Emblaze	Ltd. v. A	pple Inc.				Doc. 599			
	1								
	2								
	3								
	4								
	5								
	6								
	7								
	8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
	9				Γ OF CALIFORNIA				
a	10		SAI	N JOSE D					
i rt iforni	11	EMBLAZE LTD.,)	Case No. 5:11-cv-01079-PSG				
t Cou f Cal	12	v.	Plaintiff,)	[PROPOSED] VERDICT FORM				
stric t rict o	13 14	APPLE INC.,)					
t es Di 1 Dist	15		Defendant.)					
Stat	16)					
nited le No	17	IT IS SO ORDERED.							
United States District Court For the Northern District of California	18	Date: July 9, 2014			Paul S. GREWAL				
	19				PAUL S. GREWAL United States Magistrate Judge				
	20								
	21								
	22								
	23								
	24								
	25								
	26								
	27								
	28								
		Case No. 5:11-cv-0107 [PROPOSED] VERDI	79-PSG CT FORM	1					

When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below.

We, the jury, unanimously agree to the answers to the following questions and return them under the instructions of this Court as our verdict in this case.

A. FINDINGS ON INFRINGEMENT

INDUCED INFRINGEMENT

1. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the accused HLS streams satisfy the limitations of any of the following claims of the '473 patent?

Answer "YES" or "NO" for each listed claim. (An answer of YES is a finding for Emblaze. An answer of NO is a finding for Apple).

Accused HLS Streams	ABC News	PGA	MLB at Bat	NFL Preseason	ESPN	Apple Keynotes	iTunes Festival
Claim 23			Yes: No:				
Claim 28	Yes:	Yes:	Yes:	Yes:	Yes:	Yes:	Yes:
	No:	No:	No:	No:	No:	No:	No:
Claim 37	Yes:	Yes:	Yes:	Yes:	Yes:	Yes:	Yes:
	No:	No:	No:	No:	No:	No:	No:
Claim 40	Yes:	Yes:	Yes:	Yes:	Yes:	Yes:	Yes:
	No:	No:	No:	No:	No:	No:	No:

Only answer Question 2 if you answered YES for any claim in Question 1. Otherwise skip to Question 3.

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple has induced others to infringe 2. any of the following claims of the '473 patent?

Answer "YES" or "NO" for each listed claim. (An answer of YES is a finding for Emblaze. An answer of NO is a finding for Apple).

Accused HLS Streams	ABC News	PGA	MLB at Bat	NFL Preseason	ESPN	Apple Keynotes	iTunes Festival
Claim 23			Yes: No:				
Claim 28	Yes: No:	Yes:	Yes: No:	Yes:	Yes: No:	Yes:	Yes: No:
Claim 37	Yes:	Yes: No:	Yes: No:	Yes: No:	Yes: No:	Yes: No:	Yes: No:
Claim 40	Yes: No:	Yes: No:	Yes: No:	Yes: No:	Yes: No:	Yes: No:	Yes: No:

1	В.	FINDINGS ON INVALIDITY
2		ANTICIPATION
3		
4	3.	Did you find by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims are invalid as anticipated by the prior art?
5		Answer "YES" or "NO" for each listed claim. (An answer of YES is a finding for Apple. An answer of NO is a finding for Emblaze).
6		Apple. All allswer of NO is a finding for Elitotaze).
7		Claim 23
8		Claim 28
9		Claim 37
10		
11		OBVIOUSNESS
12	 4.	Did you find by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims are invalid
13		as obvious in view of the prior art?
14		Answer "YES" or "NO" for each listed claim. (An answer of YES is a finding for Apple. An answer of NO is a finding for Emblaze).
15		rapple. The answer of two is a finding for Emblaze).
16		Claim 23
17		Claim 28
18		Claim 37
19		Claim 40
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

C. FINDINGS ON DAMAGES

REASONABLE ROYALTY

If you have found any claim infringed and not invalid, answer Questions 5-7. Otherwise, do not answer Questions 5-7.

5.	In the hypothetical negotiation, do you find that the parties would have agreed to a license
	agreement for a running royalty or for a fully paid-up lump sum? Choose only one.

Running royalty: ____ OR Fully paid-up lump sum: _____

Only answer the following Question 6 if you chose "running royalty" in Question 5. If you chose "fully paid-up lump sum" in Question 5 skip to Question 7.

What "royalty base" and what "royalty rate" do you find from a preponderance of the 6. evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Emblaze for Apple's infringement through June 30, 2013 (fill in the numbers in the empty columns):

ROYALTY BASE	ROYALTY RATE	
Number of Devices	amount per unit (\$)	
Number of Software Upgrades	amount per unit (\$)	
Total Amount of Application Revenues	percentage (%)	

Only answer the following Question 7 if you chose "fully paid-up lump sum" in **Question 5.**

7. What paid-up lump sum of money, if paid now in cash, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Emblaze for Apple's infringement?

Answer with the amount: \$

Certification	of Jury	Verdict
	01 0 41 3	, 01 010

 JURY FOREPERSON
JUNI POREFERSON

DATE