WHEREAS, this matter originally was filed by Ms. Solis in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on February 9, 2011.

WHEREAS, in the Court's Case Management Order of November 29, 2011, this Court set a Fact Discovery Cutoff of July 27, 2012.

WHEREAS, in the Court's Case Management Order of November 29, 2011, this Court set a Designation of Opening Experts with Reports on August 3, 2012.

WHEREAS, in the Court's Case Management Order of November 29, 2011, this Court set a Designation of Rebuttal Experts with Reports on September 7, 2012.

WHEREAS, in the Court's Case Management Order of November 29, 2011, this Court set an Expert Discovery Cutoff of September 10, 2012, the next business day after rebuttal reports are due.

WHEREAS, this case is set for a Preliminary Pretrial Conference on October 15, 2012.

WHEREAS, this case has not been assigned a trial date.

WHEREAS, Walgreens has recently learned and supplemented its responses to Requests for Admissions to disclose to Plaintiff that Walgreen employee Ronda DiMarzo was rehired on June 3, 2010 after she returned from a medical leave. Walgreen had previously disclosed only that Ronda DiMarzo was rehired.

WHEREAS, based on this newly discovered information, Ms. Solis did not have sufficient time to take Ms. DiMarzo's deposition prior to the Fact Discovery Cutoff. Had Ms. Solis known the information regarding Ms. DiMarzo's being rehired following a medical leave sooner, Ms. Solis would have noticed Ms. DiMarzo's deposition.

WHEREAS, Ms. Solis recently began new employment with Smith's Food and Drug on or around August 23, 2012. Ms. Solis' retained expert regarding her economic loss and wage loss damages, Craig Enos ("Enos"), CPA, prepared a Rule 26 report, which was timely served as part of Ms. Solis' Expert Witness

Disclosures. Mr. Enos' Rule 26 report did not take into consideration Ms. Solis' new employment, as she did not have the position when he drafted his report. Mr. Enos needs to supplement his initial Rule 26 report to address the incorrect and incomplete information regarding Ms. Solis' future economic and wage losses and account for her new position at Smith's.

WHEREAS, it would be a waste of time and costs for Mr. Enos to be deposed prior to him preparing a supplemental Rule 26 report to correct the incorrect and incomplete information already known to be contained in his original Rule 26 report.

WHEREAS, Ms. Solis' current treating doctors - Dr. Aaron Butcher, P.A. and Dr. Daniel Offret, P.A. are located in Salt Lake City, UT. Despite diligent efforts, due to unavoidable scheduling conflicts, the parties have been unable to schedule their depositions prior to the Court's Expert Discovery Cutoff deadline.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the Parties, by and through their attorneys of record, that:

- 1. Ms. Solis shall be permitted to take Ronda DiMarzo's deposition pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 30. Ms. DiMarzo's deposition shall be completed on or before October 19, 2012.
- 2. Mr. Enos shall be permitted to file a Supplemental Rule 26 report. Mr. Enos' report will be served on Walgreens on or before November 1, 2012.
- 3. Walgreens will be permitted to take the deposition of Craig Enos on or before November 30, 2012.
- 4. Without prejudice to Walgreens' right to designate any rebuttal experts in response to Plaintiff's Rule 26 expert disclosure by the original due date of September 7, 2012, Walgreens will also be permitted to designate a rebuttal expert with a Rule 26 report only as to those issues and opinion raised by Mr. Enos' original and supplemental reports on or before November 30, 2012.
 - 5. Walgreens shall be permitted to take the deposition of Dr. Aaron

- 1		
1	Butcher, P.A. Dr. Butcher's deposition s	hall be completed on or before October
2	19, 2012.	
3	6. Walgreens shall be permitte	d to take the deposition of Dr. Daniel
4	Offret, P.A. Dr. Offret's deposition shall	be completed on or before October 19,
5	2012.	
6	7. The dates previously set by	the Court are only continued to complete
7	the discovery requested in this Stipulation and for no other purpose.	
8	Based on the foregoing, the Parties respectfully request this Court enter an	
9	Order consistent with this Stipulation.	
10		
11		
12	Dated: August 3, 2012	BONONI LAW GROUP, LLP
13		1111000
14	Ву	: Michael J. Bononi
15		Christy W. Granieri Attorneys for Plaintiff
16		Belinda Solis
17		
18	Dated: August 31, 2012	WEINTRAUB TOBIN CHEDIAK COLEMAN GRODIN
19		
20	Ву	Scott M. Plamondon
21		Shauna Correia Attorneys for Defendant Walgreen
22		Co.
23	IT IS SO ORDERED	
24		
25	Date: September 14, 2012	FOOODA
26		Judge of the Northern District of California
27		conversion in the second
28		