

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

MECHANICAL MARKETING, INC., )  
 )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 v. )  
 )  
 SIXXON PRECISION MACHINERY )  
 CO., LTD., TAIWAN, )  
 )  
 Defendant. )  
 \_\_\_\_\_ )

Case No.: C 11-01844 EJD (PSG)  
**ORDER RE MID-DEPOSITION  
DISPUTE**

On March 29, 2012, the parties contacted the court regarding a dispute that had arisen during the deposition of certain individuals who had testified on behalf of Plaintiff Mechanical Marketing, Inc. (“MMI”) in a Rule 30(b)(6) capacity and were testifying now in their individual capacities. Michael G. Ackerman appeared on behalf of MMI and John D. Van Loben Sels appeared on behalf of Defendant Sixxon Precision Machinery, Co., Ltd. (“Sixxon”). Based on the arguments presented,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that MMI’s objections to the same, or similar, questions being posed to individuals testifying in both their Rule 30(b)(6) capacities and in their individual

Case No.: C 11-01844 EJD (PSG)  
ORDER

1 capacities are overruled.

2 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

3 Dated: 3/30/2012

4   
5 PAUL S. GREWAL  
6 United States Magistrate Judge

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28