

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LENORA ROBINSON,
Petitioner,
v.
WARDEN W. MILLER,
Respondent.


No. C 11-1339 LHK (PR)
ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR
SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME TO
FILE A TRAVERSE

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, filed a second amended petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On November 21, 2012, the Court issued an order directing Respondent to file an answer showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted. (Doc. No. 37.) On January 31, 2013, Respondent filed an answer to the Court’s order. (Doc. No. 42.) Petitioner has filed a motion for a second extension of time to file a traverse. (Doc. No. 61.)

Petitioner’s motion for an extension of time to file a traverse is **GRANTED**. Petitioner shall file a traverse **no later than thirty (30) days** from the date this order is filed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 4/24/13


LUCY H. KOH
United States District Judge