David J. Davoli, Esq. (DD-9073)
DAVOLI LAW FIRM
207 West 25th Street, Suite 400
New York, NY 10001
Tel: 212.929.1649
Fax: 212.206.7996

5 | E-mail: david@davolilaw.com

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

23

2526

27

28

2930

31

32

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA San Jose Division

Case No.: CDC-01333-LHK

(PROPOSED) ORDER

JOE HAND PROMOTIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

VS.

PETE BE, Individually, and as an officer, director, shareholder, and/or principal of DA KINE CAFÉ, INC. d/b/a DA KINE CAFÉ
153 E. Fremont Avenue

Sunnyvale, CA 94087,

Defendants.

-----X

Upon consideration of Plaintiff's Motion for Final Default Judgment ("Motion"), the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support thereof and evidence, the pleadings on file and the relevant authorities, the Court concludes that Plaintiff has established that it is an aggrieved party under the Federal Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. §§ 553 and 605 and recognizes Plaintiff's election to seek statutory damages. The Court also concludes that it has jurisdiction over the subject matter and parties to this action; that Defendants, Pete Be and Da Kine Cafe, Inc. d/b/a Da Kine Café, ("Defendants"), failed to answer or otherwise defend as provided by the Federal Rule s of Civil Procedure following proper service; that the allegations in Plaintiff's Original Complaint are deemed admitted against Defendants; that Defendants exhibited the closed circuit "UFC 119: Mir v. Cro Cop" Broadcast, including all undercard bouts

(PROPOSED) ORDER - 1

and the entire television broadcast, scheduled for September 25, 2010, (hereinafter referred to as the "Broadcast") without authorization from Plaintiff; and that Defendants' actions were willful and for purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private financial gain. Therefore, additional damages are warranted in this action.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

- 1. That Judgment by default be entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant.
- 2. That Plaintiff recover statutory damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(C)(i)(II) from Defendant in the amount of \$10,000.00.
- 3. That Plaintiff recover additional damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 605(e)(3)(C)(ii) from Defendant in the amount of \$100,000.00.
- 4. That Plaintiff recover attorneys' fees from Defendant in the amount of Three Thousand Nine Hundred Sixty Two Dollars and Fifty Cents (\$3,962.50); along with attorney's fees for post-trial and appellate services.
- 5. Costs in the amount of \$1,038.98 relating to the prosecution of this matter.
- 6. That Plaintiff recover the following conditional awards of attorney's fees from Defendant in the following circumstances:
 - a. Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) in the event Defendant files a motion to vacate, Rule 60 motion, motion for new trial, motion for reconsideration or other post-judgment, pre-appeal motion that does not result in a reversal of the Judgment obtained in this action;
 - b. Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00) in the event Defendant files an appeal to the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals that does not result in a reversal of the Judgment obtained in this action;
 - c. Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00) for making and/or responding to a petition for certiorari to the U.S. Supreme Court that does not result in a reversal of the Judgment obtained in this action;
 - d. Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) for an appeal to the United States Supreme Court in the event a petition for certiorari review is granted and does not result in a reversal of the Judgment obtained in this action; and