E-FILED 03-30-2011

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GARNER BECKETT	•
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 5:11-cv-00246-HRL
v. MACYSDSNB	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	[Re: Docket No. 7]
Counsel report that they have met and following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16	conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 6-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following	ng ADR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE ✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
	an any other form of ADR, must participate in an form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process: Private ADR (please identify page)	rocess and provider)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	deadline is 90 days from the date of the order process unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated: 3/29/2011	/s/ Adam C. Fullman
Dated: 3/29/2011	Attorney for Plaintiff /s/ Tatiana Small Attorney for Defendant

PROPOSED ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:
Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

✓ Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

✓ 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 30, 2011

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

HOWARD R. LLOYD