

1 Laurence M. Rosen (SBN # 219683)  
 2 **THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.**  
 3 333 South Grand Avenue, 25<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 4 Los Angeles, CA 90071  
 5 Telephone: (213) 785-2610  
 6 Facsimile: (213) 226-4684  
 7 Email: [rosen@rosenlegal.com](mailto:rosen@rosenlegal.com)

8 Counsel for Plaintiff James Wang

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 11 SAN JOSE DIVISION

12 JAMES WANG, INDIVIDUALLY AND )  
 13 ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS )  
 14 SIMILARLY SITUATED, )

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 OCZ TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC., )  
 18 )  
 19 Defendants. )

20 Case No. CV 11-01415-PSG

21 **STIPULATION AND**  
 22 **ORDER EXTENDING BRIEFING**  
 23 **SCHEDULE AND TIME FOR**  
 24 **PARTIES TO EXCHANGE INITIAL**  
 25 **DISCLOSURES**

26 **Hon. Paul S. Grewal**

27 WHEREAS, on May 18, 2011 defendant OCZ Technology Group, Inc. (“OCZ”) filed a motion to dismiss the complaint or in the alternative to strike certain allegations in the complaint (the “Motion”), noticing a hearing date of August 2, 2011 at 10 a.m. (docket no. 16);

28 WHEREAS, pursuant to the parties’ April 14, 2011 stipulation, Plaintiff’s opposition to the Motion is due on or by June 17, 2011, and OCZ’s reply thereto is due on or by July 1, 2011 (docket no. 7);

WHEREAS, the deadline for parties to exchange initial disclosures is June 14, 2011 (docket no. 5);

1 WHEREAS, OCZ has raised a number of complex issues in their Motion and  
2 Plaintiff's counsel requires additional time to respond;

3 WHEREAS, the parties require additional time to prepare their initial disclosures  
4 due to the complex nature of this putative class action; and

5 WHEREAS, the parties believe that the requested adjustments will not cause  
6 undue delay and will promote the orderly and efficient progress of the action;

7 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED among the undersigned parties  
8 that:

9 The current schedule should be adjusted as follows:

- 10 • Plaintiff's opposition to Defendant's motion to dismiss or in the  
11 alternative to strike will be due on or by July 5, 2011;
- 12 • Defendant's reply in further support of its motion to dismiss or in the  
13 alternative to strike will be due on or by July 19, 2011; and
- 14 • Parties shall exchange initial disclosures on or by June 24, 2011.

15 SO STIPULATED

16 Dated: June 13, 2011

THE HINTON LAW FIRM

17  
18 By:           /s/ Christopher S. Hinton            
19 Christopher S. Hinton

20 And

21 THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.  
22 LAURENCE M. ROSEN (SBN # 219683)  
23 PHILLIP KIM

24 Counsel for Plaintiff James Wang  
25  
26  
27

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: June 13, 2010

MAYER BROWN LLP  
NEIL M. SOLTMAN  
MATTHEW H. MARMOLEJO  
RUTH ZADIKANY

By: /s/ Matthew H. Marmolejo (authorized 6/13/2011)  
Matthew H. Marmolejo  
Counsel for Defendant OCZ Technology Group, Inc.

**ORDER**

Pursuant to stipulation, and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. Plaintiff shall file its opposition to Defendant's motion to dismiss or in the alternative to strike on or by July 5, 2011, and Defendant shall file its reply, if any, on or by July 19, 2011; and
2. The parties shall exchange their initial disclosures by June 24, 2011.

**PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED: June 17, 2011

  
HON. PAUL S. GREWAL  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE