anything  
 12:00:42 8 more in that regard.

12:00:44 9 Let's move on to, I think Leader is next,  
 12:00:48 10 raising an issue about the sufficiency of the production of  
 12:00:50 11 marketing and financial documents.

12:00:54 12 MR. ANDRE: Your Honor, we just wanted to follow  
 12:01:00 13 up on this issue, the fact that Facebook has not given us  
 12:01:04 14 any type of date certain as to when they would produce  
 12:01:08 15 these marketing and financial documents. They keep saying  
 12:01:12 16 we are going to produce, we are going to produce, we will do  
 12:01:18 17 it before November 20th, but they don't give us any set  
 12:01:20 18 time. Holding out to the 11th hour is an issue for us.  
 12:01:24 19 They have not given us firm representations, or given us  
 12:01:28 20 business plans or any type of market information,  
 12:01:32 21 advertising, things of that nature.

12:01:34 22 What we are asking for, what we asked previously  
 12:01:36 23 is give us a date certain and we won't have to go to court,  
 12:01:40 24 because you have been stalling for months. And they won't  
 12:01:42 25 give us a date certain. That's why we are moving on the

12:01:46 1 marketing and financial documents.

12:01:46 2 THE COURT: Facebook.

12:01:48 3 MS. KEEFE: The most interesting thing on the  
 12:01:50 4 financial documents is we have actually given them, the  
 12:01:54 5 document we produced on September 20th was a spread sheet,  
 12:01:56 6 which was sufficient to show all of the financials for the  
 12:02:00 7 company. I am not sure what more they want on that, unless  
 12:02:04 8 they want me to produce all of the canceled checks and  
 12:02:06 9 everything that goes into that.

12:02:10 10 They actually have documents sufficient to show  
 12:02:12 11 full financials. If they need something else, I would like  
 12:02:16 12 them to tell me exactly what it is.

12:02:16 13 On the marketing and other types of documents, I  
 12:02:20 14 am not sure that I completely understand which ones they  
 12:02:22 15 want and how they want them, but I have never refused to  
 12:02:26 16 produce them. I have been checking, and they will have them  
 12:02:28 17 by the end of discovery, which is no prejudice to anyone  
 12:02:32 18 because depositions don't even start until the end of  
 12:02:36 19 November/beginning of December. They will have all the  
 12:02:38 20 documents before then.

12:02:42 21 THE COURT: So the date certain is November 20  
 12:02:44 22 and you will do your best to provide documents sooner on a  
 12:02:46 23 rolling basis as you have been doing.

12:02:46 24 MS. KEEFE: Absolutely, Your Honor.

12:02:48 25 THE COURT: Mr. Andre, what is wrong with that

12:02:50 1 being the date certain, since you are not deposing anyone  
 12:02:56 2 until at least November 21?

12:02:58 3 MR. ANDRE: We are concerned with a huge  
 12:03:02 4 document dump on the last day of written discovery, the last  
 12:03:04 5 day they can produce documents. We are mindful that we may  
 12:03:08 6 get hundreds of thousands of documents at the last hour.

12:03:12 7 THE COURT: Didn't I give you until March 1st to  
 12:03:14 8 complete depositions of fact witnesses?

12:03:16 9 MR. ANDRE: You did, Your Honor.

12:03:18 10 THE COURT: I don't understand how, even if they  
 12:03:20 11 are waiting to dump all that on you on November 20th, you  
 12:03:24 12 are going to be unduly prejudiced to complete your  
 12:03:26 13 depositions by March 1st.

12:03:30 14 MR. ANDRE: Your Honor, I don't know if it would  
 12:03:32 15 be unduly prejudicial. It is just prejudicial in the fact,  
 12:03:36 16 they haven't even produced 2008 yet at this point. There is  
 12:03:40 17 no reason why they are withholding these documents. I  
 12:03:44 18 understand we have discovery cutoffs for a reason. We have  
 12:03:48 19 asked for Facebook to actually produce documents in a timely  
 12:03:52 20 manner.

12:03:52 21 At this point, we are not getting any of the  
 12:03:56 22 financial documents, either. That is the reason we wanted  
 12:04:00 23 to see if Your Honor would give us a date certain as to when  
 12:04:02 24 they should start the production of at least the 2008  
 12:04:06 25 documents.

12:04:06 1 THE COURT: All right. The date certain is by  
 12:04:10 2 November 20th, 2009. I am satisfied that Facebook is doing  
 12:04:14 3 its best to produce the relevant and responsive marketing  
 12:04:18 4 and financial documents. I accept the representation that  
 12:04:20 5 they will continue to do that, that they will complete that  
 12:04:24 6 production by November 20th, and that that will provide  
 12:04:28 7 sufficient opportunity for the plaintiff to complete the  
 12:04:30 8 necessary fact depositions, consistent with the schedule  
 12:04:34 9 provided for completion of fact depositions.

12:04:36 10 Let's move on. I think the final issue is  
 12:04:40 11 whether Facebook should be compelled to produce any  
 12:04:46 12 documents from previous litigations.

12:04:48 13 Let me hear from Leader on that first, please.

12:04:52 14 MR. ANDRE: Your Honor, the supplementation of  
 12:04:54 15 the interrogatories regarding our willful infringement is  
 12:04:56 16 relying upon some of this information from the previous  
 12:05:00 17 litigation to show you what the relevance is.

12:05:02 18 There are two points that we put in our letter  
 12:05:06 19 brief that we will be supplementing our interrogatory with.  
 12:05:08 20 Those came from the fact that we had the deposition  
 12:05:10 21 testimony of the founder of Facebook, on the codes, the  
 12:05:18 22 basic website, on the two-week period and using the source  
 12:05:24 23 codes to develop Facebook. He also destroyed anything  
 12:05:28 24 having to do with the original source code.

12:05:30 25 That testimony from previous litigation is

12:05:32 1 obviously relevant to our case. We are not asking for  
 12:05:36 2 everything from the previous litigation. What we are asking  
 12:05:38 3 for are Facebook witnesses that he talked about, the  
 12 4 founding of Facebook, the development of the website, the  
 .46 5 materials relied upon when they were writing the Facebook  
 12:05:50 6 code, all that isn't in that previous litigation. And as we  
 12:05:56 7 are now going to be supplementing an interrogatory with  
 12:05:58 8 information from that, I would like to have the actual  
 12:06:02 9 physical transcripts of those depositions instead of relying  
 12:06:06 10 upon those that are still on the Internet.  
 12:06:12 11 THE COURT: All right. Facebook.  
 12:06:14 12 MS. KEEFE: Your Honor, I am a little flummoxed,  
 12:06:18 13 because this is absolutely the first time I have heard any  
 12:06:20 14 of this. As we noted in our letter, this is an issue that  
 12:06:24 15 Your Honor specifically ordered in July, that if they wanted  
 12:06:26 16 to come back and readdress this issue after the motion had  
 12:06:30 17 been denied, that we need to meet and confer in good faith  
 12:06:34 18 regarding the topic.  
 12:06:34 19 We started to talk about the fact that they were  
 12:06:38 20 going to be asking again for litigation documents, and the  
 12:06:44 21 parties specifically agreed to meet and confer on this issue  
 12:06:46 22 on Tuesday. So the first time I have ever heard that there  
 12:06:48 23 is any -- next Tuesday, sorry. This is the first time I  
 12:06:52 24 have heard that there is any narrowing of any relevance of  
 12:06:54 25 any form. I still contend that the burden, again, of all of

12:07:00 1 the prior litigation based on the fact that they are  
 12:07:06 2 absolutely irrelevant, not regarding the same technology,  
 12:07:08 3 not regarding the same patent, overweighs any de minimis  
 12:07:12 4 relevance. If Your Honor is inclined to do anything, I  
 12:07:16 5 think we should at least meet and confer first. Also, I  
 12:07:18 6 stand by the fact that the relevance doesn't outweigh  
 12:07:22 7 anything.  
 12:07:22 8 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Andre, do you want to  
 12:07:24 9 respond?  
 12:07:24 10 MR. ANDRE: Your Honor, on October 16th, our  
 12:07:28 11 letter, Exhibit 10 to our brief, you see that we once again  
 12:07:32 12 requested documents from the previous litigation. Mr.  
 12:07:40 13 Hannah had a meet-and-confer with counsel for Facebook,  
 12:07:42 14 saying that we cannot wait any longer on this and we will  
 12:07:46 15 move in the court on it. They said we can keep talking  
 12:07:50 16 about it. We said, no, we need an answer now because we  
 12:07:52 17 need those documents because we do want to supplement our  
 12:07:56 18 willful infringement case. Obviously, stuff that we got  
 12:07:58 19 from the previous testimony is relevant to that and is  
 12:08:00 20 really key to it.  
 2 21 So we did tell them that this was an issue and  
 12:08:06 22 that we were going to move on it.  
 12:08:08 23 Secondly, like I said, this is no burden to them  
 12:08:12 24 at all. This is in their file. This is in sitting their  
 12:08:16 25 lawyers' files right now. This is a matter of taking it out

12:08:18 1 and copying it and producing it. There is no search  
 12:08:22 2 required. These are litigation documents.  
 12:08:24 3 That is what we are asking for, Your Honor.  
 12:08:26 4 THE COURT: All right. With respect to this  
 12:08:28 5 one, I am today also going to deny Leader's request for  
 12:08:34 6 production of the litigation documents. But that is without  
 12:08:38 7 prejudice to it being raised again. If this is going to  
 12:08:44 8 remain in dispute, I would like to see it raised fairly  
 12:08:50 9 soon, within the next 30 days or so. But right now, I think  
 12:08:54 10 it is premature for me to rule today, given that what I have  
 12:09:00 11 heard from Mr. Andre is a significant narrowing of what the  
 12:09:04 12 purpose is and therefore what the breadth is of what  
 12:09:10 13 litigation documentation Leader thinks it needs.  
 12:09:14 14 The fact that Leader is now going to be  
 12:09:16 15 supplementing its interrogatories next Wednesday, consistent  
 12:09:20 16 with my order from earlier in the call, does not provide any  
 12:09:24 17 basis for requiring Leader to be provided with any prior  
 12:09:32 18 litigation documents, because my order is that the  
 12:09:36 19 supplementation next Wednesday will extend only so far as  
 12:09:44 20 Leader incorporating its letter response into its  
 12:09:50 21 supplemental interrogatory responses, which means what  
 12:09:54 22 Leader will be doing is saying, on the record, what  
 12:10:00 23 information it has based on publicly available information  
 12:10:04 24 to support its willful infringement claim. There will be  
 12:10:08 25 further supplementation of that if and when Leader learns

12:10:12 1 something in discovery to add to its willful infringement  
 12:10:16 2 contentions.  
 12:10:18 3 So the requirement to provide supplemental  
 12:10:20 4 responses to the interrogatories does not provide a basis  
 12:10:24 5 for requiring Facebook to produce any additional or any  
 12:10:28 6 previous litigation documents at this time.  
 12:10:30 7 I can tell you -- and this may be relevant to  
 12:10:34 8 your meeting and conferring -- I am inclined to the view  
 12:10:40 9 that prior deposition testimony related to what materials  
 12:10:48 10 were relied on in the development of the Facebook website  
 12:10:50 11 sounds to me like it may very well prove to be relevant and  
 12:10:54 12 the production of it could also streamline and thereby make  
 12:11:00 13 more efficient the deposition process in this case.  
 12:11:02 14 I am not prepared at this time to put that in a  
 12:11:06 15 ruling. At this point, I am denying, as I said, the request  
 12:11:10 16 for the production, but without prejudice to it being  
 12:11:12 17 renewed fairly soon, after a further meet-and-confer based  
 12:11:16 18 on everything else that we have talked about today.  
 12:11:20 19 I think that's all the issues that the parties  
 12:11:22 20 have raised. Am I correct about that, Mr. Andre?  
 12:11:24 21 MR. ANDRE: That's correct, Your Honor. Thank  
 12:11:26 22 you.  
 12:11:26 23 THE COURT: And, Ms. Keefe, am I correct about  
 12:11:30 24 that?  
 12:11:30 25 MS. KEEFE: Yes, you are, Your Honor. Thank you



12:11:32 1 very much.

12:11:32 2 THE COURT: I have one question for all of you.

12:11:34 3 The objections to one of my earlier discovery

10 4 orders, are those still pending? I believe those would be

11 5 Facebook's objections. Are those objections still pending?

12:11:48 6 Or have they been mooted by subsequent developments?

12:11:52 7 MS. KEEFE: I believe they have been mooted by

12:11:52 8 subsequent developments, Your Honor, and we would withdraw

12:11:56 9 them.

12:11:56 10 THE COURT: If that is the case, put together a

12:12:00 11 letter of some sort, or some sort of filing, so Judge Farnan

12:12:02 12 will see that and know that he doesn't have to deal with

12:12:06 13 those.

12:12:06 14 MS. KEEFE: Absolutely, Your Honor.

12:12:08 15 THE COURT: Thank you all for your time.

12:12:10 16 (Counsel respond "Thank you.")

12:12:12 17 (Conference concluded at 12:10 p.m.)

18

19 Reporter: Kevin Maurer

20

21

22

23

24

25

'document' [1] - 11:16					
<b>0</b>					
08-862-JJF-LPS [2] - 1:10, 2:24					
<b>1</b>					
10 [1] - 26:11 11 [1] - 3:15 11:30 [1] - 1:13 11th [1] - 21:18 12:10 [1] - 29:17 16th [1] - 26:10 1st [2] - 23:7, 23:13	<b>A</b> a.m [1] - 1:13 able [10] - 3:21, 9:21, 10:1, 10:17, 14:10, 15:11, 15:22, 15:24, 16:9, 21:4 absolute [1] - 13:7 absolutely [9] - 12:23, 12:25, 13:4, 15:10, 22:24, 25:13, 26:2, 29:14 accept [3] - 11:3, 21:5, 24:4 access [7] - 9:2, 9:10, 17:10, 19:25, 20:2, 20:5, 20:7 accused [1] - 8:5 action [1] - 2:24 Action [1] - 1:4 activity [1] - 4:15 actual [1] - 25:8 add [3] - 3:11, 15:9, 28:1 additional [2] - 20:12, 28:5 address [2] - 9:6, 9:17 advertising [1] - 21:21 advising [1] - 13:9 affected [1] - 15:1 ago [3] - 11:19, 12:20, 12:22 agree [2] - 9:2, 20:23 agreed [1] - 25:21 agreement [1] - 19:10 allegation [1] - 3:15 alleged [1] - 9:11 allow [1] - 8:13 almost [1] - 14:22 Alto [1] - 2:5 amend [1] - 5:8 amount [3] - 10:14, 14:5, 14:12 analysis [1] - 19:24 AND [1] - 1:2 Anderson [2] - 1:19, 2:16 Andre [10] - 2:17, 4:24, 5:14, 5:21, 6:9, 6:13, 22:25, 26:8, 27:11, 28:20 ANDRE [14] - 1:20, 4:11, 5:22, 6:14, 6:24, 16:21, 18:19, 21:12, 23:3, 23:9, 23:14, 24:14, 26:10, 28:21 answer [1] - 26:16 answers [2] - 16:3,	20:25 anticipation [1] - 13:4 apologize [1] - 3:4 apologizing [1] - 2:25 APPEARANCES [2] - 1:17, 2:1 application [2] - 7:24, 10:16 appreciate [2] - 6:18, 8:22 appropriate [1] - 5:11 argument [1] - 11:25 aside [1] - 13:19 aspect [2] - 10:16, 14:22 aspects [2] - 3:9, 9:17 assume [1] - 5:2 attorney [2] - 18:20, 19:13 attorneys [1] - 18:25 available [1] - 27:23			
<b>2</b>		<b>B</b>			
20 [1] - 22:21 200 [2] - 14:17, 14:21 2006 [2] - 9:24 2007 [1] - 9:25 2008 [2] - 23:16, 23:24 2009 [4] - 1:13, 9:22, 11:9, 24:2 20th [7] - 11:9, 16:11, 21:17, 22:5, 23:11, 24:2, 24:6 21 [1] - 23:2 23 [1] - 1:13 26 [3] - 11:12, 12:9, 16:4	add [3] - 3:11, 15:9, 28:1 additional [2] - 20:12, 28:5 address [2] - 9:6, 9:17 advertising [1] - 21:21 advising [1] - 13:9 affected [1] - 15:1 ago [3] - 11:19, 12:20, 12:22 agree [2] - 9:2, 20:23 agreed [1] - 25:21 agreement [1] - 19:10 allegation [1] - 3:15 alleged [1] - 9:11 allow [1] - 8:13 almost [1] - 14:22 Alto [1] - 2:5 amend [1] - 5:8 amount [3] - 10:14, 14:5, 14:12 analysis [1] - 19:24 AND [1] - 1:2 Anderson [2] - 1:19, 2:16 Andre [10] - 2:17, 4:24, 5:14, 5:21, 6:9, 6:13, 22:25, 26:8, 27:11, 28:20 ANDRE [14] - 1:20, 4:11, 5:22, 6:14, 6:24, 16:21, 18:19, 21:12, 23:3, 23:9, 23:14, 24:14, 26:10, 28:21 answer [1] - 26:16 answers [2] - 16:3,	balance [2] - 9:9, 20:19 balancing [1] - 9:3 based [7] - 6:3, 14:8, 15:15, 18:4, 26:1, 27:23, 28:17 basic [1] - 24:22 basing [1] - 13:13 basis [7] - 5:16, 5:18, 13:8, 13:18, 22:23, 27:17, 28:4 BEFORE [1] - 1:16 began [1] - 9:20 behalf [1] - 2:16 belief [2] - 5:4, 5:10 best [2] - 22:22, 24:3 better [1] - 20:3 between [2] - 13:11, 19:10 beyond [1] - 16:18 big [2] - 4:13, 18:6 biggest [1] - 19:6 bit [3] - 3:1, 3:4, 3:11 Blank [2] - 2:2, 2:20 breadth [1] - 27:12 brief [6] - 3:21, 3:25, 4:12, 4:17, 24:19, 26:11 burden [9] - 9:7, 13:22, 14:3, 14:16, 17:21, 17:23, 20:14, 25:25, 26:23 burdensome [1] - 15:7 business [1] - 21:20			
<b>3</b>					
30 [3] - 10:15, 11:22, 27:9 30(b)(6) [3] - 16:14, 20:23 30(b)(6) [1] - 20:24 300 [2] - 14:23, 14:24 330 [1] - 9:8 390 [1] - 17:12 3900 [1] - 7:11 398 [2] - 16:25, 18:4	add [3] - 3:11, 15:9, 28:1 additional [2] - 20:12, 28:5 address [2] - 9:6, 9:17 advertising [1] - 21:21 advising [1] - 13:9 affected [1] - 15:1 ago [3] - 11:19, 12:20, 12:22 agree [2] - 9:2, 20:23 agreed [1] - 25:21 agreement [1] - 19:10 allegation [1] - 3:15 alleged [1] - 9:11 allow [1] - 8:13 almost [1] - 14:22 Alto [1] - 2:5 amend [1] - 5:8 amount [3] - 10:14, 14:5, 14:12 analysis [1] - 19:24 AND [1] - 1:2 Anderson [2] - 1:19, 2:16 Andre [10] - 2:17, 4:24, 5:14, 5:21, 6:9, 6:13, 22:25, 26:8, 27:11, 28:20 ANDRE [14] - 1:20, 4:11, 5:22, 6:14, 6:24, 16:21, 18:19, 21:12, 23:3, 23:9, 23:14, 24:14, 26:10, 28:21 answer [1] - 26:16 answers [2] - 16:3,	balance [2] - 9:9, 20:19 balancing [1] - 9:3 based [7] - 6:3, 14:8, 15:15, 18:4, 26:1, 27:23, 28:17 basic [1] - 24:22 basing [1] - 13:13 basis [7] - 5:16, 5:18, 13:8, 13:18, 22:23, 27:17, 28:4 BEFORE [1] - 1:16 began [1] - 9:20 behalf [1] - 2:16 belief [2] - 5:4, 5:10 best [2] - 22:22, 24:3 better [1] - 20:3 between [2] - 13:11, 19:10 beyond [1] - 16:18 big [2] - 4:13, 18:6 biggest [1] - 19:6 bit [3] - 3:1, 3:4, 3:11 Blank [2] - 2:2, 2:20 breadth [1] - 27:12 brief [6] - 3:21, 3:25, 4:12, 4:17, 24:19, 26:11 burden [9] - 9:7, 13:22, 14:3, 14:16, 17:21, 17:23, 20:14, 25:25, 26:23 burdensome [1] - 15:7 business [1] - 21:20			
<b>4</b>					
4 [1] - 3:15 4,000 [1] - 7:11 40 [1] - 10:21 400 [1] - 7:12 4th [1] - 6:22	add [3] - 3:11, 15:9, 28:1 additional [2] - 20:12, 28:5 address [2] - 9:6, 9:17 advertising [1] - 21:21 advising [1] - 13:9 affected [1] - 15:1 ago [3] - 11:19, 12:20, 12:22 agree [2] - 9:2, 20:23 agreed [1] - 25:21 agreement [1] - 19:10 allegation [1] - 3:15 alleged [1] - 9:11 allow [1] - 8:13 almost [1] - 14:22 Alto [1] - 2:5 amend [1] - 5:8 amount [3] - 10:14, 14:5, 14:12 analysis [1] - 19:24 AND [1] - 1:2 Anderson [2] - 1:19, 2:16 Andre [10] - 2:17, 4:24, 5:14, 5:21, 6:9, 6:13, 22:25, 26:8, 27:11, 28:20 ANDRE [14] - 1:20, 4:11, 5:22, 6:14, 6:24, 16:21, 18:19, 21:12, 23:3, 23:9, 23:14, 24:14, 26:10, 28:21 answer [1] - 26:16 answers [2] - 16:3,	balance [2] - 9:9, 20:19 balancing [1] - 9:3 based [7] - 6:3, 14:8, 15:15, 18:4, 26:1, 27:23, 28:17 basic [1] - 24:22 basing [1] - 13:13 basis [7] - 5:16, 5:18, 13:8, 13:18, 22:23, 27:17, 28:4 BEFORE [1] - 1:16 began [1] - 9:20 behalf [1] - 2:16 belief [2] - 5:4, 5:10 best [2] - 22:22, 24:3 better [1] - 20:3 between [2] - 13:11, 19:10 beyond [1] - 16:18 big [2] - 4:13, 18:6 biggest [1] - 19:6 bit [3] - 3:1, 3:4, 3:11 Blank [2] - 2:2, 2:20 breadth [1] - 27:12 brief [6] - 3:21, 3:25, 4:12, 4:17, 24:19, 26:11 burden [9] - 9:7, 13:22, 14:3, 14:16, 17:21, 17:23, 20:14, 25:25, 26:23 burdensome [1] - 15:7 business [1] - 21:20			
			<b>C</b>		
			CA [2] - 1:22, 2:5 canceled [1] - 22:8 cannot [1] - 26:14 Caponi [1] - 2:20 CAPONI [2] - 2:2, 2:19 care [2] - 6:15, 10:20 case [14] - 3:23, 7:16, 9:5, 10:15, 12:19, 16:5, 19:3, 20:4, 20:18, 20:20, 25:1, 26:18, 28:13, 29:10 cases [4] - 17:2, 18:8, 18:21, 19:7 certain [8] - 3:8, 21:14, 21:23, 21:25, 22:21, 23:1, 23:23, 24:1 chance [2] - 3:11, 4:15 changes [2] - 7:19, 9:24 characterized [1] - 7:22 checking [1] - 22:16 checks [1] - 22:8 chose [1] - 14:22 circuit [2] - 3:22, 9:4 circumstances [1] - 13:9 Civil [3] - 1:4, 11:23, 17:20 civil [1] - 2:24 claim [3] - 5:19, 14:18, 27:24 clear [2] - 5:9, 13:7 Cleary [2] - 2:4, 2:21 close [1] - 4:23 code [23] - 7:5, 7:7, 7:9, 7:25, 8:3, 8:19, 9:2, 9:11, 9:21, 12:3, 13:25, 14:2, 14:5, 17:12, 17:13, 19:25, 20:2, 20:5, 20:7, 20:9, 24:24, 25:6 codes [2] - 24:21, 24:23 collect [1] - 19:9 collected [1] - 19:11 commensurate [1] - 6:2 communications [5] - 8:15, 11:10, 12:6, 12:7, 12:14 companies [1] - 18:9 company [2] - 18:23, 22:7		
			busy [2] - 3:2, 3:3		
				compelled [1] - 24:11 complaining [1] - 3:8 complaint [1] - 3:13 complaints [1] - 6:21 complete [7] - 3:14, 5:10, 7:4, 23:8, 23:12, 24:5, 24:7 completely [1] - 22:14 completion [1] - 24:9 compliance [1] - 6:21 complicated [1] - 15:7 comply [1] - 18:13 concatenated [1] - 4:6 concern [4] - 15:21, 16:1, 16:23, 18:3 concerned [2] - 17:25, 23:3 concluded [1] - 29:17 conducted [1] - 15:15 confer [7] - 13:10, 15:14, 25:17, 25:21, 26:5, 26:13, 28:17 Conference [2] - 1:14, 29:17 conferring [1] - 28:8 considering [1] - 19:23 consistent [2] - 24:8, 27:15 contained [1] - 4:2 contend [1] - 25:25 contention [1] - 13:18 contentions [1] - 28:2 contents [2] - 4:20, 6:10 context [1] - 11:3 continue [2] - 4:25, 24:5 CONTINUED [1] - 2:1 copying [1] - 27:1 corporation [1] - 1:4 Corporation [1] - 1:8 correct [5] - 5:21, 6:7, 28:20, 28:21, 28:23 correspondence [1] - 13:11 Corroon [1] - 1:19 Counsel [1] - 2:7 counsel [5] - 1:23, 18:25, 19:17, 26:13, 29:16 Counterclaim [2] - 1:5, 1:9 course [2] - 3:10, 17:7 COURT [36] - 1:1, 2:13, 2:22, 4:8, 4:18, 5:14, 5:23, 6:5, 6:8, 6:12, 6:15, 6:18, 8:22, 10:4, 10:20, 11:25, 13:8, 13:15,	

<p>14:13, 15:21, 16:20, 18:7, 19:21, 22:2, 22:21, 22:25, 23:7, 23:10, 24:1, 25:11, 26:8, 27:4, 28:23, 29:2, 29:10, 29:15  <b>court</b> [4] - 3:2, 3:5, 21:23, 26:15  <b>Court's</b> [1] - 6:21  <b>cutoffs</b> [1] - 23:18</p>	<p>7:17, 8:2, 8:15, 9:19, 10:2, 12:11, 18:24  <b>designate</b> [1] - 20:24  <b>destroyed</b> [1] - 24:23  <b>develop</b> [1] - 24:23  <b>development</b> [9] - 7:15, 7:18, 8:3, 8:16, 9:18, 10:2, 12:11, 25:4, 28:10  <b>developments</b> [3] - 7:18, 29:6, 29:8  <b>diagrams</b> [1] - 11:13  <b>different</b> [2] - 7:20, 17:2  <b>difficult</b> [1] - 8:20  <b>disadvantage</b> [1] - 17:16  <b>discovery</b> [18] - 3:7, 3:9, 4:22, 5:17, 5:20, 8:14, 11:22, 16:25, 18:13, 19:6, 19:7, 20:3, 20:8, 22:17, 23:4, 23:18, 28:1, 29:3  <b>discussed</b> [1] - 14:16  <b>discussing</b> [1] - 11:1  <b>discussions</b> [1] - 19:24  <b>disingenuous</b> [1] - 9:16  <b>dispute</b> [4] - 4:21, 6:6, 13:18, 27:8  <b>disputes</b> [1] - 3:7  <b>DISTRICT</b> [2] - 1:1, 1:2  <b>document</b> [3] - 10:24, 22:5, 23:4  <b>documentation</b> [2] - 11:14, 27:13  <b>documents</b> [78] - 6:25, 7:4, 7:7, 7:9, 7:12, 7:15, 7:16, 7:18, 8:2, 8:3, 8:16, 8:21, 9:19, 10:2, 10:9, 11:2, 11:10, 11:20, 12:1, 12:5, 12:6, 12:10, 12:13, 14:6, 14:11, 14:14, 15:25, 16:9, 16:10, 17:1, 17:4, 17:6, 17:9, 17:19, 17:25, 18:2, 18:11, 18:24, 19:3, 19:5, 19:9, 19:23, 19:25, 20:8, 20:12, 21:1, 21:3, 21:7, 21:11, 21:15, 22:1, 22:4, 22:10, 22:13, 22:20, 22:22, 23:5, 23:6, 23:17, 23:19, 23:22, 23:25, 24:4, 24:12, 25:20,</p>	<p>26:12, 26:17, 27:2, 27:6, 27:18, 28:6  <b>done</b> [7] - 10:6, 13:4, 15:2, 17:6, 17:20, 20:17, 21:6  <b>doubt</b> [1] - 18:9  <b>down</b> [3] - 3:5, 5:8, 15:1  <b>dump</b> [2] - 23:4, 23:11  <b>during</b> [3] - 10:8, 13:10, 15:14</p>	<p><b>example</b> [1] - 15:11  <b>excluded</b> [1] - 14:20  <b>Exhibit</b> [1] - 26:11  <b>experience</b> [3] - 18:8, 18:17, 19:19  <b>expert</b> [1] - 20:6  <b>experts</b> [1] - 17:14  <b>extend</b> [1] - 27:19  <b>extended</b> [1] - 16:18  <b>extensive</b> [1] - 19:24  <b>extent</b> [2] - 5:20, 10:5</p>	<p><b>finally</b> [1] - 16:13  <b>financial</b> [6] - 21:11, 21:15, 22:1, 22:4, 23:22, 24:4  <b>financials</b> [2] - 22:6, 22:11  <b>fine</b> [1] - 6:18  <b>firm</b> [1] - 21:19  <b>first</b> [9] - 3:16, 6:22, 11:4, 16:3, 24:13, 25:13, 25:22, 25:23, 26:5  <b>five</b> [1] - 18:2  <b>flagging</b> [1] - 6:18  <b>flowcharts</b> [1] - 11:13  <b>flummoxed</b> [1] - 25:12  <b>focus</b> [2] - 8:14, 10:17  <b>follow</b> [1] - 21:12  <b>FOR</b> [1] - 1:2  <b>form</b> [1] - 25:25  <b>formally</b> [1] - 6:9  <b>forthcoming</b> [2] - 18:18, 21:2  <b>forward</b> [1] - 13:21  <b>founder</b> [1] - 24:21  <b>founding</b> [1] - 25:4  <b>four</b> [1] - 20:22  <b>free</b> [1] - 9:10  <b>Friday</b> [1] - 1:13  <b>front</b> [1] - 3:8  <b>fulfilled</b> [1] - 11:23  <b>fulfilling</b> [1] - 17:16  <b>full</b> [7] - 5:16, 6:15, 8:4, 9:2, 9:10, 20:7, 22:11  <b>functionality</b> [3] - 7:20, 7:22, 11:11  <b>furthermore</b> [3] - 10:14, 11:14, 14:6</p>
<p><b>D</b></p>		<p><b>E</b></p>	<p><b>F</b></p>	
<p><b>dark</b> [2] - 8:17, 10:12  <b>database</b> [1] - 15:18  <b>date</b> [8] - 3:9, 21:14, 21:23, 21:25, 22:21, 23:1, 23:23, 24:1  <b>days</b> [2] - 11:22, 27:9  <b>de</b> [1] - 26:3  <b>deal</b> [3] - 16:19, 21:3, 29:12  <b>dealing</b> [1] - 6:19  <b>December</b> [1] - 22:19  <b>declaration</b> [3] - 19:1, 19:17, 20:6  <b>declarations</b> [1] - 18:19  <b>Defendant</b> [2] - 1:6, 1:9  <b>defendant's</b> [1] - 4:15  <b>define</b> [1] - 12:1  <b>defined</b> [3] - 12:6, 12:7, 12:14  <b>defined..</b> [1] - 11:17  <b>definition</b> [2] - 10:24, 11:14  <b>DELAWARE</b> [1] - 1:2  <b>Delaware</b> [3] - 1:4, 1:8, 1:12  <b>denied</b> [2] - 16:24, 25:17  <b>deny</b> [1] - 27:5  <b>denying</b> [2] - 19:22, 28:15  <b>depose</b> [5] - 8:18, 15:23, 15:24, 16:17, 20:25  <b>deposing</b> [1] - 23:1  <b>deposition</b> [6] - 10:7, 10:8, 17:13, 24:20, 28:9, 28:13  <b>depositions</b> [14] - 8:14, 10:5, 10:15, 10:22, 17:8, 18:12, 20:21, 20:23, 22:18, 23:8, 23:13, 24:8, 24:9, 25:9  <b>design</b> [8] - 7:14,</p>	<p>17:2  <b>difficult</b> [1] - 8:20  <b>disadvantage</b> [1] - 17:16  <b>discovery</b> [18] - 3:7, 3:9, 4:22, 5:17, 5:20, 8:14, 11:22, 16:25, 18:13, 19:6, 19:7, 20:3, 20:8, 22:17, 23:4, 23:18, 28:1, 29:3  <b>discussed</b> [1] - 14:16  <b>discussing</b> [1] - 11:1  <b>discussions</b> [1] - 19:24  <b>disingenuous</b> [1] - 9:16  <b>dispute</b> [4] - 4:21, 6:6, 13:18, 27:8  <b>disputes</b> [1] - 3:7  <b>DISTRICT</b> [2] - 1:1, 1:2  <b>document</b> [3] - 10:24, 22:5, 23:4  <b>documentation</b> [2] - 11:14, 27:13  <b>documents</b> [78] - 6:25, 7:4, 7:7, 7:9, 7:12, 7:15, 7:16, 7:18, 8:2, 8:3, 8:16, 8:21, 9:19, 10:2, 10:9, 11:2, 11:10, 11:20, 12:1, 12:5, 12:6, 12:10, 12:13, 14:6, 14:11, 14:14, 15:25, 16:9, 16:10, 17:1, 17:4, 17:6, 17:9, 17:19, 17:25, 18:2, 18:11, 18:24, 19:3, 19:5, 19:9, 19:23, 19:25, 20:8, 20:12, 21:1, 21:3, 21:7, 21:11, 21:15, 22:1, 22:4, 22:10, 22:13, 22:20, 22:22, 23:5, 23:6, 23:17, 23:19, 23:22, 23:25, 24:4, 24:12, 25:20,</p>	<p><b>e-mail</b> [8] - 8:15, 11:18, 12:8, 13:12, 14:4, 15:18, 17:5, 19:4  <b>e-mails</b> [40] - 7:13, 7:17, 8:2, 8:13, 8:24, 9:8, 9:14, 9:15, 9:16, 9:18, 10:2, 10:9, 10:12, 10:25, 11:1, 11:5, 11:20, 12:3, 12:7, 12:14, 12:17, 12:18, 12:21, 13:1, 13:13, 15:5, 15:10, 16:1, 17:18, 17:23, 18:1, 18:23, 19:5, 19:9, 19:10, 19:14, 19:16, 20:14, 20:17  <b>early</b> [1] - 13:3  <b>effectively</b> [1] - 16:24  <b>efficient</b> [1] - 28:13  <b>efficiently</b> [2] - 15:22, 15:24  <b>eight</b> [3] - 11:19, 11:21, 17:21  <b>either</b> [1] - 23:22  <b>employees</b> [4] - 7:21, 8:7, 8:8, 9:8  <b>end</b> [4] - 4:21, 6:12, 22:17, 22:18  <b>ended</b> [1] - 5:6  <b>engineering</b> [3] - 10:22, 12:12, 15:23  <b>engineers</b> [8] - 8:17, 10:5, 10:16, 16:8, 17:9, 18:4, 18:22, 20:25  <b>entire</b> [4] - 9:2, 14:18, 14:22, 20:2  <b>ESQ</b> [6] - 1:19, 1:20, 1:21, 2:2, 2:3, 2:4  <b>essentially</b> [1] - 15:3  <b>exactly</b> [4] - 8:17, 9:19, 16:13, 22:12  <b>examination</b> [1] - 4:14  <b>examine</b> [1] - 9:18</p>	<p><b>Facebook</b> [49] - 2:7, 2:24, 3:17, 7:3, 7:10, 7:14, 7:21, 8:4, 8:8, 8:9, 9:3, 9:14, 9:23, 10:3, 10:4, 11:4, 11:8, 11:11, 12:13, 13:14, 13:18, 14:8, 15:3, 15:6, 16:24, 18:10, 18:13, 19:25, 20:12, 20:15, 20:19, 20:23, 21:1, 21:5, 21:13, 22:2, 23:19, 24:2, 24:11, 24:21, 24:23, 25:3, 25:4, 25:5, 25:11, 26:13, 28:5, 28:10  <b>FACEBOOK</b> [1] - 1:8  <b>Facebook's</b> [4] - 3:13, 6:16, 6:21, 29:5  <b>fact</b> [13] - 19:15, 19:23, 20:5, 21:13, 23:8, 23:15, 24:8, 24:9, 24:20, 25:19, 26:1, 26:6, 27:14  <b>facts</b> [5] - 4:1, 4:4, 5:2, 5:4, 6:4  <b>fairly</b> [3] - 14:11, 27:8, 28:17  <b>faith</b> [1] - 25:17  <b>far</b> [3] - 4:10, 19:4, 27:19  <b>Farnan</b> [1] - 29:11  <b>favor</b> [2] - 9:10, 13:24  <b>favours</b> [1] - 20:19  <b>February</b> [1] - 11:9  <b>Federal</b> [3] - 11:23, 17:17, 17:19  <b>fight</b> [1] - 5:13  <b>figure</b> [2] - 14:25, 15:16  <b>file</b> [1] - 26:24  <b>files</b> [4] - 14:17, 14:21, 15:1, 26:25  <b>filing</b> [1] - 29:11  <b>final</b> [1] - 24:10</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>G</b></p> <p><b>generally</b> [1] - 18:22  <b>given</b> [9] - 9:10, 18:1, 20:1, 21:13, 21:19, 22:4, 27:10  <b>Godward</b> [2] - 2:4, 2:21  <b>guess</b> [2] - 17:5, 17:8  <b>guides</b> [1] - 8:12</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>H</b></p> <p><b>hannah</b> [1] - 13:8  <b>Hannah</b> [9] - 2:17, 6:24, 7:1, 13:17, 14:16, 14:19, 14:21, 16:20, 26:13</p>

<p><b>HANNAH</b> [8] - 1:21, 7:1, 9:13, 10:8, 11:7, 12:4, 13:10, 13:25</p> <p><b>hear</b> [3] - 3:16, 6:22, 24:13</p> <p><b>heard</b> [5] - 4:24, 25:13, 25:22, 25:24, 27:11</p> <p><b>Heidi</b> [1] - 2:20</p> <p><b>HEIDI</b> [1] - 2:3</p> <p><b>helping</b> [1] - 16:6</p> <p><b>highly</b> [3] - 7:16, 12:18, 12:21</p> <p><b>holding</b> [1] - 21:18</p> <p><b>Honor</b> [44] - 2:15, 2:19, 3:18, 3:20, 4:1, 4:11, 4:23, 5:22, 6:1, 6:11, 6:14, 6:17, 6:24, 7:1, 7:6, 8:6, 9:13, 10:11, 11:7, 12:4, 12:23, 13:25, 15:8, 15:19, 16:3, 16:13, 16:18, 16:21, 17:18, 21:12, 22:24, 23:9, 23:14, 23:23, 24:14, 25:12, 25:15, 26:4, 26:10, 27:3, 28:21, 28:25, 29:8, 29:14</p> <p><b>HONORABLE</b> [1] - 1:16</p> <p><b>hour</b> [2] - 21:18, 23:6</p> <p><b>hours</b> [2] - 19:13</p> <p><b>house</b> [1] - 18:25</p> <p><b>huge</b> [4] - 14:3, 17:15, 18:3, 23:3</p> <p><b>hundreds</b> [2] - 19:13, 23:6</p>	<p><b>in-house</b> [1] - 18:25</p> <p><b>INC</b> [2] - 1:4, 1:8</p> <p><b>Inc</b> [4] - 1:23, 2:7, 2:24</p> <p><b>inclined</b> [2] - 26:4, 28:8</p> <p><b>include</b> [2] - 12:14, 20:22</p> <p><b>included</b> [1] - 10:25</p> <p><b>including</b> [4] - 11:17, 12:6, 12:7, 15:25</p> <p><b>incorporate</b> [2] - 4:20, 5:11</p> <p><b>incorporating</b> [1] - 27:20</p> <p><b>incredibly</b> [1] - 15:7</p> <p><b>indicated</b> [2] - 20:6, 20:16</p> <p><b>individuals</b> [1] - 16:12</p> <p><b>info</b> [1] - 7:21</p> <p><b>information</b> [13] - 3:24, 5:3, 5:10, 7:19, 7:23, 10:1, 19:16, 21:20, 24:16, 25:8, 27:23</p> <p><b>infringement</b> [12] - 3:16, 4:22, 5:17, 5:19, 8:5, 9:1, 9:19, 20:4, 24:15, 26:18, 27:24, 28:1</p> <p><b>infringing</b> [5] - 7:8, 8:18, 8:19, 9:11, 9:17</p> <p><b>insight</b> [2] - 8:7, 8:9</p> <p><b>insist</b> [1] - 18:18</p> <p><b>instance</b> [3] - 7:17, 9:23, 12:9</p> <p><b>instead</b> [1] - 25:9</p> <p><b>instructions</b> [1] - 8:11</p> <p><b>instructs</b> [2] - 8:8, 8:9</p> <p><b>interacts</b> [1] - 7:24</p> <p><b>interesting</b> [2] - 3:20, 22:3</p> <p><b>interject</b> [2] - 12:24, 13:6</p> <p><b>Internet</b> [1] - 25:10</p> <p><b>Interrogatories</b> [1] - 3:15</p> <p><b>interrogatories</b> [4] - 4:19, 24:15, 27:15, 28:4</p> <p><b>interrogatory</b> [5] - 4:2, 4:16, 24:19, 25:7, 27:21</p> <p><b>inventors</b> [1] - 15:13</p> <p><b>involve</b> [1] - 14:23</p> <p><b>involving</b> [1] - 17:2</p> <p><b>irrelevant</b> [1] - 26:2</p> <p><b>issue</b> [16] - 4:13, 4:17, 6:16, 8:25, 11:2, 14:14, 14:15, 18:6,</p>	<p>21:10, 21:13, 21:18, 24:10, 25:14, 25:16, 25:21, 26:21</p> <p><b>issued</b> [1] - 9:23</p> <p><b>issues</b> [2] - 11:6, 28:19</p> <p><b>itself</b> [1] - 8:1</p>	<p><b>Leader's</b> [3] - 6:20, 19:22, 27:5</p> <p><b>learns</b> [1] - 27:25</p> <p><b>least</b> [4] - 14:23, 23:2, 23:24, 26:5</p> <p><b>left</b> [1] - 11:22</p> <p><b>LEONARD</b> [1] - 1:16</p> <p><b>letter</b> [12] - 4:2, 4:4, 4:12, 4:17, 4:20, 5:1, 6:10, 24:18, 25:14, 26:11, 27:20, 29:11</p> <p><b>letters</b> [2] - 3:10, 3:12</p> <p><b>level</b> [2] - 4:13, 19:2</p> <p><b>light</b> [1] - 9:3</p> <p><b>likely</b> [1] - 20:11</p> <p><b>limited</b> [5] - 10:14, 14:5, 14:7, 14:25, 16:12</p> <p><b>line</b> [2] - 2:17, 5:8</p> <p><b>list</b> [4] - 8:6, 8:23, 10:15, 12:2</p> <p><b>listed</b> [1] - 14:17</p> <p><b>listening</b> [1] - 16:23</p> <p><b>literally</b> [1] - 14:18</p> <p><b>litigation</b> [13] - 17:7, 24:17, 24:25, 25:2, 25:6, 25:20, 26:1, 26:12, 27:2, 27:6, 27:13, 27:18, 28:6</p> <p><b>litigations</b> [1] - 24:12</p> <p><b>LLP</b> [3] - 1:19, 2:2, 2:4</p> <p><b>look</b> [6] - 7:25, 9:21, 12:4, 12:9, 13:11, 19:15</p> <p><b>looked</b> [3] - 13:19, 13:20, 18:21</p> <p><b>looking</b> [4] - 5:24, 11:3, 11:5, 18:5</p> <p><b>low</b> [1] - 13:23</p>	<p><b>manuals</b> [1] - 8:10</p> <p><b>March</b> [2] - 23:7, 23:13</p> <p><b>mark</b> [2] - 2:4, 2:21</p> <p><b>market</b> [1] - 21:20</p> <p><b>marketing</b> [5] - 21:11, 21:15, 22:1, 22:13, 24:3</p> <p><b>materials</b> [2] - 25:5, 28:9</p> <p><b>matter</b> [3] - 6:5, 6:25, 26:25</p> <p><b>Maurer</b> [1] - 29:19</p> <p><b>McKibben</b> [1] - 13:2</p> <p><b>mean</b> [5] - 9:13, 10:10, 11:16, 12:17, 19:5</p> <p><b>meaningful</b> [1] - 20:8</p> <p><b>means</b> [1] - 27:21</p> <p><b>mechanism</b> [1] - 6:8</p> <p><b>meet</b> [7] - 13:10, 15:14, 25:17, 25:21, 26:5, 26:13, 28:17</p> <p><b>meet-and-confer</b> [4] - 13:10, 15:14, 26:13, 28:17</p> <p><b>meeting</b> [1] - 28:8</p> <p><b>mention</b> [1] - 12:3</p> <p><b>method</b> [1] - 18:20</p> <p><b>might</b> [1] - 3:21</p> <p><b>mindful</b> [3] - 20:5, 20:21, 23:5</p> <p><b>minimal</b> [2] - 20:11, 20:13</p> <p><b>minimis</b> [1] - 26:3</p> <p><b>modules</b> [9] - 7:5, 7:7, 7:9, 7:25, 8:3, 8:19, 14:1, 14:2, 14:5</p> <p><b>momentarily</b> [1] - 13:16</p> <p><b>months</b> [9] - 9:7, 11:19, 11:21, 12:19, 12:22, 13:20, 14:9, 17:21, 21:24</p> <p><b>mooted</b> [2] - 29:6, 29:7</p> <p><b>morning</b> [7] - 2:13, 2:15, 2:19, 2:22, 3:1, 3:19, 7:2</p> <p><b>most</b> [5] - 4:11, 7:11, 10:5, 20:18, 22:3</p> <p><b>motion</b> [1] - 25:16</p> <p><b>move</b> [9] - 3:4, 5:8, 6:2, 6:3, 6:20, 21:9, 24:10, 26:15, 26:22</p> <p><b>moving</b> [2] - 18:15, 21:25</p> <p><b>MR</b> [22] - 2:15, 2:19, 4:11, 5:22, 6:14, 6:24, 7:1, 9:13, 10:8, 11:7, 12:4, 13:10, 13:25, 16:21, 18:19,</p>
<b>I</b>				
<p><b>idea</b> [3] - 7:24, 9:22, 9:24</p> <p><b>identification</b> [1] - 14:20</p> <p><b>identified</b> [7] - 7:5, 7:8, 7:9, 14:1, 14:5, 14:24, 16:8</p> <p><b>identifies</b> [1] - 16:16</p> <p><b>identify</b> [8] - 7:6, 10:4, 15:11, 15:22, 16:4, 16:9, 16:15, 18:3</p> <p><b>identifying</b> [1] - 17:9</p> <p><b>implementation</b> [1] - 12:11</p> <p><b>implemented</b> [1] - 7:20</p> <p><b>imposed</b> [1] - 20:15</p> <p><b>IN</b> [2] - 1:1, 1:2</p>	<p><b>interject</b> [2] - 12:24, 13:6</p> <p><b>Internet</b> [1] - 25:10</p> <p><b>Interrogatories</b> [1] - 3:15</p> <p><b>interrogatories</b> [4] - 4:19, 24:15, 27:15, 28:4</p> <p><b>interrogatory</b> [5] - 4:2, 4:16, 24:19, 25:7, 27:21</p> <p><b>inventors</b> [1] - 15:13</p> <p><b>involve</b> [1] - 14:23</p> <p><b>involving</b> [1] - 17:2</p> <p><b>irrelevant</b> [1] - 26:2</p> <p><b>issue</b> [16] - 4:13, 4:17, 6:16, 8:25, 11:2, 14:14, 14:15, 18:6,</p>	<b>J</b>	<b>K</b>	<b>L</b>
		<b>J</b>	<b>K</b>	<b>L</b>
		<p><b>James</b> [3] - 2:17, 6:24, 7:1</p> <p><b>JAMES</b> [1] - 1:21</p> <p><b>Judge</b> [2] - 2:14, 29:11</p> <p><b>judges</b> [1] - 3:2</p> <p><b>judgment</b> [1] - 6:3</p> <p><b>July</b> [2] - 9:22, 25:15</p> <p><b>jump</b> [1] - 16:21</p>	<b>M</b>	

<p>21:12, 23:3, 23:9, 23:14, 24:14, 26:10, 28:21</p> <p><b>MS</b> [15] - 3:18, 4:23, 5:25, 6:7, 6:11, 6:17, 12:23, 14:15, 16:2, 22:3, 22:24, 25:12, 28:25, 29:7, 29:14</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>O</b></p> <p><b>objections</b> [3] - 29:3, 29:5</p> <p><b>obligation</b> [1] - 16:4</p> <p><b>obligations</b> [3] - 11:23, 17:17, 18:14</p> <p><b>obviously</b> [3] - 16:19, 25:1, 26:18</p> <p><b>October</b> [3] - 1:13, 16:11, 26:10</p> <p><b>OF</b> [1] - 1:2</p> <p><b>offering</b> [1] - 5:15</p> <p><b>once</b> [1] - 26:11</p> <p><b>one</b> [8] - 6:23, 12:9, 13:17, 15:3, 16:6, 27:5, 29:2, 29:3</p> <p><b>ones</b> [2] - 14:21, 22:14</p> <p><b>open</b> [3] - 5:1, 5:6, 10:23</p> <p><b>open-ended</b> [1] - 5:6</p> <p><b>operate</b> [1] - 8:4</p> <p><b>operated</b> [1] - 9:23</p> <p><b>operates</b> [1] - 7:23</p> <p><b>operation</b> [2] - 7:22, 12:13</p> <p><b>opponent's</b> [1] - 3:21</p> <p><b>opportunity</b> [1] - 24:7</p> <p><b>opposition</b> [6] - 3:21, 3:25, 4:2, 4:4, 5:1, 5:12</p> <p><b>order</b> [9] - 4:1, 4:18, 6:22, 10:11, 14:1, 20:8, 21:7, 27:16, 27:18</p> <p><b>ordered</b> [2] - 20:9, 25:15</p> <p><b>orders</b> [1] - 29:4</p> <p><b>original</b> [2] - 3:25, 24:24</p> <p><b>outside</b> [2] - 18:25, 19:17</p> <p><b>outweigh</b> [1] - 26:6</p> <p><b>overweighs</b> [1] - 26:3</p> <p><b>own</b> [1] - 19:19</p>	<p>9:6, 20:13, 20:17</p> <p><b>parties</b> [4] - 3:7, 19:10, 25:21, 28:19</p> <p><b>past</b> [1] - 18:17</p> <p><b>patent</b> [7] - 9:23, 12:18, 13:3, 15:12, 17:2, 26:3</p> <p><b>Paul</b> [1] - 2:17</p> <p><b>PAUL</b> [1] - 1:20</p> <p><b>pending</b> [2] - 29:4, 29:5</p> <p><b>people</b> [6] - 10:17, 14:24, 15:1, 15:2, 15:6</p> <p><b>percent</b> [1] - 14:2</p> <p><b>performance</b> [1] - 12:12</p> <p><b>period</b> [1] - 24:22</p> <p><b>persons</b> [3] - 16:15, 16:16, 16:17</p> <p><b>PHC</b> [1] - 15:1</p> <p><b>Phil</b> [1] - 2:16</p> <p><b>PHILIP</b> [1] - 1:19</p> <p><b>phone</b> [1] - 2:20</p> <p><b>physical</b> [1] - 25:9</p> <p><b>picture</b> [1] - 8:4</p> <p><b>Plaintiff</b> [2] - 1:5, 1:10</p> <p><b>plaintiff</b> [3] - 2:16, 20:3, 24:7</p> <p><b>plaintiffs</b> [2] - 4:9, 20:6</p> <p><b>plans</b> [1] - 21:20</p> <p><b>point</b> [10] - 13:18, 17:11, 19:8, 19:12, 20:10, 20:20, 21:5, 23:16, 23:21, 28:15</p> <p><b>points</b> [1] - 24:18</p> <p><b>portions</b> [1] - 14:19</p> <p><b>position</b> [2] - 4:9, 5:21</p> <p><b>possibility</b> [1] - 10:23</p> <p><b>possibly</b> [1] - 9:9</p> <p><b>potential</b> [1] - 8:23</p> <p><b>Potter</b> [2] - 1:19, 2:16</p> <p><b>prejudice</b> [3] - 22:17, 27:7, 28:16</p> <p><b>prejudiced</b> [2] - 19:12, 23:12</p> <p><b>prejudicial</b> [3] - 17:22, 23:15</p> <p><b>premature</b> [1] - 27:10</p> <p><b>prepared</b> [2] - 19:21, 28:14</p> <p><b>present</b> [1] - 9:25</p> <p><b>previous</b> [8] - 24:12, 24:16, 24:25, 25:2, 25:6, 26:12, 26:19, 28:6</p> <p><b>previously</b> [1] - 21:22</p> <p><b>problem</b> [4] - 4:12, 6:8, 6:12, 10:20</p>	<p><b>Procedure</b> [2] - 11:24, 17:20</p> <p><b>process</b> [1] - 28:13</p> <p><b>produce</b> [14] - 7:14, 14:4, 18:14, 19:2, 21:14, 21:16, 22:8, 22:16, 23:5, 23:19, 24:3, 24:11, 28:5</p> <p><b>produced</b> [9] - 7:10, 12:19, 12:22, 16:10, 16:25, 17:19, 17:22, 22:5, 23:16</p> <p><b>producing</b> [2] - 19:14, 27:1</p> <p><b>product</b> [3] - 7:19, 9:12, 15:4</p> <p><b>production</b> [15] - 11:8, 14:11, 15:25, 16:11, 16:12, 17:3, 19:22, 21:3, 21:6, 21:10, 23:24, 24:6, 27:6, 28:12, 28:16</p> <p><b>products</b> [1] - 15:13</p> <p><b>programming</b> [1] - 12:12</p> <p><b>prove</b> [2] - 20:4, 28:11</p> <p><b>provide</b> [10] - 7:4, 7:19, 7:21, 7:23, 20:1, 22:22, 24:6, 27:16, 28:3, 28:4</p> <p><b>provided</b> [7] - 5:16, 5:17, 18:11, 18:19, 20:2, 24:9, 27:17</p> <p><b>public</b> [1] - 7:11</p> <p><b>publicly</b> [1] - 27:23</p> <p><b>purpose</b> [2] - 3:6, 27:12</p> <p><b>pursuant</b> [1] - 14:1</p> <p><b>put</b> [7] - 3:7, 4:12, 4:25, 16:15, 24:18, 28:14, 29:10</p> <p><b>puts</b> [1] - 17:15</p> <p><b>putting</b> [2] - 8:19, 13:19</p>	<p><b>read</b> [2] - 3:20, 10:25</p> <p><b>readdress</b> [1] - 25:16</p> <p><b>real</b> [1] - 16:22</p> <p><b>really</b> [5] - 4:14, 11:21, 18:20, 19:6, 26:20</p> <p><b>reason</b> [3] - 23:17, 23:18, 23:22</p> <p><b>reasonable</b> [1] - 14:10</p> <p><b>received</b> [1] - 3:24</p> <p><b>recently</b> [1] - 11:6</p> <p><b>record</b> [4] - 2:23, 6:4, 18:7, 27:22</p> <p><b>recordings</b> [1] - 11:16</p> <p><b>reference</b> [1] - 11:1</p> <p><b>refused</b> [3] - 7:14, 15:17, 22:15</p> <p><b>regard</b> [1] - 21:8</p> <p><b>regarding</b> [5] - 3:15, 24:15, 25:18, 26:2, 26:3</p> <p><b>related</b> [2] - 20:18, 28:9</p> <p><b>relating</b> [3] - 11:5, 11:10, 12:10</p> <p><b>relatively</b> [1] - 13:23</p> <p><b>relevance</b> [8] - 8:23, 13:23, 20:11, 20:13, 24:17, 25:24, 26:4, 26:6</p> <p><b>relevant</b> [15] - 7:16, 8:25, 9:15, 10:5, 10:18, 12:19, 12:21, 17:19, 18:24, 20:18, 24:3, 25:1, 26:19, 28:7, 28:11</p> <p><b>relied</b> [2] - 25:5, 28:10</p> <p><b>rely</b> [1] - 19:20</p> <p><b>relying</b> [2] - 24:16, 25:9</p> <p><b>remain</b> [1] - 27:8</p> <p><b>remembers</b> [1] - 7:6</p> <p><b>remove</b> [1] - 5:3</p> <p><b>renewed</b> [1] - 28:17</p> <p><b>repeated</b> [2] - 13:5, 18:10</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>N</b></p> <p><b>names</b> [2] - 15:12, 15:13</p> <p><b>narrow</b> [2] - 8:13, 15:17</p> <p><b>narrowed</b> [2] - 14:17, 14:18</p> <p><b>narrowing</b> [2] - 25:24, 27:11</p> <p><b>narrowly</b> [1] - 15:10</p> <p><b>nature</b> [3] - 14:7, 15:14, 21:21</p> <p><b>necessary</b> [1] - 24:8</p> <p><b>need</b> [9] - 5:9, 8:17, 9:18, 10:18, 10:21, 22:11, 25:17, 26:16, 26:17</p> <p><b>needed</b> [2] - 19:2, 20:6</p> <p><b>needs</b> [2] - 16:18, 27:13</p> <p><b>never</b> [3] - 12:10, 17:3, 22:15</p> <p><b>next</b> [7] - 4:19, 6:9, 21:9, 25:23, 27:9, 27:15, 27:19</p> <p><b>non</b> [1] - 6:3</p> <p><b>non-willfulness</b> [1] - 6:3</p> <p><b>none</b> [1] - 8:24</p> <p><b>normally</b> [1] - 17:7</p> <p><b>Nos</b> [1] - 3:15</p> <p><b>note</b> [1] - 6:1</p> <p><b>noted</b> [2] - 15:8, 25:14</p> <p><b>notice</b> [2] - 11:19, 12:15</p> <p><b>notify</b> [1] - 16:17</p> <p><b>November</b> [6] - 21:17, 22:21, 23:2, 23:11, 24:2, 24:6</p> <p><b>November/</b></p> <p><b>beginning</b> [1] - 22:19</p> <p><b>number</b> [5] - 12:2, 12:18, 15:12, 16:8, 16:19</p> <p><b>numbers</b> [1] - 13:4</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>P</b></p> <p><b>p.m</b> [1] - 29:17</p> <p><b>pages</b> [5] - 7:11, 7:12, 16:25, 17:12, 18:4</p> <p><b>pain</b> [1] - 19:7</p> <p><b>Palo</b> [1] - 2:5</p> <p><b>paper</b> [1] - 13:2</p> <p><b>part</b> [2] - 19:6, 19:9</p> <p><b>particular</b> [3] - 9:17, 10:16, 11:11</p> <p><b>particularly</b> [4] - 8:25,</p>	<p><b>prejudiced</b> [2] - 19:12, 23:12</p> <p><b>prejudicial</b> [3] - 17:22, 23:15</p> <p><b>premature</b> [1] - 27:10</p> <p><b>prepared</b> [2] - 19:21, 28:14</p> <p><b>present</b> [1] - 9:25</p> <p><b>previous</b> [8] - 24:12, 24:16, 24:25, 25:2, 25:6, 26:12, 26:19, 28:6</p> <p><b>previously</b> [1] - 21:22</p> <p><b>problem</b> [4] - 4:12, 6:8, 6:12, 10:20</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Q</b></p> <p><b>questions</b> [2] - 10:7, 10:18</p> <p><b>quick</b> [1] - 16:22</p> <p><b>quite</b> [3] - 4:23, 11:6, 20:19</p> <p><b>quoting</b> [1] - 11:15</p>	<p><b>reporter</b> [1] - 3:5</p> <p><b>Reporter</b> [1] - 29:19</p> <p><b>reporters</b> [1] - 3:3</p> <p><b>representation</b> [4] - 9:6, 11:4, 13:14, 24:4</p> <p><b>representations</b> [4] - 14:8, 18:10, 21:5, 21:19</p> <p><b>representing</b> [1] - 7:2</p> <p><b>request</b> [5] - 3:14, 4:21, 19:22, 27:5, 28:15</p> <p><b>requested</b> [1] - 26:12</p> <p><b>requests</b> [3] - 11:8,</p>
			<p style="text-align: center;"><b>R</b></p> <p><b>raised</b> [3] - 27:7, 27:8, 28:20</p> <p><b>raising</b> [1] - 21:10</p>	

16:11, 16:12  
**require** [1] - 10:4  
**required** [3] - 17:18, 20:15, 27:2  
**requirement** [1] - 28:3  
**requiring** [2] - 27:17, 28:5  
**research** [1] - 12:11  
**reserve** [2] - 5:19, 6:2  
**respect** [3] - 4:22, 21:6, 27:4  
**respond** [3] - 16:1, 26:9, 29:16  
**response** [10] - 3:25, 4:3, 4:5, 4:6, 4:12, 4:13, 6:10, 7:4, 16:20, 27:20  
**responses** [5] - 3:14, 5:8, 5:9, 27:21, 28:4  
**responsive** [2] - 18:11, 24:3  
**rest** [1] - 15:19  
**reviewed** [2] - 3:10, 9:9  
**reviewing** [1] - 19:14  
**RFP** [2] - 11:12, 12:9  
**RFPs** [3] - 11:9, 11:15, 12:5  
**role** [2] - 10:10, 10:13  
**rolling** [1] - 22:23  
**Rome** [2] - 2:2, 2:20  
**Rovner** [1] - 2:16  
**ROVNER** [2] - 1:19, 2:15  
**Rule** [1] - 16:4  
**rule** [2] - 19:21, 27:10  
**Rules** [3] - 11:23, 17:17, 17:20  
**rules** [1] - 19:8  
**ruling** [1] - 28:15  
**rulings** [1] - 17:23  
**run** [1] - 18:1

## S

**sanctions** [1] - 18:15  
**satisfied** [4] - 4:3, 4:7, 20:10, 24:2  
**schedule** [2] - 9:5, 24:8  
**schematics** [1] - 11:12  
**screen** [1] - 7:13  
**search** [14] - 9:16, 13:5, 13:13, 14:17, 14:25, 15:7, 15:17, 17:6, 18:1, 18:2, 18:20, 19:4, 19:18, 27:1  
**searched** [7] - 9:14,

12:16, 12:17, 12:20, 13:1, 13:12, 15:10  
**searches** [4] - 15:15, 19:1, 20:16  
**searching** [1] - 20:17  
**secondarily** [1] - 16:7  
**secondly** [1] - 26:23  
**see** [11] - 4:8, 7:20, 8:9, 8:10, 8:11, 10:10, 14:9, 23:23, 26:11, 27:8, 29:12  
**seeing** [1] - 8:2  
**send** [1] - 18:11  
**separate** [1] - 16:2  
**September** [2] - 6:22, 22:5  
**served** [1] - 11:8  
**set** [2] - 3:12, 21:17  
**shall** [1] - 11:16  
**sheet** [1] - 22:5  
**shooting** [2] - 8:16, 10:12  
**short** [2] - 3:22, 14:11  
**short-circuit** [1] - 3:22  
**shot** [2] - 7:13, 9:6  
**show** [5] - 18:13, 21:4, 22:6, 22:10, 24:17  
**showing** [1] - 10:22  
**side** [1] - 17:5  
**side's** [1] - 3:9  
**sides** [1] - 3:8  
**significant** [2] - 9:7, 27:11  
**Silicon** [1] - 1:22  
**simply** [5] - 4:1, 5:10, 6:2, 7:3, 10:6  
**single** [2] - 14:22, 15:4  
**site** [8] - 7:22, 8:7, 8:8, 8:10, 9:23, 14:18, 14:20, 14:23  
**sitting** [1] - 26:24  
**situation** [1] - 8:20  
**small** [1] - 17:3  
**snapshot** [1] - 9:22  
**somewhere** [1] - 5:7  
**soon** [2] - 27:9, 28:17  
**sooner** [1] - 22:22  
**sorry** [3] - 12:23, 13:6, 25:23  
**sort** [2] - 29:11  
**sought** [1] - 7:7  
**sounds** [2] - 8:24, 28:11  
**source** [23] - 7:5, 7:7, 7:9, 7:25, 8:3, 8:19, 9:2, 9:11, 9:21, 12:2, 13:25, 14:2, 14:5, 17:12, 19:25, 20:2, 20:5, 20:7, 20:9, 24:22, 24:24

**Spalding** [2] - 1:21, 2:18  
**specific** [1] - 14:15  
**specifically** [3] - 16:8, 25:15, 25:21  
**specify** [1] - 10:25  
**spent** [1] - 19:13  
**spread** [1] - 22:5  
**stalling** [1] - 21:24  
**stand** [1] - 26:6  
**Stark** [1] - 2:14  
**STARK** [1] - 1:16  
**start** [6] - 2:25, 3:13, 17:8, 17:9, 22:18, 23:24  
**started** [1] - 25:19  
**starting** [3] - 3:1, 12:2, 20:21  
**states** [1] - 12:5  
**STATES** [1] - 1:1  
**stay** [2] - 4:22, 6:2  
**Steve** [1] - 2:19  
**STEVEN** [1] - 2:2  
**still** [7] - 4:25, 10:12, 11:22, 25:10, 25:25, 29:4, 29:5  
**stop** [1] - 4:8  
**straightforward** [1] - 9:1  
**streamline** [1] - 28:12  
**strongly** [1] - 20:19  
**structure** [1] - 12:12  
**stuff** [1] - 26:18  
**subsequent** [2] - 29:6, 29:8  
**subset** [1] - 12:1  
**sufficiency** [1] - 21:10  
**sufficient** [3] - 22:6, 22:10, 24:7  
**sufficiently** [1] - 21:2  
**summary** [1] - 6:3  
**supplement** [5] - 4:16, 4:19, 5:19, 6:9, 26:17  
**supplemental** [2] - 27:21, 28:3  
**supplementation** [4] - 5:3, 24:14, 27:19, 27:25  
**supplementing** [4] - 4:13, 24:19, 25:7, 27:15  
**support** [2] - 16:5, 27:24  
**system** [1] - 7:23

## T

**tailored** [1] - 15:10

**technical** [32] - 6:25, 7:4, 7:7, 8:10, 8:20, 10:9, 10:24, 11:2, 11:6, 11:20, 12:1, 12:10, 14:6, 14:11, 14:14, 15:15, 15:25, 16:25, 17:3, 17:6, 17:13, 17:25, 18:2, 18:11, 19:5, 19:16, 19:22, 19:24, 20:7, 20:12, 21:3, 21:6  
**TECHNOLOGIES** [1] - 1:4  
**Technologies** [5] - 1:23, 2:23, 12:20, 13:2, 15:12  
**technology** [5] - 7:8, 8:18, 9:18, 17:2, 26:2  
**Telephone** [1] - 1:14  
**ten** [3] - 14:2, 16:18, 18:2  
**term** [3] - 11:15, 12:21, 13:1  
**terms** [2] - 11:17, 18:1  
**testimony** [4] - 24:21, 24:25, 26:19, 28:9  
**THE** [37] - 1:1, 1:2, 2:13, 2:22, 4:8, 4:18, 5:14, 5:23, 6:5, 6:8, 6:12, 6:15, 6:18, 8:22, 10:4, 10:20, 11:25, 13:8, 13:15, 14:13, 15:21, 16:20, 18:7, 19:21, 22:2, 22:21, 22:25, 23:7, 23:10, 24:1, 25:11, 26:8, 27:4, 28:23, 29:2, 29:10, 29:15  
**thereafter** [1] - 20:9  
**thereby** [1] - 28:12  
**therefore** [2] - 5:2, 27:12  
**thinks** [1] - 27:13  
**thoroughly** [1] - 11:2  
**thousands** [1] - 23:6  
**three** [2] - 16:2, 20:22  
**throughout** [1] - 15:18  
**Tied** [1] - 5:1  
**timely** [1] - 23:19  
**today** [8] - 3:6, 5:16, 6:6, 13:22, 18:16, 27:5, 27:10, 28:18  
**together** [1] - 29:10  
**topic** [2] - 16:15, 25:18  
**topics** [1] - 20:24  
**touch** [1] - 14:22  
**touches** [1] - 14:18  
**track** [1] - 3:4

**transcripts** [1] - 25:9  
**tremendous** [1] - 14:16  
**troubleshooting** [1] - 8:11  
**try** [3] - 19:4, 19:14, 19:15  
**trying** [2] - 14:24, 20:3  
**Tuesday** [2] - 25:22, 25:23  
**turn** [3] - 7:10, 13:15, 14:13  
**turned** [1] - 4:6  
**turns** [2] - 10:21, 21:1  
**two** [3] - 13:11, 24:18, 24:22  
**two-week** [1] - 24:22  
**type** [5] - 16:24, 17:7, 19:3, 21:14, 21:20  
**types** [3] - 18:9, 19:7, 22:13

## U

**U.S.M.J** [1] - 1:16  
**under** [4] - 11:23, 16:4, 17:17, 17:19  
**understood** [1] - 5:14  
**unduly** [2] - 23:12, 23:15  
**UNITED** [1] - 1:1  
**unless** [1] - 22:7  
**untrue** [1] - 12:25  
**untruth** [1] - 13:7  
**up** [6] - 5:7, 8:23, 13:7, 16:11, 16:16, 21:13  
**users** [1] - 8:9

## V

**Valley** [1] - 1:22  
**various** [1] - 6:20  
**versus** [1] - 13:22  
**view** [2] - 20:19, 28:8

## W

**wait** [1] - 26:14  
**waiting** [1] - 23:11  
**web** [1] - 15:4  
**website** [6] - 8:4, 11:11, 12:13, 24:22, 25:4, 28:10  
**Wednesday** [4] - 4:20, 6:9, 27:15, 27:19  
**week** [1] - 24:22  
**weeks** [1] - 20:22  
**weigh** [2] - 9:9, 13:22

**weighing** [3] - 13:24,  
20:11, 20:14  
**WEINSTEIN** [1] - 2:4  
**Weinstein** [1] - 2:21  
**welcome** [1] - 9:5  
**white** [1] - 13:2  
**wicking** [2] - 7:13,  
18:21  
**willful** [8] - 3:16, 4:22,  
5:17, 5:18, 24:15,  
26:18, 27:24, 28:1  
**willfulness** [2] - 4:14,  
6:3  
**willing** [2] - 4:1, 4:25  
**Wilmington** [1] - 1:12  
**wish** [2] - 3:11, 19:5  
**withdraw** [2] - 4:21,  
29:8  
**withholding** [1] -  
23:17  
**witness** [1] - 16:16  
**witnesses** [4] - 15:23,  
16:5, 23:8, 25:3  
**words** [1] - 12:20  
**writing** [1] - 25:5  
**writings** [1] - 11:16  
**written** [1] - 23:4

**Y**

**year** [1] - 11:9  
**yesterday** [1] - 13:6