


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

MAYER BROWN LLP
Edward D. Johnson (SBN 189475)
wjohnson@mayerbrown.com
John M. Neukom (SBN 275887)
jneukom@mayerbrown.com
Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300
3000 El Camino Real
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112
Telephone: (650) 331-2000
Facsimile: (650) 331-2060



Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.

10/6/2011

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

RICK WOODS, Individually and On Behalf
of Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 5:11-CV-01263-EJD
**STIPULATION ENLARGING TIME
PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1(a)**

Honorable Edward J. Davila

Complaint filed: March 15, 2011

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rule 6-1(a), the named parties hereby stipulate that Defendant's response to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (Docket No. 68) shall be filed no later than October 8, 2011.

If Defendant's response to the Amended Complaint is not an answer, but rather a motion pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12, then (i) Plaintiff's opposition to that motion shall be filed no later than November 9, 2011; and (ii) Defendant's reply shall be filed no later than November 18, 2011.

Date: September 19, 2011

MAYER BROWN LLP

/s John M. Neukom
John M. Neukom

Attorneys for Defendant Google, Inc.

Date: September 19, 2011

NIX, PATTERSON & ROACH LLP

/s Brad E. Seidel
Brad E. Seidel

Attorneys for Plaintiff Rick Woods

Filer's Attestation: In compliance with General Order 45(X)(B), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this Stipulation has been obtained from counsel for Plaintiff, Brad E. Seidel.

/s John M. Neukom
John M. Neukom