1		WILLIAM F. I		
2	MICHAEĽ A. JACOBS (CA SBN 111664)	WILMER CUT	rilmerhale.com FLER PICKERING	
3	JĔNNIFER LEE TAYLOR (CA SBN 161368)	HALE AND D 60 State Street		
4	ALISON M. TUCHER (CA SBN 171363)	Boston, MA 02109 Telephone: (617) 526-6000 Facsimile: (617) 526-5000		
5	RICHARD S.J. HUNG (CA SBN 197425)	racsimile: (61)	7) 320-3000	
6			LWYN (SBN 244180)	
7	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP	mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP		
8	San Francisco, California 94105-2482	950 Page Mill I Palo Alto, Cali	Road	
9	Facsimile: (415) 268-7522	Telephone: (65) Facsimile: (65)	0) 858-6000	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff and	a desimile. (03)	7) 030 0100	
11	Counterclaim-Defendant APPLE INC.			
12	LIMITED STATES D	CTDICT COL	DТ	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
15	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
16	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	Case No.	11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG)	
17	Plaintiff,		ECLARATION OF	
18	v.	APPLE'S	KIM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ADVERSE	
19	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a		TIONS DUE TO	
20	Korean business entity; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York	EVIDENC	G'S SPOLIATION OF E	
21	corporation; SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	Date: Time:	June 21, 2012 10:00 a.m.	
22	Defendants.	Place: Judge:	Courtroom 5, 4th Floor Hon. Paul S. Grewal	
23	Defendants.	Judge.	Holl. Faul S. Glewal	
24				
25	PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION			
26				
27				
28	KIM REPLY DECL. ISO APPLE'S MOTION FOR ADVERSE INFER	ENCE TIDY INCOM	HOTIONS DHE TO SPOT LATION	
	CASE NO. 11-CV-01846-LHK (PSG) sf-3154823	ENCE JUKY INSTR	UCTIONS DUE TO SPOLIATION	

1.

Apple Inc. ("Apple"). I am licensed to practice law in the State of California and admitted to practice before this Court. Unless otherwise indicated, I have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein or understand them to be true from other members of my litigation team. I make this declaration in support of Apple's Motion for Adverse Inference Jury Instructions Due to Samsung's Spoliation of Evidence.

I am an associate with the law firm of Morrison & Foerster LLP, counsel for

- 2. Certain exhibits to this declaration consist of Korean-language documents produced by Samsung in this action. Apple has obtained certified translations of the documents and submits those translations herewith along with each Korean original.
 - 3. Pursuant to the Court's September 28, 2011, Order (Dkt. No. 267), Samsung served its first Identification of Custodians, Litigation Hold Notices and Search Terms ("transparency disclosures") on October 7, 2011. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** is a true and correct copy of the October 7, 2011, disclosures and relevant accompanying exhibits, *i.e.*, Exhibits S, T, and U.
 - 4. Samsung subsequently amended or supplemented its transparency disclosures four times, serving them on October 10, 2011, December 1, 2011, February 26, 2012, and most recently, on May 27, 2012.

- 5. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 2** is a true and correct copy of Samsung's transparency disclosures served on October 10, 2011, titled "Samsung's Amended Identification of Custodians, Litigation Hold Notices and Search Terms." The only exhibit amended in these disclosures was Exhibit V, which is not relevant here. Accordingly, Exhibits S, T, and U remain the same as the October 7, 2011, disclosures.
- 6. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 3** is a true and correct copy of the transparency disclosures served on December 1, 2011, titled "Samsung's First Amended and Supplemental Kim Reply Decl. ISO Apple's Motion for Adverse Inference Jury Instructions Due to Spoliation Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG) sf-3154823

1	Identification of Custodians, Litigation Hold Notices and Search Terms," and relevant		
2	accompanying exhibits, i.e., Exhibits S, T, and U.		
3	7. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 are two summary charts titled		
4			
5			
6	8.		
7			
8	Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a chart summarizing		
9	more than 550 of the documents from the May 26, 2012, production		
10			
11	9. Apple sent three letters to Samsung on January 29, 2012, February 21, 2012, and		
12	February 29, 2012, asking Samsung to confirm whether it was		
13	Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of the letter from Apple's		
14	counsel Marc Pernick to Samsung's counsel Rachel Kassabian, dated January 29, 2012,		
15	requesting this information. Attached hereto as Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of the second		
16	letter from Mr. Pernick to Ms. Kassabian, dated February 21, 2012, again requesting this		
17	information. Attached hereto as Exhibit 8 is a true and correct copy of the third letter from		
18	Mr. Pernick to Ms. Kassabian, dated February 29, 2012, repeating this request.		
19	10. Samsung finally responded to Apple's multiple requests by definitively answering,		
20	Attached hereto as Exhibit 9 is a true		
21	and correct copy of the letter from Samsung's counsel Alex Binder to Marc Pernick, dated		
22	February 29, 2012, confirming that Samsung was not		
23	11. Attached hereto as Exhibit 10 is a true and correct copy of the document produced		
24	by Samsung beginning with Bates number SAMNDCA00044700, which is an email dated		
25	February 16, 2012,		
26			
27	A true and correct copy of a certified translation is included.		
28			

1	12. Attached hereto as Exhibit 11 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the		
2	transcript of the deposition of Dong Sub Kim taken on February 28, 2012.		
3	13. Under my supervision, contract attorneys for Morrison & Foerster reviewed the		
4	emails authored or received by that were produced from the files of other		
5	custodians—documents produced in this action, as well as documents produced in the matter of		
6	Certain Electronic Digital Media Devices and Components Thereof, Investigation		
7	No. 337-TA-796, pending in the International Trade Commission. Samsung asserts that it		
8	produced However, Morrison		
9	& Foerster's contract attorneys discovered more than		
10	Of these, more than		
11			
12	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed		
13	June 5, 2012, at San Francisco, California.		
14	_/s/Esther Kim		
15	Esther Kim		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

ATTESTATION OF E-FILED SIGNATURE I, Michael A. Jacobs, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this Declaration. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Esther Kim has concurred in this filing. Dated: June 5, 2012 /s/ Michael A. Jacobs Michael A. Jacobs

KIM REPLY DECL. ISO APPLE'S MOTION FOR ADVERSE INFERENCE JURY INSTRUCTIONS DUE TO SPOLIATION CASE NO. 11-CV-01846-LHK (PSG) sf-3154823