

# EXHIBIT P

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

APPLE INC.,

Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant,

vs.

Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,  
INC., SAMSUNG  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,  
LLC,

Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ANDRE ZORN

Tuesday, March 20, 2012

AT: 1:41 p.m.

Taken at:

The offices of WILMERHALE

Bastion Tower

Place du Champ de Mars

BE 1050 Brussels

Belgium

Job 47756

1 MR. GUNTHER: Objection to form. 13:52

2 A. Yes, I implemented what was written in the specification 13:52

3 and standardization, the GP 3GPP specification. 13:52

4 BY MR. MACK: 13:52

5 Q. And why did you implement what was written in the 3GPP 13:52

6 specification? 13:52

7 MR. GUNTHER: Objection to form. 13:52

8 A. Because in the 3GPP specifications, there are mandatory 13:52

9 and there are optional features. Mandatory features 13:52

10 have to be implemented by each UE, because 13:52

11 it's mandatory feature, and it is necessary to 13:52

12 communicate with the network in a correct way. And 13:52

13 that's why it's necessary to implement it in the way 13:52

14 like it is described in that technical specification. 13:52

15 BY MR. MACK: 13:53

16 Q. Are you also familiar with the alternative E-bit 13:53

17 interpretation? 13:53

18 A. Yes. 13:53

19 Q. And is that also a mandatory feature in release 6 of the 13:53

20 3GPP standard? 13:53

21 A. Yes, it is. 13:53

22 Q. And were you also responsible for implementing the 13:53

23 alternative E-bit interpretation in IMC's products? 13:53

24 A. Yes, I am. 13:53

25 Q. Which products, specifically, were you responsible for 13:53

1	with regard to the level 2 and level 3 functionality?	13:53
2	A. Starting with release 5 protocol stack version, so all	13:53
3	products based on release 5 and above, I was responsible	13:53
4	for the radio link control.	13:53
5	Q. And which products specifically are release 5 and above	13:53
6	products that IMC currently sells?	13:53
7	A. They are -- release 6 is this X-Gold 616 product, and	13:53
8	release 5 is 606 X-Gold.	13:54
9	Q. Six zero six, did you say?	13:54
10	A. Yes, 60. So six zero; six zero.	13:54
11	Q. Oh, six zero -- okay, 606. And --	13:54
12	A. And release 7 is X-Gold 62.	13:54
13	Q. 62X, or is it 66?	13:54
14	A. Yeah, it's 62X, so ...	13:54
15	Q. 62X family?	13:54
16	A. Mm-hmm.	13:54
17	Q. Okay. Were you responsible with working on the 62X	13:54
18	family as well?	13:54
19	A. Yes.	13:54
20	Q. And is the alternative E-bit interpretation also	13:54
21	mandatory in release 7 of the 3GPP standard?	13:54
22	A. Yes, it is.	13:54
23	Q. So you were also responsible for implementing the	13:54
24	alternative E-bit interpretation on the 62X family?	13:54
25	A. Yes. Yes, I am.	13:54

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
3 SAN JOSE DIVISION  
4

5 APPLE INC., a California  
6 Corporation,  
7 Plaintiff,

8 vs. No: 11-CV-1846-LHK

9 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD,  
10 a Korean business entity;  
11 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,  
12 INC., a New York corporation;  
13 SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
14 AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware  
15 limited liability company  
16 Defendants.

17 \_\_\_\_\_)  
18 DEPOSITION OF WAYNE STARK, Ph.D.  
19 Boston, Massachusetts  
20 Friday, April 20, 2012

21 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY  
22 CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE

23 Reported By:

24 Dana Welch, CSR, RPR, CRR, CBC, CCP

25 Job No. 48727

1 segments of the Gold codes are used in the  
2 Q-channel components. So the fact that you're  
3 using Gold codes, and masking is a well known  
4 technique to produce Gold codes, would imply that  
5 using them for the Q-channel components would also  
6 be a well known technique.

7 Q. Okay. But, again, that was not my  
8 question. My question was, was it known to use  
9 masking as a means for delaying a primary or a  
10 secondary scrambling code to produce Q-channel  
11 components?

12 MR. KOLOVOS: Objection.

13 Q. Yes or no?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. It was known?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And what is the basis for your saying so?

18 MR. KOLOVOS: Objection.

19 Q. What document specifically?

20 A. The whole literature about what that  
21 masking can be used to delay or provide a shift of  
22 an m-sequence or a Gold sequence to generate a  
23 shifted version of that sequence.

24 Q. And can you name a specific document that  
25 disclosed using masking as a means for delaying a

1 primary or secondary scrambling code to produce  
2 Q-channel components?

3 MR. KOLOVOS: Objection.

4 A. No. But it would have been obvious that  
5 if you're going to produce Gold codes, that -- and  
6 use Gold codes or segments thereof to produce  
7 Q-channel components, that the Gold code part can  
8 be produced by using a masking function.

9 Q. All right. Well, you say it would have  
10 been obvious. But my question was, was it known to  
11 use masking as a means for delaying a primary or  
12 secondary scrambling code to produce Q-channel  
13 components?

14 MR. KOLOVOS: Objection.

15 Q. And your answer originally was yes, but  
16 then when I pressed you, you said it was obvious.  
17 So was it obvious or was it known?

18 MR. KOLOVOS: Objection.

19 A. It was obvious.

20 Q. But not known?

21 A. It was -- one of skill in the art would  
22 have known how to do it.

23 Q. But was it disclosed anywhere?

24 MR. KOLOVOS: Object to the form.

25 A. It was -- it's been disclosed that to

1 generate a Gold code you can use masking of an --  
2 one of the m-sequences to produce different Gold  
3 codes. And the Gold code segments thereof can be  
4 used for Q-channel components.

5 Q. Okay. And so what document can you name  
6 for me that disclosed using masking as a means for  
7 delaying a primary or secondary scrambling code to  
8 produce Q-channel components?

9 A. I think the Ogawa reference in combination  
10 with Ericsson's proposal would disclose everything.

11 Q. So the Ogawa reference alone would not  
12 disclose the masking of as a means for delaying a  
13 primary or secondary scrambling code to produce  
14 Q-channel components; is that correct?

15 A. I think the Ogawa reference, I'd have to  
16 review it again to answer that specific question.  
17 I really haven't opined specifically on that  
18 particular question with regard to specifically the  
19 Ogawa reference by itself, but clearly the Ogawa  
20 reference and the Ericsson reference together would  
21 disclose that.

22 Q. Okay. So you have not provided an opinion  
23 on whether the Ogawa reference discloses masking as  
24 a means for delaying a primary or secondary  
25 scrambling code to produce Q-channel components,



1 correct?

2 A. Let me review my report just to make sure.

3 I believe what my report states is that  
4 the Ogawa reference combined with the Ericsson  
5 proposal or the 25.213 V2.1.0, would have made  
6 that -- using masking to delay a scrambling code  
7 for a Q-channel component obvious.

8 Q. Okay. But not that Ogawa expressly  
9 disclosed that point, correct?

10 A. Ogawa expressly disclosed masking to  
11 generate various Gold codes for multiple scrambling  
12 codes.

13 Q. Okay. And Ogawa was before the patent  
14 examiner during prosecution of the '867 patent,  
15 correct?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. Okay. If we look at paragraphs 30 and 31  
18 of your opening report, you use the term "true  
19 inventiveness" a couple times.

20 MR. KOLOVOS: What paragraphs?

21 MR. MILOWIC: 30 and 31.

22 Q. So what does "true inventiveness" mean?

23 A. It means that it's not obvious to one of  
24 skill in the art at the time.

25 Q. So is that all it means to you is that