1	HAROLD J. MCELHINNY (CA SBN 66781)	WILLIAM F. LEE
2	hmcelhinny@mofo.com MICHAEL A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)	william.lee@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
3	mjacobs@mofo.com JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR (CA SBN 161368)	HALE AND DORR LLP 60 State Street
4	jtaylor@mofo.com ALISON M. TUCHER (CA SBN 171363) atucher@mofo.com	Boston, MA 02109 Telephone: (617) 526-6000 Facsimile: (617) 526-5000
5	RICHARD S.J. HUNG (CA SBN 197425)	1 desimile. (017) 320 3000
6	rhung@mofo.com JASON R. BARTLETT (CA SBN 214530)	MARK D. SELWYN (SBN 244180)
7	jasonbartlett@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
8	425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482	HALE AND DORR LLP 950 Page Mill Road
9	Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522	Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (650) 858-6000
10		Facsimile: (650) 858-6100
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff and	
12	Counterclaim-Defendant APPLE INC.	
13		
14	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	SAN JOSE D	IVISION
17	APPLE INC.,	Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG)
18	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF MIA MAZZA IN SUPPORT OF APPLE'S
19	V.	OPPOSITION TO SAMSUNG'S MOTION TO ENFORCE APRIL 12,
20	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean business entity; SAMSUNG	2012 ORDER
21	ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York corporation; SAMSUNG	Date: June 21, 2012 Time: 10:00 a.m.
22	TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, a	Place: Courtroom 5, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor
23	Delaware limited liability company,	Judge: Hon. Paul S. Grewal
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
28		

1. I am a partner in the law firm of Morrison & Foerster LLP, counsel for Apple Inc. ("Apple"). I am licensed to practice law in the State of California and admitted to practice before this Court. I have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein or understand them to be true

from members of my litigation team. I make this declaration in support of Apple's Opposition to

- Samsung's Motion to Enforce April 12, 2012 Order.
- 2. Attached as **Exhibit 1** is a true and correct copy of excerpts of Apple's October 26, 2011 Objections and Responses to Samsung's Second Set of Interrogatories (Interrogatory No. 19).
- 3. Counsel for Samsung served a notice for the deposition of Saku Hieta, Apple's Senior Manager, Wireless Procurement, on February 27, 2012. As of that time, Samsung was seeking additional deposition time with Tony Blevins, Apple's Vice President, Procurement, whom Samsung had already deposed on February 23, 2012.
- 4. Attached as **Exhibit 2** is a true and correct copy of excerpts of the transcript of the February 23, 2012 deposition of Tony J. Blevins.
- 5. Attached as **Exhibit 3** is a true and correct copy of the March 6, 2012 letter from Peter Kolovos to Rachel Herrick Kassabian and Diane Hutnyan.
- 6. Counsel for Samsung did not immediately respond to the compromise offer set forth in Apple's March 6, 2012 letter. Counsel for Apple e-mailed counsel for Samsung on the evening of March 7, 2012, and again on March 12, 2012, to inquire about Samsung's position with respect to Apple's proposal. Attached as **Exhibit 4** is a true and correct copy of an e-mail chain that includes these March 7, 2012 and March 12, 2012 e-mails.
- 7. Samsung ultimately did not accept Apple's March 6, 2012 compromise proposal regarding the deposition of Saku Hieta. Apple nevertheless made Mr. Blevins available for additional deposition testimony on April 3, 2012.
- 8. Attached as **Exhibit 5** is a true and correct copy of a February 23, 2012 e-mail from Peter Kolovos to Diane Hutnyan.

- 9. Attached as **Exhibit 6** is a true and correct copy of Samsung's Notice of Deposition of Emilie Kim, served on March 6, 2012.
- 10. Attached as **Exhibit 7** is a true and correct copy of excerpts of the transcript of the March 7, 2012 deposition of Emilie Kim.
- 11. Apple has produced to counsel for Samsung unredacted versions of all court documents filed in the *Nokia* action pending in the District of Delaware (Case No. 09-cv-00791), the *Motorola* action pending in the Western District of Wisconsin (Case No. 10-cv-00661), and the *HTC* investigation pending in the ITC (Inv. No. 337-TA-797).
- 12. Apple has produced to counsel for Samsung unredacted versions of all court documents filed in the *HTC* action pending in the District of Delaware (Case No. 10-cv-00167), with the exception of four documents that contain Google CBI for which Google did not provide consent upon request. Apple has produced redacted versions of those four documents to counsel for Samsung. Counsel for Apple in the *HTC* Delaware action continues to seek Google's consent for the production of unredacted versions of these four documents to counsel for Samsung.
- documents filed in the *Elan* action litigated in the Northern District of California (Case No. 09-cv-01531) and the *Elan* investigation in the ITC (Inv. No. 337-TA-714), except for certain docket entries that contain Elan CBI for which Elan did not provide consent upon request. Counsel for Apple in the *Elan* matters has provided counsel for Elan with redacted versions of those remaining documents and has allowed counsel for Elan until June 11, 2012 to correct any inaccuracies in those redactions. Counsel for Apple in the *Elan* matters will make any modifications to the redactions that Elan requests and then provide the redacted documents to counsel for Samsung on or about June 12, 2012. Counsel for Apple in the *Elan* matters continues to seek Elan's consent for the production of unredacted versions of these documents to counsel for Samsung.
- 14. Apple has produced to counsel for Samsung unredacted versions of all court documents filed in the *Apple v. Motorola* actions pending in the Northern District of Illinois (Case No. 11-cv-8540, formerly W.D. Wis. 10-cv-00662) and the ITC (Inv. No. 337-TA-750),

1	except for certain docket entries that contain the CBI of 10 nonparties to those actions who did	
2	not provide consent upon request. Counsel for Apple in the <i>Motorola</i> matters has prepared	
3	proposed redacted versions of those remaining documents. Counsel has again written to each o	
4	these nonparties providing them until June 6, 2012 to object to the production of redacted	
5	documents to counsel for Samsung. Counsel for Apple in the <i>Motorola</i> matters will make any	
6	modifications requested by any of these nonparties and then provide the redacted documents to	
7	counsel for Samsung on or about June 8, 2012.	
8	15. As explained in Apple's Motion for Clarification and Status Update (Dkt.	
9	Nos. 887, 903), Apple filed a motion with the ITC seeking permission to produce documents	
10	subject to ITC protective orders, as the ITC does not permit production, even pursuant to the	
11	orders of other courts. As of the date of this Declaration, the ITC has not ruled on this motion.	
12	16. In total, Apple has produced more than 3,800 court documents filed in other cases	
13	pursuant to the April 12, 2012 order.	
14	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 5t	
15	day of June, 2012 at San Francisco, California.	
16		
17	757 17100 17100	
18	Mia Mazza	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	ATTESTATION OF E-FILED SIGNATURE	
2	I, Michael A. Jacobs, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this	
3	Declaration. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Mia Mazza has	
4	concurred in this filing.	
5	Dated: June 5, 2012 <u>/s/ Michael A. Jacobs</u> Michael A. Jacobs	
6	Michael A. Jacobs	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		