Case No. 5:11-CV-00380-EJD

#1218322

	1	R. Bradford Huss, No. 71303				
Trucker ← Huss  A Professional Corporation  One Embarcadero Center, 12 <sup>th</sup> Floor  San Francisco, California 94111	2	Clarissa A. Kang, No. 2100 Michelle L. Schuller, No. 2	560			
		TRUCKER <b>→</b> HUSS				
	3	A Professional Corporation One Embarcadero Center,	12th Floor			
	4	San Francisco, CA 94111- Telephone: (415) 788-3				
	5	Facsimile: (415) 421-2				
	6	ckang@truc				
	7	mschuller@truckerhuss.com				
	8	Attorneys for Defendants LOCKHEED MARTIN CORPORATION				
	9	and LOCKHEED MARTIN CORPORATION SALARIED EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT PROGRAM				
	10	(Sued as Lockheed Martin Corporation Retirement Plan for Certain Salaried Employees)				
		Rethement Flan for Certain Salaried Employees)				
	11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
	12		NORTHERN DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA		
	13	CHARLES GUENTHER, an individual,		Case No. 5:11-CV-00380-EJD		
	14			Case 140. 5.11-C 4-00300-L3D		
	15	1	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [FROM ONLY]		
	16	VS.		ORDER TO CONTINUE DEADLINE TO COMPLETE ADR SESSION		
	17	LOCKHEED MARTIN COLOCKHEED MARTIN CO				
		RETIREMENT PLAN FOR CERTAIN				
	18	SALARIED EMPLOYEES 30,	s, and DOES I through			
	19	]	Defendants.			
	20					
	21	This Stipulation to Continue Deadline to Complete ADR Session is made and entered into				
	22	by and among Plaintiff Charles Guenther (the "Plaintiff") and Defendants Lockheed Martin				
	23	Corporation and Lockheed Martin Corporation Salaried Employee Retirement Program				
	24	(collectively, "Defendants").				
	25	The Court in its September 15, 2011 Order Selecting ADR Process (Doc. No. 31) set the				
	26	deadline for holding the ADR session 90 days from the date of its order, and thus, such deadline is				
	27	December 14, 2011. The Plaintiff and Defendants agree and believe that for purposes of efficiency				
	28	and conservation of party and judicial resources, it is in the interests of all parties to continue this				
		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE DEADLINE TO COMPLETE ADR SESSION; 1				

	1	ADR deadline until sixty (60) days after the Court issues a decision on Defendants' Motion to				
	2	Dismiss (Docket No. 14), which was heard on September 16, 2011 and is now pending. No other				
	3	deadlines in the case would be affected.				
	4	WHEREFORE, the parties stipulate and agree as follows:				
	5	<u>STIPULATION</u>				
	6	Subject to the Court's approval of this stipulation, the deadline to complete the ADR				
	7	session shall be continued until sixty (60) days after the Court issues a decision on Defendants'				
	8	Motion to Dismiss.				
	9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.				
	10	DATED: December 7, 2011 PIERCE & SHEARER, LLP				
	11	Dry /a/Androvy E. Diorea				
	12	By: /s/Andrew F. Pierce Andrew F. Pierce Attorneys for Plaintiff				
uSS oration , 12 <sup>th</sup> Fl. ia 9411	13	Attorneys for Plaintiff CHARLES GUENTHER				
Trucker + Huss A Professional Corporation One Embarcadero Center, 12 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94111	14					
	15	DATED: December 7, 2011 TRUCKER → HUSS				
	16	By: /s/Clarissa A. Kang				
O	17	Clarissa A. Kang Attorneys for Defendants				
	18	LOCKHEED MARTIN CORPORATION and LOCKHEED MARTIN CORPORATION				
	19	SALARIED EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT PROGRAM				
	20	T KOOK II				
	21	I attest that I have obtained Mr. Pierce's concurrence in the filing of this document.				
	22	DATED: December 7, 2011				
	23	/s/Clarissa A. Kang Clarissa A. Kang				
	24					
	25					
	26					
	27					
	28					

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO THE PARTIES' STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: \_\_December 8 , 2011

Hon. Edward J. Davila Judge of the United States District Court

A Professional Corporation One Embarcadero Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94111

> STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE DEADLINE TO COMPLETE ADR SESSION; Case No. 5:11-CV-00380-EJD