UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TOM LUU	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 5:11-CV-01523 EJD
V. ALLSTATE INSU COMPANY and D 50, inclusive		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
		and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to	o participate in the foll	owing ADR process:
<u>⊠</u> Earl	cesses: n-binding Arbitration (All Neutral Evaluation (All L.R. 6)	,
appreciably more if ADR phone confer	likely to meet their need ence and may not file t	settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is ds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Pr		tify process and provider)
	ate ADR (pieuse iueni	ily process and provider)
the refe	1 1	n by: The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order DR process unless otherwise ordered.)
_	-	// / 1 - 777 .
Dated: October 31,	<u>-</u>	/s/ John Watson Attorney for Plaintiff TOM LUU
Dated:October 31, 2011		/s/ Megan Barker
		Attorney for Defendant ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY

American LegalNet, Inc. www.USCourtForms.com

FROM DED ORDER

Pur	suant to the Stipulation above, the captic	oned matter is hereby referred to:
	Non-binding Arbitration	
$\overline{\boxtimes}$	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)	
	Mediation	
	Private ADR	
Dea	adline for ADR session	
\boxtimes	90 days from the date of this order.	
	other	
_		
IT IS SO O	ORDERED.	
		-0000
Dated: No	ovember 1, 2011	EQU O Wach
		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
		Hon. Edward J. Davila